From: Samantha Lappin
Sent: Monday, June 21, 2021 6:01 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mke.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@lalst.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; councilmember.ridley-thomas@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 06/21/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Item 3B on the agenda this week refers to numerous LAPD trainings, updates, and the implementation of reforms, continuing a deadly cycle in which the City Council, LAPD, and the Board of Police Commissioners agree to ignore the community’s demands for change and a diversification of power and resources, and instead rely on LAPD to update on its activities and “progress” as if this were not a continuation of violence. We need look no further than LAPD's assessment of its response to calls involving folks that are mentally ill or having a mental health crisis to see the folly of this: in the section headed “Crisis Intervention,” LAPD assures us that the Department is “at the forefront of training in responding to mental health crisis calls,” and that the Mental Evaluation Unit “meets or exceeds” state standards for mental health crisis response training. Yet in Los Angeles communities, LAPD's response to folks who are mentally ill or in crisis is murderous, as was the case with Alfonso Mauldin in August 2020, which is also on the agenda today for discussion by the Board. When LAPD were directed to Mauldin, cops knew it was a call regarding a “male with mental illness.” Like so many LAPD responses, police drew their weapons and fired at Mauldin, thankfully missing him, but resulting in two charges for Mauldin for “assault of a police officer with a deadly weapon.”

Public safety does not come from funding reforms or more training, or from relying on LAPD assessments. We demand a defunding of LAPD, and that more city funds be invested in mental health services that offer ongoing and preventative care, as well as crisis intervention services that don’t involve the police.

We further demand an audit of LAPD’s Suspicious Activity Reports (SARs), which have not been audited since 2017 though ever audit has confirmed a pattern of racial profiling and surveillance, enabled and encouraged by such LAPD programs as the iWATCH “See Something, Say Something” program. In the most recent (2017) audit, 59% of reports of “suspicious activity” were reported in predominantly white
communities, and were disproportionately filed against Black, Latinx, Middle Eastern, or South Asian community members. We demand an audit to expose the most recent reports made through this program, which must ultimately be abolished.

We also demand an end to the restrictions on public comment, and that public comment follow the agenda item after it has been discussed, so community members might comment on new information that has been shared. Stop restricting the public comment period to forty-five minutes. You claim to be the “citizens’ voice in police affairs.” Start acting like it.

Signed,
Samantha

Sammi Lappin
Communicator & Educator
she/her

From: Natalie B  
Sent: Monday, June 21, 2021 8:38 AM 
To: Police Commission 
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; councilmember.ridley-thomas@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org 
Subject: Public Comment 06/21/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Item 3B on the agenda this week refers to numerous LAPD trainings, updates, and the implementation of reforms, continuing a deadly cycle in which the City Council, LAPD, and the Board of Police Commissioners agree to ignore the community’s demands for change and a diversion of power and resources, and instead rely on LAPD to update on its activities and “progress” as if this were not a continuation of violence. We need look no further than LAPD’s assessment of its response to calls involving folks that are mentally ill or having a mental health crisis to see the folly of this: in the section headed “Crisis Intervention,” LAPD assures us that the
Department is “at the forefront of training in responding to mental health crisis calls,” and that the Mental Evaluation Unit “meets or exceeds” state standards for mental health crisis response training. Yet in Los Angeles communities, LAPD’s response to folks who are mentally ill or in crisis is murderous, as was the case with Alfonso Mauldin in August 2020, which is also on the agenda today for discussion by the Board. When LAPD were directed to Mauldin, cops knew it was a call regarding a “male with mental illness.” Like so many LAPD responses, police drew their weapons and fired at Mauldin, thankfully missing him, but resulting in two charges for Mauldin for “assault of a police officer with a deadly weapon.”

Public safety does not come from funding reforms or more training, or from relying on LAPD assessments. We demand a defunding of LAPD, and that more city funds be invested in mental health services that offer ongoing and preventative care, as well as crisis intervention services that do involve the police. As a mental health therapist, police officers have no business showing up for mental health crises calls. Police are not trained mental health professionals. I don’t go around trying to do the work of a police officer so why are police trying to do a job of a mental health professional. Police are trained to kill if a "threat" arises. People suffering for mental health are often not a threat but are always treated as such by police especially if they are Black. They need services, services police can not provide. We need more services available and mental professionals to be a part of this type of calls not police.

We further demand an audit of LAPD’s Suspicious Activity Reports (SARs), which have not been audited since 2017 though ever audit has confirmed a pattern of racial profiling and surveillance, enabled and encouraged by such LAPD programs as the iWATCH “See Something, Say Something” program. In the most recent (2017) audit, 59% of reports of “suspicious activity” were reported in predominantly white communities, and were disproportionately filed against Black, Latinx, Middle Eastern, or South Asian community members. We demand an audit to expose the most recent reports made through this program, which must ultimately be abolished.

We also demand an end to the restrictions on public comment, and that public comment follow the agenda item after it has been discussed, so community members might comment on new information that has been shared. Stop restricting the public comment period to forty-five minutes. You claim to be the “citizens’ voice in police affairs.” Start acting like it.

Signed,

Natalie Burke

From: Danielle Castrence
ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Item 3B on the agenda this week refers to numerous LAPD trainings, updates, and the implementation of reforms, continuing a deadly cycle in which the City Council, LAPD, and the Board of Police Commissioners agree to ignore the community’s demands for change and a diversion of power and resources, and instead rely on LAPD to update on its activities and “progress” as if this were not a continuation of violence. We need look no further than LAPD’s assessment of its response to calls involving folks that are mentally ill or having a mental health crisis to see the folly of this: in the section headed “Crisis Intervention,” LAPD assures us that the Department is “at the forefront of training in responding to mental health crisis calls,” and that the Mental Evaluation Unit “meets or exceeds” state standards for mental health crisis response training. Yet in Los Angeles communities, LAPD’s response to folks who are mentally ill or in crisis is murderous, as was the case with Alfonso Mauldin in August 2020, which is also on the agenda today for discussion by the Board. When LAPD were directed to Mauldin, cops knew it was a call regarding a “male with mental illness.” Like so many LAPD responses, police drew their weapons and fired at Mauldin, thankfully missing him, but resulting in two charges for Mauldin for “assault of a police officer with a deadly weapon.”

Public safety does not come from funding reforms or more training, or from relying on LAPD assessments. We demand a defunding of LAPD, and that more city funds be invested in mental health services that offer ongoing and preventative care, as well as crisis intervention services that do involve the police.

We further demand an audit of LAPD’s Suspicious Activity Reports (SARs), which have not been audited since 2017 though ever audit has confirmed a pattern of racial profiling and surveillance, enabled and encouraged by such LAPD programs as the iWATCH “See Something, Say Something” program. In the most recent (2017) audit, 59% of reports of “suspicious activity” were reported in predominantly white communities, and were disproportionately filed against Black, Latinx, Middle
Eastern, or South Asian community members. We demand an audit to expose the most recent reports made through this program, which must ultimately be abolished.

We also demand an end to the restrictions on public comment, and that public comment follow the agenda item after it has been discussed, so community members might comment on new information that has been shared. Stop restricting the public comment period to forty-five minutes. You claim to be the "citizens' voice in police affairs." Start acting like it.

Signed,

Danielle Castrence

From: Gina Viola
Sent: Monday, June 21, 2021 8:45 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; LAPC Fails; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; councilmember.ridley-thomas@lacity.org; Councilmember Mike Bonin; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; TeamCD4; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment to be IGNORED per usual 06/23/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

We demand an audit of LAPD’s Suspicious Activity Reports (SARs), which have not been audited since 2017 though ever audit has confirmed a pattern of racial profiling and surveillance, enabled and encouraged by such LAPD programs as the iWATCH "See Something, Say Something" program. In the most recent (2017) audit, 59% of reports of “suspicious activity” were reported in predominantly white communities, and were disproportionately filed against Black, Latinx, Middle Eastern, or South Asian community members. We demand an audit to expose the most recent reports made through this program, which must ultimately be abolished.

Item 3B on the agenda this week refers to numerous LAPD trainings, updates, and the implementation of reforms, continuing a deadly cycle in which the City Council,
LAPD, and the Board of Police Commissioners agree to ignore the community’s demands for change and a diversion of power and resources, and instead rely on LAPD to update on its activities and “progress” as if this were not a continuation of violence. We need look no further than LAPD’s assessment of its response to calls involving folks that are mentally ill or having a mental health crisis to see the folly of this: in the section headed “Crisis Intervention,” LAPD assures us that the Department is “at the forefront of training in responding to mental health crisis calls,” and that the Mental Evaluation Unit “meets or exceeds” state standards for mental health crisis response training. Yet in Los Angeles communities, LAPD’s response to folks who are mentally ill or in crisis is murderous, as was the case with Alfonso Maudlin in August 2020, which is also on the agenda today for discussion by the Board. When LAPD were directed to Maudlin, cops knew it was a call regarding a “male with mental illness.” Like so many LAPD responses, police drew their weapons and fired at Maudlin, thankfully missing him, but resulting in two charges for Maudlin for “assault of a police officer with a deadly weapon.”

Public safety does not come from funding reforms or more training, or from relying on LAPD assessments. We demand a defunding of LAPD, and that more city funds be invested in mental health services that offer ongoing and preventative care, as well as crisis intervention services that do involve the police.

We also demand an end to the restrictions on public comment, and that public comment follow the agenda item after it has been discussed, so community members might comment on new information that has been shared. Stop restricting the public comment period to forty-five minutes. You claim to be the “citizens’ voice in police affairs.” Start acting like it. When will we be meeting in person again? Children are going back to school and you can’t take yourselves downtown to meet? You claim to follow City Council, well guess what, they are back and you should be too!

Signed,

Gina Viola (she/her/hers
<https://gcc82.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Fmedium.com%2Fgend er-inclusivity%2Fwhy-i-put-pronouns-on-my-email-signature-and-linked-in-profile-and-you-should-too-d3dc942cc8743&data=04%7C01%7Cpolicecommission%40lapd.online%7C4c4e78ac47 424ec573ac08d934cb9cde7C642fd61c34dd4fd0af8d443576485883%7C0%7C1%7C6375988713815671 46%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWIjoiMC4wLjAwMDA1LjQlMjIiLCJBTiIiLCJ1c2VyNTMiLCJ1c2VyQ29uZmlndXJpZG9yeSI%3D&reserved=0> )

<https://docs.google.com/uc?export=download&id=1YZUZr_Kcl1YeURszZS2X9tbV4Gp9w3L&rev id=0BzMF7ak0jvlPRk1MenZSMlNaMkEzcnFrK29zazhEg6xszbzBzPQ>

Corporate Address:
The information contained in this message may be privileged and confidential and thus protected from disclosure. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by replying to the message and deleting it from your computer. Thank you, Trade Show Temps.

From: Sheila BLM
Sent: Monday, June 21, 2021 8:49 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; councilmember.ridley-thomas@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; councilmember.lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaíno@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 06/21/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Item 3B on the agenda this week refers to numerous LAPD trainings, updates, and the implementation of reforms, continuing a deadly cycle in which the City Council, LAPD, and the Board of Police Commissioners agree to ignore the community’s demands for change and a diversification of power and resources, and instead rely on LAPD to update on its activities and “progress” as if this were not a continuation of violence. We need look no further than LAPD’s assessment of its response to calls involving folks that are mentally ill or having a mental health crisis to see the
foolish of this: in the section headed “Crisis Intervention,” LAPD assures us that the Department is “at the forefront of training in responding to mental health crisis calls,” and that the Mental Evaluation Unit “meets or exceeds” state standards for mental health crisis response training. Yet in Los Angeles communities, LAPD’s response to folks who are mentally ill or in crisis is murderous, as was the case with Alfonso Mauldin in August 2020, which is also on the agenda today for discussion by the Board. When LAPD were directed to Mauldin, cops knew it was a call regarding a “male with mental illness.” Like so many LAPD responses, police drew their weapons and fired at Mauldin, thankfully missing him, but resulting in two charges for Mauldin for “assault of a police officer with a deadly weapon.”

Public safety does not come from funding reforms or more training, or from relying on LAPD assessments. We demand a defunding of LAPD, and that more city funds be invested in mental health services that offer ongoing and preventative care, as well as crisis intervention services that do involve the police.

We further demand an audit of LAPD’s Suspicious Activity Reports (SARs), which have not been audited since 2017 though every audit has confirmed a pattern of racial profiling and surveillance, enabled and encouraged by such LAPD programs as the iWATCH “See Something, Say Something” program. In the most recent (2017) audit, 59% of reports of “suspicious activity” were reported in predominantly white communities, and were disproportionately filed against Black, Latinx, Middle Eastern, or South Asian community members. We demand an audit to expose the most recent reports made through this program, which must ultimately be abolished.

We also demand an end to the restrictions on public comment, and that public comment follow the agenda item after it has been discussed, so community members might comment on new information that has been shared. Stop restricting the public comment period to forty-five minutes. You claim to be the “citizens’ voice in police affairs.” Start acting like it.

Signed,

Sheila
She/her/hers

TAKE ACTION... sign the petition to get justice in the name of Christopher De’Andre Mitchell
tinyurl.com/justiceforchristopher

“I believe in the sweat of love and in the fire of truth.” -Assata Shakur

From: Jule Gamache [Redacted]
Sent: Monday, June 21, 2021 8:55 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; councilmember.ridley-thomas@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 06/21/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Item 3B on the agenda this week refers to numerous LAPD trainings, updates, and the implementation of reforms, continuing a deadly cycle in which the City Council, LAPD, and the Board of Police Commissioners agree to ignore the community’s demands for change and a diversion of power and resources, and instead rely on LAPD to update on its activities and “progress” as if this were not a continuation of violence.

We need look no further than LAPD’s assessment of its response to calls involving folks that are mentally ill or having a mental health crisis to see the folly of this: in the section headed “Crisis Intervention,” LAPD assures us that the Department is “at the forefront of training in responding to mental health crisis calls,” and that the Mental Evaluation Unit “meets or exceeds” state standards for mental health crisis response training.

Yet in Los Angeles communities, LAPD’s response to folks who are mentally ill or in crisis is murderous, as was the case with Alfonso Mauldin in August 2020, which is also on the agenda today for discussion by the Board. When LAPD were directed to Mauldin, cops knew it was a call regarding a “male with mental illness.” Like so many LAPD responses, police drew their weapons and fired at Mauldin, thankfully missing him, but resulting in two charges for Mauldin for “assault of a police officer with a deadly weapon.”

Public safety does not come from funding reforms or more training, or from relying on LAPD assessments. We demand a defunding of LAPD, and that more city funds be invested in mental health services that offer ongoing and preventative care, as well as crisis intervention services that do involve the police.

We further demand an audit of LAPD’s Suspicious Activity Reports (SARs), which have not been audited since 2017 though ever audit has confirmed a pattern of racial profiling and surveillance, enabled and encouraged by such LAPD programs as the iWATCH “See Something, Say Something” program.
In the most recent (2017) audit, 59% of reports of “suspicious activity” were reported in predominantly white communities, and were disproportionately filed against Black, Latinx, Middle Eastern, or South Asian community members. We demand an audit to expose the most recent reports made through this program, which must ultimately be abolished.

We also demand an end to the restrictions on public comment, and that public comment follow the agenda item after it has been discussed, so community members might comment on new information that has been shared. Stop restricting the public comment period to forty-five minutes. You claim to be the “citizens’ voice in police affairs.” Start acting like it.

Signed,

Jule Gamache

From: TIMOTHY HORNOR
Sent: Monday, June 21, 2021 9:08 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Mayor Helpdesk; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; councilmember.ridley-thomas@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 06/21/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Item 3B on the agenda this week refers to numerous LAPD trainings, updates, and the implementation of reforms, continuing a deadly cycle in which the City Council, LAPD, and the Board of Police Commissioners agree to ignore the community’s demands for change and a diversion of power and resources, and instead rely on LAPD to update on its activities and “progress” as if this were not a continuation of violence. We need look no further than LAPD’s assessment of its response to calls involving folks that are mentally ill or having a mental health crisis to see the folly of this: in the section headed “Crisis Intervention,” LAPD assures us that the Department is “at the forefront of training in responding to mental health crisis calls,” and that the Mental Evaluation Unit “meets or exceeds” state standards for mental health crisis response training. Yet in Los Angeles communities, LAPD’s response to folks who are mentally ill or in crisis is murderous, as was the case
with Alfonso Mauldin in August 2020, which is also on the agenda today for discussion by the Board. When LAPD were directed to Maudlin, cops knew it was a call regarding a "male with mental illness." Like so many LAPD responses, police drew their weapons and fired at Maudlin, thankfully missing him, but resulting in two charges for Maudlin for "assault of a police officer with a deadly weapon."

Public safety does not come from funding reforms or more training, or from relying on LAPD assessments. We demand a defunding of LAPD, and that more city funds be invested in mental health services that offer ongoing and preventative care, as well as crisis intervention services that do involve the police.

We further demand an audit of LAPD’s Suspicious Activity Reports (SARs), which have not been audited since 2017 though ever audit has confirmed a pattern of racial profiling and surveillance, enabled and encouraged by such LAPD programs as the iWATCH “See Something, Say Something” program. In the most recent (2017) audit, 59% of reports of “suspicious activity” were reported in predominantly white communities, and were disproportionately filed against Black, Latinx, Middle Eastern, or South Asian community members. We demand an audit to expose the most recent reports made through this program, which must ultimately be abolished.

We also demand an end to the restrictions on public comment, and that public comment follow the agenda item after it has been discussed, so community members might comment on new information that has been shared. Stop restricting the public comment period to forty-five minutes. You claim to be the “citizens’ voice in police affairs.” Start acting like it.

Signed,
Timothy Hornor

From: Ted Trembinski
Sent: Monday, June 21, 2021 9:13 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Sobooff; LAPCFails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; councilmember.ridley-thomas@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 06/21/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:
Item 3B on the agenda this week refers to numerous LAPD trainings, updates, and the implementation of reforms, continuing a deadly cycle in which the City Council, LAPD, and the Board of Police Commissioners agree to ignore the community’s demands for change and a diversion of power and resources, and instead rely on LAPD to update on its activities and “progress” as if this were not a continuation of violence. We need look no further than LAPD’s assessment of its response to calls involving folks that are mentally ill or having a mental health crisis to see the folly of this: in the section headed “Crisis Intervention,” LAPD assures us that the Department is “at the forefront of training in responding to mental health crisis calls,” and that the Mental Evaluation Unit “meets or exceeds” state standards for mental health crisis response training. Yet in Los Angeles communities, LAPD’s response to folks who are mentally ill or in crisis is murderous, as was the case with Alfonso Mauldin in August 2020, which is also on the agenda today for discussion by the Board. When LAPD were directed to Mauldin, cops knew it was a call regarding a “male with mental illness.” Like so many LAPD responses, police drew their weapons and fired at Mauldin, thankfully missing him, but resulting in two charges for Mauldin for “assault of a police officer with a deadly weapon.”

Public safety does not come from funding reforms or more training, or from relying on LAPD assessments. We demand a defunding of LAPD, and that more city funds be invested in mental health services that offer ongoing and preventative care, as well as crisis intervention services that do involve the police.

We further demand an audit of LAPD’s Suspicious Activity Reports (SARs), which have not been audited since 2017 though ever audit has confirmed a pattern of racial profiling and surveillance, enabled and encouraged by such LAPD programs as the iWATCH “See Something, Say Something” program. In the most recent (2017) audit, 59% of reports of “suspicious activity” were reported in predominantly white communities, and were disproportionately filed against Black, Latinx, Middle Eastern, or South Asian community members. We demand an audit to expose the most recent reports made through this program, which must ultimately be abolished.

We also demand an end to the restrictions on public comment, and that public comment follow the agenda item after it has been discussed, so community members might comment on new information that has been shared. Stop restricting the public comment period to forty-five minutes. You claim to be the “citizens’ voice in police affairs.” Start acting like it.

Signed,

Ted Trembinski

From: Cody Sloan
Sent: Monday, June 21, 2021 9:13 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org;
Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; LAPC Fails; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laiist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; councilmember.ridley-thomas@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; Councilmember.lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org

Subject: Public Comment 06/21/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Item 3B on the agenda this week refers to numerous LAPD trainings, updates, and the implementation of reforms, continuing a deadly cycle in which the City Council, LAPD, and the Board of Police Commissioners agree to ignore the community’s demands for change and a diversion of power and resources, and instead rely on LAPD to update on its activities and “progress” as if this were not a continuation of violence. We need look no further than LAPD’s assessment of its response to calls involving folks that are mentally ill or having a mental health crisis to see the folly of this: in the section headed “Crisis Intervention,” LAPD assures us that the Department is “at the forefront of training in responding to mental health crisis calls,” and that the Mental Evaluation Unit “meets or exceeds” state standards for mental health crisis response training. Yet in Los Angeles communities, LAPD’s response to folks who are mentally ill or in crisis is murderous, as was the case with Alfonso Mauldin in August 2020, which is also on the agenda today for discussion by the Board. When LAPD were directed to Mauldin, cops knew it was a call regarding a “male with mental illness.” Like so many LAPD responses, police drew their weapons and fired at Mauldin, thankfully missing him, but resulting in two charges for Mauldin for “assault of a police officer with a deadly weapon.”

Public safety does not come from funding reforms or more training, or from relying on LAPD assessments. We demand a defunding of LAPD, and that more city funds be invested in mental health services that offer ongoing and preventative care, as well as crisis intervention services that do involve the police.

We further demand an audit of LAPD’s Suspicious Activity Reports (SARs), which have not been audited since 2017 though ever audit has confirmed a pattern of racial profiling and surveillance, enabled and encouraged by such LAPD programs as the iWATCH “See Something, Say Something” program. In the most recent (2017) audit, 59% of reports of “suspicious activity” were reported in predominantly white communities, and were disproportionately filed against Black, Latinx, Middle Eastern, or South Asian community members. We demand an audit to expose the most
recent reports made through this program, which must ultimately be abolished.

We also demand an end to the restrictions on public comment, and that public comment follow the agenda item after it has been discussed, so community members might comment on new information that has been shared. Stop restricting the public comment period to forty-five minutes. You claim to be the “citizens’ voice in police affairs.” Start acting like it.

Signed,

Cody Sloan
--

Cody Sloan
pronouns: he/him/his (what’s this?
<https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Fuw.edu%2F1gbtrc%2FSupport%2Fgender-pronouns%2F&data=04%7C01%7Cpolicecommission%40lapd.onl ine%7C7c22301f2ac44f08f0fc08d934cf7aa9%7C642fd61c34dd4fd0af8d4435764858837C0%7C6375988798396867%7CUknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWIjo1MC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzlCJBTiI6Ik1haWwiLCJXCt3Mn0%3D%7C1000&sdata=NCI%2BmWR4aoE72a1791wrcY5YsKkGrGCzV6jsRjpHn0%3D&reserved=0>
MFA in Acting: UCSD '21
www.codydsloan.com
<https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.codydsloan.com%2F&data=04%7C01%7Cpolicecommission%40lapd.onl ine%7C7c22301f2ac44f08f0fc08d934cf7aa9%7C642fd61c34dd4fd0af8d4435764858837C0%7C6375988798396867%7CUknown%7CTWFpbGZ sb3d8eyJWIjo1MC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzlCJBTiI6Ik1haWwiLCJXCt3Mn0%3D%7C1000&sdata =UpNXygvBMrStloMrdupz2bka68gqksFKN3B6d9dx2BRLQ%3D&reserved=0>

From: Tiana McKenna
Sent: Monday, June 21, 2021 9:32 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcFAILs@gmail.com; lou@LegacyLA.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; councilmember.ridley-thomas@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 06/21/2021
ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Item 3B on the agenda this week refers to numerous LAPD trainings, updates, and the implementation of reforms, continuing a deadly cycle in which the City Council, LAPD, and the Board of Police Commissioners agree to ignore the community’s demands for change and a diversion of power and resources, and instead rely on LAPD to update on its activities and “progress” as if this were not a continuation of violence. We need look no further than LAPD’s assessment of its response to calls involving folks that are mentally ill or having a mental health crisis to see the folly of this: in the section headed “Crisis Intervention,” LAPD assures us that the Department is “at the forefront of training in responding to mental health crisis calls,” and that the Mental Evaluation Unit “meets or exceeds” state standards for mental health crisis response training. Yet in Los Angeles communities, LAPD’s response to folks who are mentally ill or in crisis is murderous, as was the case with Alfonso Mauldin in August 2020, which is also on the agenda today for discussion by the Board. When LAPD were directed to Mauldin, cops knew it was a call regarding a “male with mental illness.” Like so many LAPD responses, police drew their weapons and fired at Mauldin, thankfully missing him, but resulting in two charges for Mauldin for “assault of a police officer with a deadly weapon.”

Public safety does not come from funding reforms or more training, or from relying on LAPD assessments. We demand a defunding of LAPD, and that more city funds be invested in mental health services that offer ongoing and preventative care, as well as crisis intervention services that do involve the police.

We further demand an audit of LAPD’s Suspicious Activity Reports (SARs), which have not been audited since 2017 though ever audit has confirmed a pattern of racial profiling and surveillance, enabled and encouraged by such LAPD programs as the iWATCH “See Something, Say Something” program. In the most recent (2017) audit, 50% of reports of “suspicious activity” were reported in predominantly white communities, and were disproportionately filed against Black, Latinx, Middle Eastern, or South Asian community members. We demand an audit to expose the most recent reports made through this program, which must ultimately be abolished.

We also demand an end to the restrictions on public comment, and that public comment follow the agenda item after it has been discussed, so community members might comment on new information that has been shared. Stop restricting the public comment period to forty-five minutes. You claim to be the “citizens’ voice in police affairs.” Start acting like it.

Signed,

Tiana McKenna
Los Angeles 90032

From: Lizabeth Belli
Sent: Monday, June 21, 2021 9:36 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mke.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; councilmember.ridley-thomas@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 06/21/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Item 3B on the agenda this week refers to numerous LAPD trainings, updates, and the implementation of reforms, continuing a deadly cycle in which the City Council, LAPD, and the Board of Police Commissioners agree to ignore the community’s demands for change and a diversion of power and resources, and instead rely on LAPD to update its activities and “progress” as if this were not a continuation of violence. We need look no further than LAPD’s assessment of its response to calls involving folks that are mentally ill or having a mental health crisis to see the folly of this: in the section headed “Crisis Intervention,” LAPD assures us that the Department is “at the forefront of training in responding to mental health crisis calls,” and that the Mental Evaluation Unit “meets or exceeds” state standards for mental health crisis response training. Yet in Los Angeles communities, LAPD’s response to folks who are mentally ill or in crisis is murderous, as was the case with Alfonso Mauldin in August 2020, which is also on the agenda today for discussion by the Board. When LAPD were directed to Mauldin, cops knew it was a call regarding a “male with mental illness.” Like so many LAPD responses, police drew their weapons and fired at Mauldin, thankfully missing him, but resulting in two charges for Mauldin for “assault of a police officer with a deadly weapon.”

Public safety does not come from funding reforms or more training, or from relying on LAPD assessments. We demand a defunding of LAPD, and that more city funds be invested in mental health services that offer ongoing and preventative care, as well as crisis intervention services that do involve the police.

We further demand an audit of LAPD’s Suspicious Activity Reports (SARs), which have not been audited since 2017 though ever audit has confirmed a pattern of racial profiling and surveillance, enabled and encouraged by such LAPD programs as the iWATCH “See Something, Say Something” program. In the most recent (2017) audit, 59% of reports of “suspicious activity” were reported in predominantly white communities, and were disproportionately filed against Black, Latinx, Middle
Eastern, or South Asian community members. We demand an audit to expose the most recent reports made through this program, which must ultimately be abolished.

We also demand an end to the restrictions on public comment, and that public comment follow the agenda item after it has been discussed, so community members might comment on new information that has been shared. Stop restricting the public comment period to forty-five minutes. You claim to be the “citizens’ voice in police affairs.” Start acting like it.

Signed,

Lizabeth Belli

Lizabeth Belli (she/her)
#CareFirst
#CareNotCops
From:  Magan Wiles
Sent:  Monday, June 21, 2021 10:13 AM
To:  Police Commission
Subject:  Public Comment 06/21/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Item 3B on the agenda this week refers to numerous LAPD trainings, updates, and the implementation of reforms, continuing a deadly cycle in which the City Council, LAPD, and the Board of Police Commissioners agree to ignore the community’s demands for change and a diversion of power and resources, and instead rely on LAPD to update on its activities and “progress” as if this were not a continuation of violence. We need look no further than LAPD’s assessment of its response to calls involving folks that are mentally ill or having a mental health crisis to see the folly of this: in the section headed “Crisis Intervention,” LAPD assures us that the Department is “at the forefront of training in responding to mental health crisis calls,” and that the Mental Evaluation Unit “meets or exceeds” state standards for mental health crisis response training. Yet in Los Angeles communities, LAPD’s response to folks who are mentally ill or in crisis is murderous, as was the case with Alfonso Mauldin in August 2020, which is also on the agenda today for discussion by the Board. When LAPD were directed to Mauldin, cops knew it was a call regarding a “male with mental illness.” Like so many LAPD responses, police drew their weapons and fired at Mauldin, thankfully missing him, but resulting in two charges for Mauldin for “assault of a police officer with a deadly weapon.”

Public safety does not come from funding reforms or more training, or from relying on LAPD assessments. We demand a defunding of LAPD, and that more city funds be
invested in mental health services that offer ongoing and preventative care, as well as crisis intervention services that do involve the police.

We further demand an audit of LAPD’s Suspicious Activity Reports (SARs), which have not been audited since 2017 though ever audit has confirmed a pattern of racial profiling and surveillance, enabled and encouraged by such LAPD programs as the iWatch “See Something, Say Something” program. In the most recent (2017) audit, 59% of reports of “suspicious activity” were reported in predominantly white communities, and were disproportionately filed against Black, Latinx, Middle Eastern, or South Asian community members. We demand an audit to expose the most recent reports made through this program, which must ultimately be abolished.

We also demand an end to the restrictions on public comment, and that public comment follow the agenda item after it has been discussed, so community members might comment on new information that has been shared. Stop restricting the public comment period to forty-five minutes. You claim to be the “citizens’ voice in police affairs.” Start acting like it.

Signed,

Magan

--

magan (she/her)
From: Zach Sherwin
Sent: Monday, June 21, 2021 10:13 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Mayor Helpdesk; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; LAPC Fails; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; councilmember.ridley-thomas@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; Mitch O'Farrell; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 06/21/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:
Item 3B on the agenda this week refers to numerous LAPD trainings, updates, and the implementation of reforms, continuing a deadly cycle in which the City Council, LAPD, and the Board of Police Commissioners agree to ignore the community’s demands for change and a diversion of power and resources, and instead rely on LAPD to update on its activities and “progress” as if this were not a continuation of violence. We need look no further than LAPD’s assessment of its response to calls involving folks that are mentally ill or having a mental health crisis to see the folly of this: in the section headed “Crisis Intervention,” LAPD assures us that the Department is “at the forefront of training in responding to mental health crisis calls,” and that the Mental Evaluation Unit “meets or exceeds” state standards for mental health crisis response training. Yet in Los Angeles communities, LAPD’s response to folks who are mentally ill or in crisis is murderous, as was the case with Alfonso Mauldin in August 2020, which is also on the agenda today for discussion by the Board. When LAPD were directed to Mauldin, cops knew it was a call regarding a “male with mental illness.” Like so many LAPD responses, police drew their weapons and fired at Mauldin, thankfully missing him, but resulting in two charges for Mauldin for “assault of a police officer with a deadly weapon.”

Public safety does not come from funding reforms or more training, or from relying on LAPD assessments. We demand a defunding of LAPD, and that more city funds be invested in mental health services that offer ongoing and preventative care, as well as crisis intervention services that do involve the police.

We further demand an audit of LAPD’s Suspicious Activity Reports (SARs), which have not been audited since 2017 though ever audit has confirmed a pattern of racial profiling and surveillance, enabled and encouraged by such LAPD programs as the iWATCH “See Something, Say Something” program. In the most recent (2017) audit, 59% of reports of “suspicious activity” were reported in predominantly white communities, and were disproportionately filed against Black, Latinx, Middle Eastern, or South Asian community members. We demand an audit to expose the most recent reports made through this program, which must ultimately be abolished.

We also demand an end to the restrictions on public comment, and that public comment follow the agenda item after it has been discussed, so community members might comment on new information that has been shared. Stop restricting the public comment period to forty-five minutes. You claim to be the “citizens’ voice in police affairs.” Start acting like it.

Signed,

Zach Sherwin

From: Eleni Johnson
Sent: Monday, June 21, 2021 10:15 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: Michel Moore; Councilmember.Blumenfield@acity.org;
ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Regarding Item 3B,

Allowing the LAPD to assess themselves ignores what the Los Angeles community have been calling attention to and what you and so many others refuse to acknowledge. The police are ill equipped to handle the milieu of different scenarios that they are called for, including but not limited to mental health emergencies; and they will say and do anything to keep power and resources in their hands at the cost of another Angeleno’s life.

We need look no further than LAPD’s assessment of its response to calls involving folks that are mentally ill or having a mental health crisis to see the folly in self assessment: in the section headed “Crisis Intervention,” LAPD assures us that the Department is “at the forefront of training in responding to mental health crisis calls,” and that the Mental Evaluation Unit “meets or exceeds” state standards for mental health crisis response training. Yet in Los Angeles communities, LAPD’s response to folks who are mentally ill or in crisis is murderous, as was the case with Alfonso Maudlin in August 2020, which is also on the agenda today for discussion by the Board. When LAPD were directed to Alfonso Maudlin in August of 2020, cops knew it was a call regarding a “male with mental illness.” Like so many LAPD responses, police drew their weapons and fired at Maudlin, thankfully missing him, but resulting in two charges for Maudlin for “assault of a police officer with a deadly weapon.”

Public safety does not come from funding reforms or more training, or from relying on LAPD assessments. We demand a defunding of LAPD, and that more city funds be invested in mental health services that offer ongoing and preventative care, as well as crisis intervention services that do involve the police.
We further demand an audit of LAPD’s Suspicious Activity Reports (SARs), which have not been audited since 2017 though ever audit has confirmed a pattern of racial profiling and surveillance, enabled and encouraged by such LAPD programs as the iWATCH “See Something, Say Something” program. In the most recent (2017) audit, 59% of reports of “suspicious activity” were reported in predominantly white communities, and were disproportionately filed against Black, Latinx, Middle Eastern, or South Asian community members. We demand an audit to expose the most recent reports made through this program, which must ultimately be abolished.

We also demand an end to the restrictions on public comment, and that public comment follow the agenda item after it has been discussed, so community members might comment on new information that has been shared. Stop restricting the public comment period to forty-five minutes. You claim to be the “citizens’ voice in police affairs.” Start acting like it.

Eleni Johnson

--

Eleni Johnson

From: Kristen McCown
Sent: Monday, June 21, 2021 10:23 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; councilmember.ridley-thomas@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 06/21/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:
Item 3B on the agenda this week refers to numerous LAPD trainings, updates, and the implementation of reforms, continuing a deadly cycle in which the City Council, LAPD, and the Board of Police Commissioners agree to ignore the community’s demands for change and a diversion of power and resources, and instead rely on LAPD to update on its activities and “progress” as if this were not a continuation of violence. We need look no further than LAPD’s assessment of its response to calls involving folks that are mentally ill or having a mental health crisis to see the folly of this: in the section headed “Crisis Intervention,” LAPD assures us that the Department is “at the forefront of training in responding to mental health crisis calls,” and that the Mental Evaluation Unit “meets or exceeds” state standards for mental health crisis response training. Yet in Los Angeles communities, LAPD’s response to folks who are mentally ill or in crisis is murderous, as was the case with Alfonso Mauldin in August 2020, which is also on the agenda today for discussion by the Board. When LAPD were directed to Mauldin, cops knew it was a call regarding a “male with mental illness.” Like so many LAPD responses, police drew their weapons and fired at Mauldin, thankfully missing him, but resulting in two charges for Mauldin for “assault of a police officer with a deadly weapon.”

Public safety does not come from funding reforms or more training, or from relying on LAPD assessments. We demand a defunding of LAPD, and that more city funds be invested in mental health services that offer ongoing and preventative care, as well as crisis intervention services that do involve the police.

We further demand an audit of LAPD’s Suspicious Activity Reports (SARs), which have not been audited since 2017 though ever audit has confirmed a pattern of racial profiling and surveillance, enabled and encouraged by such LAPD programs as the iWATCH “See Something, Say Something” program. In the most recent (2017) audit, 59% of reports of “suspicious activity” were reported in predominantly white communities, and were disproportionately filed against Black, Latinx, Middle Eastern, or South Asian community members. We demand an audit to expose the most recent reports made through this program, which must ultimately be abolished.

We also demand an end to the restrictions on public comment, and that public comment follow the agenda item after it has been discussed, so community members might comment on new information that has been shared. Stop restricting the public comment period to forty-five minutes. You claim to be the “citizens’ voice in police affairs.” Start acting like it.

Signed,
Kristen McCowb

Sent from my iPhone
From: TeamCD4
Sent: Monday, June 21, 2021 10:27 AM
To: Eleni Johnson
Cc: Police Commission; Michel Moore; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; councilmember.ridley-thomas@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; ethics.commission@lacity.org; kevin.rector@latimes.com; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@LegacyLA.org; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Richard Tefank; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; Eileen Decker; William J. Briggs, II; paul.koretz@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; tips@laist.com
Subject: Re: Public Comment 6/21/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Eleni,

Thank you so much for your response. We appreciate your feedback and will take your comments into consideration.

Warmly,

Nicole Alaverdian
District Liaison

District line: [redacted]

<https://lh3.googleusercontent.com/taNnF0wjmKAAeYI23w7b4ERNphxAxTX0Fzg2e9XmXtMVywudCeIWG60GgSHnpMeRu4ADyDty7Im9cccFDONynmzm1sS5cWJBQg10Blc7dPn1H1RaLYcN_KvvuKJnrQArZZXoD1bckg>

Connect With Us:

* Sign Up For Our Newsletter Here <https://gcc82.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Fcouncildistrict4.lacity.org%2FNewsletter&data=04%7C01%7Cpolicecommission%40lapd.online%7C7a11862344e5>
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Mn0%3D7C3000&sdata=QTNfBZqafFeY638YFN8KKgGvv890R%2BURYOGBIpizT2dI%3D&reserved=0>

* Follow Us On Twitter
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Mn0%3D7C3000&sdata=xMOilPujTs56X3RXXvxAIRNNHmkuumin%2FscAFgKM2TVr9I%3D&reserved=0

, & Facebook
2cd4losangeles&data=04%7C01%7Cpolicercommission%40lapd.online%7C7a11862344e545bc90c9
88d9349d6527c642fd61c34dd4fd0af8d4435764858837c07c17c637598932228460198%
unknown7C7WFBgZsb3d8ey7WJiij0iMC4wLjMwMDAI7C3QIjoiv2luMziILCJTii6Ik1haWwI7JCXVCI6
Mn0%3D7C3000&sdata=9KnRBA0wsUJerzbyVc0VjtmFod%2Btev%2FUFwM5U%2FTE%3D&reserved=0

*****

DISCLOSURE: All emails sent to or from this account are subject to the California
Public Records Act and may be released upon request.

On Mon, Jun 21, 2021 at 10:15 AM Eleni Johnson [Redacted] wrote:

Regarding Item 3B,

Allowing the LAPD to assess themselves ignores what the Los Angeles community
have been calling attention to and what you and so many others refuse to
acknowledge. The police are ill equipped to handle the milieu of different scenarios
that they are called for, including but not limited to mental health emergencies;
and they will say and do anything to keep power and resources in their hands at the

cost of another Angeleno’s life.

We need look no further than LAPD’s assessment of its response to calls involving folks that are mentally ill or having a mental health crisis to see the folly in self assessment: in the section headed “Crisis Intervention,” LAPD assures us that the Department is “at the forefront of training in responding to mental health crisis calls,” and that the Mental Evaluation Unit “meets or exceeds” state standards for mental health crisis response training. Yet in Los Angeles communities, LAPD’s response to folks who are mentally ill or in crisis is murderous, as was the case with Alfonso Mauldin in August 2020, which is also on the agenda today for discussion by the Board. When LAPD were directed to Alfonso Mauldin in August of 2020, cops knew it was a call regarding a “male with mental illness.” Like so many LAPD responses, police drew their weapons and fired at Mauldin, thankfully missing him, but resulting in two charges for Mauldin for “assault of a police officer with a deadly weapon.”

Public safety does not come from funding reforms or more training, or from relying on LAPD assessments. We demand a defunding of LAPD, and that more city funds be invested in mental health services that offer ongoing and preventative care, as well as crisis intervention services that do involve the police.

We further demand an audit of LAPD’s Suspicious Activity Reports (SARs), which have not been audited since 2017 though ever audit has confirmed a pattern of racial profiling and surveillance, enabled and encouraged by such LAPD programs as the iWATCH “See Something, Say Something” program. In the most recent (2017) audit, 59% of reports of “suspicious activity” were reported in predominantly white communities, and were disproportionately filed against Black, Latinx, Middle Eastern, or South Asian community members. We demand an audit to expose the most recent reports made through this program, which must ultimately be abolished.

We also demand an end to the restrictions on public comment, and that public comment follow the agenda item after it has been discussed, so community members might comment on new information that has been shared. Stop restricting the public comment period to forty-five minutes. You claim to be the “citizens’ voice in police affairs.” Start acting like it.

Eleni Johnson

--

Eleni Johnson
From: TeamCD4
Sent: Monday, June 21, 2021 10:27 AM
To: Kristen McCown
Cc: Police Commission; mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Mayor Helpdesk; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Sboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@LegacyLA.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; Mayor Garcetti; Councilmember Ridley-Thomas; Councilmember Bonin; Gilbert Cedillo; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember Blumenfield; Council Member Koretz; Councilmember Martinez; Councilmember Rodriguez; Councilmember Harris-Dawson; Curren Price; Councilmember Lee; Mitch O'Farrell; Councilmember de Leon; CouncilmemberBuscaino
Subject: Re: Public Comment 06/21/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Kristen,

Thank you so much for your response. We appreciate your feedback and will take your comments into consideration.

Warmly,

Nicole Alaverdian
District Liaison

District line: ______________

<https://lh3.googleusercontent.com/taNnF0wjMKAEYI2Jw7b4ERNphxAXTOFzg2e9XmXtMVywdCeIWG60Gg5HnpMeR4ADyDty7Im9cccF DONynzm1sScwJBOQR10B1c7dPn1H1RaLYcN_KvvuKJnrQArZZXoD1bckG>
DISCLOSURE: All emails sent to or from this account are subject to the California Public Records Act and may be released upon request.

On Mon, Jun 21, 2021 at 10:23 AM Kristen McCown wrote:

Commissioners:

Item 3B on the agenda this week refers to numerous LAPD trainings, updates, and the implementation of reforms, continuing a deadly cycle in which the City Council, LAPD, and the Board of Police Commissioners agree to ignore the community’s demands for change and a diversion of power and resources, and instead rely on LAPD to update on its activities and “progress” as if this were not a continuation of
violence. We need look no further than LAPD’s assessment of its response to calls involving folks that are mentally ill or having a mental health crisis to see the folly of this: in the section headed “Crisis Intervention,” LAPD assures us that the Department is “at the forefront of training in responding to mental health crisis calls,” and that the Mental Evaluation Unit “meets or exceeds” state standards for mental health crisis response training. Yet in Los Angeles communities, LAPD’s response to folks who are mentally ill or in crisis is murderous, as was the case with Alfonso Mauldin in August 2020, which is also on the agenda today for discussion by the Board. When LAPD were directed to Mauldin, cops knew it was a call regarding a “male with mental illness.” Like so many LAPD responses, police drew their weapons and fired at Mauldin, thankfully missing him, but resulting in two charges for Mauldin for “assault of a police officer with a deadly weapon.”

Public safety does not come from funding reforms or more training, or from relying on LAPD assessments. We demand a defunding of LAPD, and that more city funds be invested in mental health services that offer ongoing and preventative care, as well as crisis intervention services that do involve the police.

We further demand an audit of LAPD’s Suspicious Activity Reports (SARs), which have not been audited since 2017 though every audit has confirmed a pattern of racial profiling and surveillance, enabled and encouraged by such LAPD programs as the iWATCH “See Something, Say Something” program. In the most recent (2017) audit, 59% of reports of “suspicious activity” were reported in predominantly white communities, and were disproportionately filed against Black, Latinx, Middle Eastern, or South Asian community members. We demand an audit to expose the most recent reports made through this program, which must ultimately be abolished.

We also demand an end to the restrictions on public comment, and that public comment follow the agenda item after it has been discussed, so community members might comment on new information that has been shared. Stop restricting the public comment period to forty-five minutes. You claim to be the “citizens’ voice in police affairs.” Start acting like it.

Signed,

Kristen McCowb

Sent from my iPhone

From: TeamCD4
Sent: Monday, June 21, 2021 10:30 AM
To: Magan Wiles
Cc: Police Commission
Subject: Re: Public Comment 06/21/2021
ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Magan,

Thank you so much for your response. We appreciate your feedback and will take your comments into consideration.

Warmly,

Nicole Alaverdian
District Liaison

District line: [Redacted]

<https://lh3.googleusercontent.com/taNnF0wjMkAeYI2Jw7b4ERNphxAXTOFzg2e9XmXtMVwudCeIWG60G5HnpMeRu4ADyDty7Im9cccFDONYmmzm1sSCw3BOQR1OB1c7dPn1HrLYcN_KvuKJnrQAaRZ2XoDibcKg>

Connect With Us:

* Sign Up For Our Newsletter Here
<https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Fcouncildistrict4.lacity.org%2FNewsletter&data=04%7C01%7Cpolicecommission%40lapd.online%7C65b4148618a14797899d08d934a33e4%7C642fd61c34dd4fd0af8d443576485883%7C0%7C0%7C637598934061887541%7CUnknown%7CTWFBgZsb3d8eyJWIjoiMC4wLjAwMDA1LCQIjoiVZ1uMzIiLCJBTiI6Ik1hawwilCJXVC16Mn0%3D%7C1000&sdata=fc7sTSxboorhRqqN5EX1AD%2Fu5Kc0L8FnrpmjWVP8olU%3D&reserved=0>

* Follow Us On Twitter
<https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Ftwitter.com%2Fc4d4losangeles&data=04%7C01%7Cpolicecommission%40lapd.online%7C65b4148618a14797899d08d934da33e4%7C642fd61c34dd4fd0af8d443576485883%7C0%7C0%7C637598934061887498%7CUnknown%7CTWFBgZsb3d8eyJWIjoiMC4wLjAwMDA1LCQIjoiVZ1uMzIiLCJBTiI6Ik1hawwilCJXVC16Mn0%3D%7C1000&sdata=mmVmoZ8q9VHfqi9Jx7gvmYrjxb%2Bp23N6ayPEP1laXw%3D&reserved=0> , Instagram
<https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Finstagram.com%2Fc4d4losangeles&data=04%7C01%7Cpolicecommission%40lapd.online%7C65b4148618a14797899d08d9>
On Mon, Jun 21, 2021 at 10:12 AM Megan Wiles wrote:

Commissioners:

Item 3B on the agenda this week refers to numerous LAPD trainings, updates, and the implementation of reforms, continuing a deadly cycle in which the City Council, LAPD, and the Board of Police Commissioners agree to ignore the community’s demands for change and a diversion of power and resources, and instead rely on LAPD to update on its activities and “progress” as if this were not a continuation of violence. We need look no further than LAPD’s assessment of its response to calls involving folks that are mentally ill or having a mental health crisis to see the folly of this: in the section headed “Crisis Intervention,” LAPD assures us that the Department is “at the forefront of training in responding to mental health crisis calls,” and that the Mental Evaluation Unit “meets or exceeds” state standards for mental health crisis response training. Yet in Los Angeles communities, LAPD’s response to folks who are mentally ill or in crisis is murderous, as was the case with Alfonso Mauldin in August 2020, which is also on the agenda today for discussion by the Board. When LAPD were directed to Mauldin, cops knew it was a call regarding a “man with mental illness.” Like so many LAPD responses, police drew their weapons and fired at Mauldin, thankfully missing him, but resulting in two charges for Mauldin for “assault of a police officer with a deadly weapon.”

Public safety does not come from funding reforms or more training, or from relying on LAPD assessments. We demand a defunding of LAPD, and that more city funds be invested in mental health services that offer ongoing and preventative care, as
well as crisis intervention services that do involve the police.

We further demand an audit of LAPD’s Suspicious Activity Reports (SARs), which have not been audited since 2017 though ever audit has confirmed a pattern of racial profiling and surveillance, enabled and encouraged by such LAPD programs as the iWATCH “See Something, Say Something” program. In the most recent (2017) audit, 59% of reports of “suspicious activity” were reported in predominantly white communities, and were disproportionately filed against Black, Latinx, Middle Eastern, or South Asian community members. We demand an audit to expose the most recent reports made through this program, which must ultimately be abolished.

We also demand an end to the restrictions on public comment, and that public comment follow the agenda item after it has been discussed, so community members might comment on new information that has been shared. Stop restricting the public comment period to forty-five minutes. You claim to be the “citizens’ voice in police affairs.” Start acting like it.

Signed,

Magan

--
magan (she/her)

From: TeamCD4
Sent: Monday, June 21, 2021 10:30 AM
To: Lizabeth Belli
Cc: Police Commission; mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Mayor Helpdesk; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@LegacyLA.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; Mayor Garcetti; Councilmember Ridley-Thomas; Councilmember Bonin; Gilbert Cedillo; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember Blumenfield; Council Member Koretz; Councilmember Martinez; Councilmember Rodriguez; Councilmember Harris-Dawson; Curren Price; Councilmember Lee; Mitch O'Farrell; Councilmember de Leon; Councilmember Buscaino
Subject: Re: Public Comment 06/21/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Lizabeth,

Thank you so much for your response. We appreciate your feedback and will take your comments into consideration.
Warmly,

Nicole Alaverdian
District Liaison

District line: [Redacted]

<https://lh3.googleusercontent.com/taNnFw0jMKAeYIJ7w7b4ERNphxAXTOFzg2e9XmXmVywudCeIWGG0Gg5HnpMeRu4ADyDty7Im9ccFFODYnmzm1sScW3BQR1O8Lc7dPn1H1RaLYcN_KvuKJnrQArZZx0DIbCKg>

Connect With Us:

* Sign Up For Our Newsletter Here [URL]

* Follow Us On Twitter [URL]

* Instagram [URL]

* Facebook [URL]
On Mon, Jun 21, 2021 at 9:36 AM Lizabeth Belli > wrote:

Commissioners:

Item 3B on the agenda this week refers to numerous LAPD trainings, updates, and the implementation of reforms, continuing a deadly cycle in which the City Council, LAPD, and the Board of Police Commissioners agree to ignore the community’s demands for change and a diversion of power and resources, and instead rely on LAPD to update on its activities and “progress” as if this were not a continuation of violence. We need look no further than LAPD’s assessment of its response to calls involving folks that are mentally ill or having a mental health crisis to see the folly of this: in the section headed “Crisis Intervention,” LAPD assures us that the Department is “at the forefront of training in responding to mental health crisis calls,” and that the Mental Evaluation Unit “meets or exceeds” state standards for mental health crisis response training. Yet in Los Angeles communities, LAPD’s response to folks who are mentally ill or in crisis is murderous, as was the case with Alfonso Mauldin in August 2020, which is also on the agenda today for discussion by the Board. When LAPD were directed to Mauldin, cops knew it was a call regarding a “male with mental illness.” Like so many LAPD responses, police drew their weapons and fired at Mauldin, thankfully missing him, but resulting in two charges for Mauldin for “assault of a police officer with a deadly weapon.”

Public safety does not come from funding reforms or more training, or from relying on LAPD assessments. We demand a defunding of LAPD, and that more city funds be invested in mental health services that offer ongoing and preventative care, as well as crisis intervention services that do involve the police.
We further demand an audit of LAPD’s Suspicious Activity Reports (SARs), which have not been audited since 2017 though ever audit has confirmed a pattern of racial profiling and surveillance, enabled and encouraged by such LAPD programs as the iWatch “See Something, Say Something” program. In the most recent (2017) audit, 59% of reports of “suspicious activity” were reported in predominantly white communities, and were disproportionately filed against Black, Latinx, Middle Eastern, or South Asian community members. We demand an audit to expose the most recent reports made through this program, which must ultimately be abolished.

We also demand an end to the restrictions on public comment, and that public comment follow the agenda item after it has been discussed, so community members might comment on new information that has been shared. Stop restricting the public comment period to forty-five minutes. You claim to be the “citizens’ voice in police affairs.” Start acting like it.

Signed,

Elizabeth Belli

Elizabeth Belli (she/her)

#CareFirst
#CareNotCops

From: SHERRY VARON
Sent: Monday, June 21, 2021 10:53 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; LAPCFails; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laisl.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; councilmember.ridley-thomas@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 06/21/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:
Item 3B on the agenda this week refers to numerous LAPD trainings, updates, and the implementation of reforms, continuing a deadly cycle in which the City Council, LAPD, and the Board of Police Commissioners agree to ignore the community’s demands for change and a diversion of power and resources, and instead rely on LAPD to update on its activities and “progress” as if this were not a continuation of violence. We need look no further than LAPD’s assessment of its response to calls involving folks that are mentally ill or having a mental health crisis to see the folly of this: in the section headed “Crisis Intervention,” LAPD assures us that the Department is “at the forefront of training in responding to mental health crisis calls,” and that the Mental Evaluation Unit “meets or exceeds” state standards for mental health crisis response training. Yet in Los Angeles communities, LAPD’s response to folks who are mentally ill or in crisis is murderous, as was the case with Alfonso Mauldin in August 2020, which is also on the agenda today for discussion by the Board. When LAPD were directed to Mauldin, cops knew it was a call regarding a “male with mental illness.” Like so many LAPD responses, police drew their weapons and fired at Mauldin, thankfully missing him, but resulting in two charges for Mauldin for “assault of a police officer with a deadly weapon.”

Public safety does not come from funding reforms or more training, or from relying on LAPD assessments. We demand a defunding of LAPD, and that more city funds be invested in mental health services that offer ongoing and preventative care, as well as crisis intervention services that do involve the police.

We further demand an audit of LAPD’s Suspicious Activity Reports (SARs), which have not been audited since 2017 though ever audit has confirmed a pattern of racial profiling and surveillance, enabled and encouraged by such LAPD programs as the iWATCH “See Something, Say Something” program. In the most recent (2017) audit, 59% of reports of “suspicious activity” were reported in predominantly white communities, and were disproportionately filed against Black, Latinx, Middle Eastern, or South Asian community members. We demand an audit to expose the most recent reports made through this program, which must ultimately be abolished.

We also demand an end to the restrictions on public comment, and that public comment follow the agenda item after it has been discussed, so community members might comment on new information that has been shared. Stop restricting the public comment period to forty-five minutes. You claim to be the “citizens’ voice in police affairs.” Start acting like it.

Signed,
Sherry Varon

From: kristen studard
Sent: Monday, June 21, 2021 11:14 AM
To: Police Commission
Subject: Public Comment 6/21/21

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:
Item 3B on the agenda this week refers to numerous LAPD trainings, updates, and the implementation of reforms, continuing a deadly cycle in which the City Council, LAPD, and the Board of Police Commissioners agree to ignore the community’s demands for change and a diversion of power and resources, and instead rely on LAPD to update on its activities and “progress” as if this were not a continuation of violence. We need look no further than LAPD’s assessment of its response to calls involving folks that are mentally ill or having a mental health crisis to see the folly of this: in the section headed “Crisis Intervention,” LAPD assures us that the Department is “at the forefront of training in responding to mental health crisis calls,” and that the Mental Evaluation Unit “meets or exceeds” state standards for mental health crisis response training. Yet in Los Angeles communities, LAPD’s response to folks who are mentally ill or in crisis is murderous, as was the case with Alfonso Mauldin in August 2020, which is also on the agenda today for discussion by the Board. When LAPD were directed to Mauldin, cops knew it was a call regarding a “male with mental illness.” Like so many LAPD responses, police drew their weapons and fired at Mauldin, thankfully missing him, but resulting in two charges for Mauldin for “assault of a police officer with a deadly weapon.”

Public safety does not come from funding reforms or more training, or from relying on LAPD assessments. We demand a defunding of LAPD, and that more city funds be invested in mental health services that offer ongoing and preventative care, as well as crisis intervention services that do involve the police.

We further demand an audit of LAPD’s Suspicious Activity Reports (SARs), which have not been audited since 2017 though ever audit has confirmed a pattern of racial profiling and surveillance, enabled and encouraged by such LAPD programs as the iWATCH “See Something, Say Something” program. In the most recent (2017) audit, 59% of reports of “suspicious activity” were reported in predominantly white communities, and were disproportionately filed against Black, Latinx, Middle Eastern, or South Asian community members. We demand an audit to expose the most recent reports made through this program, which must ultimately be abolished.

We also demand an end to the restrictions on public comment, and that public comment follow the agenda item after it has been discussed, so community members might comment on new information that has been shared. Stop restricting the public comment period to forty-five minutes. You claim to be the “citizens’ voice in police affairs.” Start acting like it.

Signed,

Kristen Studard
From:  Michelle King [REDACTED]
Sent:  Monday, June 21, 2021 11:18 AM
To:  Police Commission
Item 3B on the agenda this week refers to numerous LAPD trainings, updates, and the implementation of reforms, continuing a deadly cycle in which the City Council, LAPD, and the Board of Police Commissioners agree to ignore the community's demands for change and a diversification of power and resources, and instead rely on LAPD to update on its activities and "progress" as if this were not a continuation of violence. We need look no further than LAPD's assessment of its response to calls involving folks that are mentally ill or having a mental health crisis to see the folly of this: in the section headed "Crisis Intervention," LAPD assures us that the Department is "at the forefront of training in responding to mental health crisis calls," and that the Mental Evaluation Unit "meets or exceeds" state standards for mental health crisis response training. Yet in Los Angeles communities, LAPD's response to folks who are mentally ill or in crisis is murderous, as was the case with Alfonso Mauldin in August 2020, which is also on the agenda today for discussion by the Board. When LAPD were directed to Mauldin, cops knew it was a call regarding a "male with mental illness." Like so many LAPD responses, police drew their weapons and fired at Mauldin, thankfully missing him, but resulting in two charges for Mauldin for "assault of a police officer with a deadly weapon."

Public safety does not come from funding reforms or more training, or from relying on LAPD assessments. We demand a defunding of LAPD, and that more city funds be invested in mental health services that offer ongoing and preventative care, as well as crisis intervention services that do NOT involve the police.

We further demand an audit of LAPD's Suspicious Activity Reports (SARs), which have not been audited since 2017 though every audit has confirmed a pattern of racial profiling and surveillance, enabled and encouraged by such LAPD programs as the iWATCH "See Something, Say Something" program. In the most recent (2017) audit, 59% of reports of "suspicious activity" were reported in predominantly white communities, and were disproportionately filed against Black, Latinx, Middle
Eastern, or South Asian community members. We demand an audit to expose the most recent reports made through this program, which must ultimately be abolished.

We also demand an end to the restrictions on public comment, and that public comment follow the agenda item after it has been discussed, so community members might comment on new information that has been shared. Stop restricting the public comment period to forty-five minutes. You claim to be the “citizens’ voice in police affairs.” Please start acting like it.

In community,

Michelle King

From: Harrison Weinfeld
Sent: Monday, June 21, 2021 11:19 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; Fails Lapc; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; councilmember.ridley-thomas@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 06/21/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Item 3B on the agenda this week refers to numerous LAPD trainings, updates, and the implementation of reforms, continuing a deadly cycle in which the City Council, LAPD, and the Board of Police Commissioners agree to ignore the community’s demands for change and a diversion of power and resources, and instead rely on LAPD to update on its activities and “progress” as if this were not a continuation of violence. We need look no further than LAPD’s assessment of its response to calls involving folks that are mentally ill or having a mental health crisis to see the
foley of this: in the section headed “Crisis Intervention,” LAPD assures us that the Department is “at the forefront of training in responding to mental health crisis calls,” and that the Mental Evaluation Unit “meets or exceeds” state standards for mental health crisis response training. Yet in Los Angeles communities, LAPD’s response to folks who are mentally ill or in crisis is murderous, as was the case with Alfonso Mauldin in August 2020, which is also on the agenda today for discussion by the Board. When LAPD were directed to Mauldin, cops knew it was a call regarding a “male with mental illness.” Like so many LAPD responses, police drew their weapons and fired at Mauldin, thankfully missing him, but resulting in two charges for Mauldin for “assault of a police officer with a deadly weapon.”

Public safety does not come from funding reforms or more training, or from relying on LAPD assessments. We demand a defunding of LAPD, and that more city funds be invested in mental health services that offer ongoing and preventative care, as well as crisis intervention services that do involve the police.

We further demand an audit of LAPD’s Suspicious Activity Reports (SARs), which have not been audited since 2017 though ever audit has confirmed a pattern of racial profiling and surveillance, enabled and encouraged by such LAPD programs as the iWATCH “See Something, Say Something” program. In the most recent (2017) audit, 59% of reports of “suspicious activity” were reported in predominately white communities, and were disproportionately filed against Black, Latinx, Middle Eastern, or South Asian community members. We demand an audit to expose the most recent reports made through this program, which must ultimately be abolished.

We also demand an end to the restrictions on public comment, and that public comment follow the agenda item after it has been discussed, so community members might comment on new information that has been shared. Stop restricting the public comment period to forty-five minutes. You claim to be the “citizens’ voice in police affairs.” Start acting like it.

Signed,

Harrison Weinfeld

From: TeamCD4
Sent: Monday, June 21, 2021 11:20 AM
To: kristen studard
Cc: Police Commission
Subject: Re: Public Comment 6/21/21

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Kristen,
Thank you so much for your response. We appreciate your feedback and will take your comments into consideration.

Warmly,

Nicole Alaverdian
District Liaison

District line: [Redacted]

<https://lh3.googleusercontent.com/talNnF8wJMKaEyi2Jw7b4ERNphxAkTOFZg2e9XmXtMVwudCeIWG69G5HnpMeRu4ADyDty7Im9cccFDONynmzm1sScW3BQQR1Ob1c7dPn1HlRalyCnKvVkJnrrQArZxODIBcKg>

Connect With Us:
* Sign Up For Our Newsletter Here
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DISCLOSURE: All emails sent to or from this account are subject to the California Public Records Act and may be released upon request.

On Mon, Jun 21, 2021 at 11:14 AM kristen studard > wrote:

Commissioners:

Item 3B on the agenda this week refers to numerous LAPD trainings, updates, and the implementation of reforms, continuing a deadly cycle in which the City Council, LAPD, and the Board of Police Commissioners agree to ignore the community’s demands for change and a diversion of power and resources, and instead rely on LAPD to update on its activities and “progress” as if this were not a continuation of violence. We need look no further than LAPD’s assessment of its response to calls involving folks that are mentally ill or having a mental health crisis to see the folly of this: in the section headed “Crisis Intervention,” LAPD assures us that the Department is “at the forefront of training in responding to mental health crisis calls,” and that the Mental Evaluation Unit “meets or exceeds” state standards for mental health crisis response training. Yet in Los Angeles communities, LAPD’s response to folks who are mentally ill or in crisis is murderous, as was the case with Alfonso Mauldin in August 2020, which is also on the agenda today for discussion by the Board. When LAPD were directed to Mauldin, cops knew it was a call regarding a “male with mental illness.” Like so many LAPD responses, police drew their weapons and fired at Mauldin, thankfully missing him, but resulting in two charges for Mauldin for “assault of a police officer with a deadly weapon.”

Public safety does not come from funding reforms or more training, or from relying on LAPD assessments. We demand a defunding of LAPD, and that more city funds be invested in mental health services that offer ongoing and preventative care, as well as crisis intervention services that do involve the police.
We further demand an audit of LAPD’s Suspicious Activity Reports (SARs), which have not been audited since 2017 though ever audit has confirmed a pattern of racial profiling and surveillance, enabled and encouraged by such LAPD programs as the iWATCH “See Something, Say Something” program. In the most recent (2017) audit, 59% of reports of “suspicious activity” were reported in predominantly white communities, and were disproportionately filed against Black, Latinx, Middle Eastern, or South Asian community members. We demand an audit to expose the most recent reports made through this program, which must ultimately be abolished.

We also demand an end to the restrictions on public comment, and that public comment follow the agenda item after it has been discussed, so community members might comment on new information that has been shared. Stop restricting the public comment period to forty-five minutes. You claim to be the “citizens’ voice in police affairs.” Start acting like it.

Signed,

Kristen Studard

From: TeamCD4
Sent: Monday, June 21, 2021 11:21 AM
To: Michelle King
Cc: Police Commission; mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Mayor Helpdesk; Ana Guerrero; Eileen Decker; Ethics Commission; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; LAPC Fails; lou@LegacyLA.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; Mayor Garcetti; Councilmember Ridley-Thomas; Councilmember Bonin; Gilbert Cedillo; Councilmember Krekorian; Councilmember Blumenfield; Council Member Koretz; Councilmember Martinez; Councilmember Rodriguez; Councilmember Harris-Dawson; Curren Price; Councilmember Lee; Mitch O’Farrell; Councilmember de Leon; Councilmember Buscaino
Subject: Re: Public Comment 06/22/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Michelle,

Thank you so much for your response. We appreciate your feedback and will take your comments into consideration.

Warmly,
Public Records Act and may be released upon request.

On Mon, Jun 21, 2021 at 11:18 AM Michelle King [REDACTED] > wrote:

Commissioners:

Item 3B on the agenda this week refers to numerous LAPD trainings, updates, and the implementation of reforms, continuing a deadly cycle in which the City Council, LAPD, and the Board of Police Commissioners agree to ignore the community’s demands for change and a diversion of power and resources, and instead rely on LAPD to update on its activities and “progress” as if this were not a continuation of violence. We need look no further than LAPD’s assessment of its response to calls involving folks that are mentally ill or having a mental health crisis to see the folly of this: in the section headed “Crisis Intervention,” LAPD assures us that the Department is “at the forefront of training in responding to mental health crisis calls,” and that the Mental Evaluation Unit “meets or exceeds” state standards for mental health crisis response training. Yet in Los Angeles communities, LAPD’s response to folks who are mentally ill or in crisis is murderous, as was the case with Alfonso Mauldin in August 2020, which is also on the agenda today for discussion by the Board. When LAPD were directed to Mauldin, cops knew it was a call regarding a “male with mental illness.” Like so many LAPD responses, police drew their weapons and fired at Mauldin, thankfully missing him, but resulting in two charges for Mauldin for “assault of a police officer with a deadly weapon.”

Public safety does not come from funding reforms or more training, or from relying on LAPD assessments. We demand a defunding of LAPD, and that more city funds be invested in mental health services that offer ongoing and preventative care, as well as crisis intervention services that do NOT involve the police.

We further demand an audit of LAPD’s Suspicious Activity Reports (SARs), which have not been audited since 2017 though every audit has confirmed a pattern of racial profiling and surveillance, enabled and encouraged by such LAPD programs as the iWATCH “See Something, Say Something” program. In the most recent (2017) audit, 59% of reports of “suspicious activity” were reported in predominantly white communities, and were disproportionately filed against Black, Latinx, Middle Eastern, or South Asian community members. We demand an audit to expose the most recent reports made through this program, which must ultimately be abolished.

We also demand an end to the restrictions on public comment, and that public comment follow the agenda item after it has been discussed, so community members
might comment on new information that has been shared. Stop restricting the public comment period to forty-five minutes. You claim to be the “citizens’ voice in police affairs.” Please start acting like it.

In community,

Michelle King

From: TeamCD4
Sent: Monday, June 21, 2021 11:21 AM
To: Harrison Weinfeld
Cc: Police Commission; mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Mayor Helpdesk; Ana Guerrero; Eileen Decker; Ethics Commission; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; Fails Lapc; lou@LegacyLA.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; Mayor Garcetti; Councilmember Ridley-Thomas; Councilmember Bonin; Gilbert Cedillo; Councilmember Krekorian; Councilmember Blumenfield; Council Member Koretz; Councilmember Martinez; Councilmember Rodriguez; Councilmember Harris-Dawson; Curren Price; Councilmember Lee; Mitch O'Farrell; Councilmember de Leon; Councilmember Buscaino
Subject: Re: Public Comment 06/21/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Harrison,

Thank you so much for your response. We appreciate your feedback and will take your comments into consideration.

Warmly,

Nicole Alaverdian
District Liaison
District line: [redacted]
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  <https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Fcouncildistrict4.lacity.org%2FNewsletter&data=04%7C01%7Cpolicecommission%40lapd.online%7C359073166be44be14e10%7C642fd61c34dd4fd0af8d443576485883%7C0%7C0%7C63759896503275392%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWIjo1MC4wLjAwMDA1LjQ1Qjo1V2luMzIiLCJBTiI6Ik1haWwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D%7C1000&sdata=5B%2B7Qh9nQp9mh2sDH%2F3n8ow0dgDHP08V4pyWqLH%4%2F%2Fg%3D&reserved=0>
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  <https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.facebook.com%2Fcd4losangeles&data=04%7C01%7Cpolicecommission%40lapd.online%7C359073166be44be14e10%7C642fd61c34dd4fd0af8d443576485883%7C0%7C0%7C63759896503275392%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWIjo1MC4wLjAwMDA1LjQ1Qjo1V2luMzIiLCJBTiI6Ik1haWwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D%7C1000&sdata=6RMXNkgjJJ4truwUrunnula%2BLoV2567%2BdvWl8qdEXy9Y%3D&reserved=0>

*****

DISCLOSURE: All emails sent to or from this account are subject to the California Public Records Act and may be released upon request.
Commissioners:

Item 3B on the agenda this week refers to numerous LAPD trainings, updates, and the implementation of reforms, continuing a deadly cycle in which the City Council, LAPD, and the Board of Police Commissioners agree to ignore the community’s demands for change and a diversion of power and resources, and instead rely on LAPD to update on its activities and “progress” as if this were not a continuation of violence. We need look no further than LAPD’s assessment of its response to calls involving folks that are mentally ill or having a mental health crisis to see the folly of this: in the section headed “Crisis Intervention,” LAPD assures us that the Department is “at the forefront of training in responding to mental health crisis calls,” and that the Mental Evaluation Unit “meets or exceeds” state standards for mental health crisis response training. Yet in Los Angeles communities, LAPD’s response to folks who are mentally ill or in crisis is murderous, as was the case with Alfonso Mauldin in August 2020, which is also on the agenda today for discussion by the Board. When LAPD were directed to Mauldin, cops knew it was a call regarding a “male with mental illness.” Like so many LAPD responses, police drew their weapons and fired at Mauldin, thankfully missing him, but resulting in two charges for Mauldin for “assault of a police officer with a deadly weapon.”

Public safety does not come from funding reforms or more training, or from relying on LAPD assessments. We demand a defunding of LAPD, and that more city funds be invested in mental health services that offer ongoing and preventative care, as well as crisis intervention services that do involve the police.

We further demand an audit of LAPD’s Suspicious Activity Reports (SARs), which have not been audited since 2017 though ever audit has confirmed a pattern of racial profiling and surveillance, enabled and encouraged by such LAPD programs as the iWATCH “See Something, Say Something” program. In the most recent (2017) audit, 59% of reports of “suspicious activity” were reported in predominantly white communities, and were disproportionately filed against Black, Latinx, Middle Eastern, or South Asian community members. We demand an audit to expose the most recent reports made through this program, which must ultimately be abolished.

We also demand an end to the restrictions on public comment, and that public comment follow the agenda item after it has been discussed, so community members might comment on new information that has been shared. Stop restricting the public comment period to forty-five minutes. You claim to be the “citizens’ voice in police affairs.” Start acting like it.

Signed,
Harrison Weinfeld

From: Lucas O'Connor
Sent: Monday, June 21, 2021 11:28 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; councilmember.ridley-thomas@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contacttcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 06/21/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Item 3B on the agenda this week refers to numerous LAPD trainings, updates, and the implementation of reforms, continuing a deadly cycle in which the City Council, LAPD, and the Board of Police Commissioners agree to ignore the community’s demands for change and a diversion of power and resources, and instead rely on LAPD to update on its activities and “progress” as if this were not a continuation of violence. We need look no further than LAPD’s assessment of its response to calls involving folks that are mentally ill or having a mental health crisis to see the folly of this: in the section headed “Crisis Intervention,” LAPD assures us that the Department is “at the forefront of training in responding to mental health crisis calls,” and that the Mental Evaluation Unit “meets or exceeds” state standards for mental health crisis response training. Yet in Los Angeles communities, LAPD’s response to folks who are mentally ill or in crisis is murderous, as was the case with Alfonso Mauldin in August 2020, which is also on the agenda today for discussion by the Board. When LAPD were directed to Mauldin, cops knew it was a call regarding a “male with mental illness.” Like so many LAPD responses, police drew their weapons and fired at Mauldin, thankfully missing him, but resulting in two charges for Mauldin for “assault of a police officer with a deadly weapon.”

Public safety does not come from funding reforms or more training, or from relying on LAPD assessments. We demand a defunding of LAPD, and that more city funds be invested in mental health services that offer ongoing and preventative care, as well as crisis intervention services that do involve the police.
We further demand an audit of LAPD’s Suspicious Activity Reports (SARs), which have not been audited since 2017 though every audit has confirmed a pattern of racial profiling and surveillance, enabled and encouraged by such LAPD programs as the iWATCH “See Something, Say Something” program. In the most recent (2017) audit, 59% of reports of “suspicious activity” were reported in predominantly white communities, and were disproportionately filed against Black, Latinx, Middle Eastern, or South Asian community members. We demand an audit to expose the most recent reports made through this program, which must ultimately be abolished.

We also demand an end to the restrictions on public comment, and that public comment follow the agenda item after it has been discussed, so community members might comment on new information that has been shared. Stop restricting the public comment period to forty-five minutes. You claim to be the “citizens’ voice in police affairs.” Start acting like it.

Signed,

Lucas O’Connor

From: Carolyn Canterbury
Sent: Monday, June 21, 2021 11:33 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@LegacyLA.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; councilmember.ridley-thomas@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaigno@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 6/21/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Item 3B on the agenda this week refers to numerous LAPD trainings, updates, and the implementation of reforms, continuing a deadly cycle in which the City Council, LAPD, and the Board of Police Commissioners agree to ignore the community’s demands for change and a diversion of power and resources, and instead rely on LAPD to update on its activities and “progress” as if this were not a continuation of
violence. We need look no further than LAPD’s assessment of its response to calls involving folks that are mentally ill or having a mental health crisis to see the folly of this: in the section headed “Crisis Intervention,” LAPD assures us that the Department is “at the forefront of training in responding to mental health crisis calls,” and that the Mental Evaluation Unit “meets or exceeds” state standards for mental health crisis response training. Yet in Los Angeles communities, LAPD’s response to folks who are mentally ill or in crisis is murderous, as was the case with Alfonso Mauldin in August 2020, which is also on the agenda today for discussion by the Board. When LAPD were directed to Mauldin, cops knew it was a call regarding a “male with mental illness.” Like so many LAPD responses, police drew their weapons and fired at Mauldin, thankfully missing him, but resulting in two charges for Mauldin for “assault of a police officer with a deadly weapon.”

Public safety does not come from funding reforms or more training, or from relying on LAPD assessments. We demand a defunding of LAPD, and that more city funds be invested in mental health services that offer ongoing and preventative care, as well as crisis intervention services that do involve the police.

We further demand an audit of LAPD’s Suspicious Activity Reports (SARs), which have not been audited since 2017 though ever audit has confirmed a pattern of racial profiling and surveillance, enabled and encouraged by such LAPD programs as the iWATCH “See Something, Say Something” program. In the most recent (2017) audit, 59% of reports of “suspicious activity” were reported in predominantly white communities, and were disproportionately filed against Black, Latinx, Middle Eastern, or South Asian community members. We demand an audit to expose the most recent reports made through this program, which must ultimately be abolished.

We also demand an end to the restrictions on public comment, and that public comment follow the agenda item it has been discussed, so community members might comment on new information that has been shared. Stop restricting the public comment period to forty-five minutes. You claim to be the “citizens’ voice in police affairs.” Start acting like it.

Signed,

Carolyn H. Canterbury

www.linkedin.com/in/carolyncanterbury
From: Greg Irwin
Sent: Monday, June 21, 2021 11:35 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; councilmember.ridley-thomas@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 06/21/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Item 3B on the agenda this week refers to numerous LAPD trainings, updates, and the implementation of reforms, continuing a deadly cycle in which the City Council, LAPD, and the Board of Police Commissioners agree to ignore the community’s demands for change and a diversion of power and resources, and instead rely on LAPD to update on its activities and “progress” as if this were not a continuation of violence. We need look no further than LAPD’s assessment of its response to calls involving folks that are mentally ill or having a mental health crisis to see the folly of this: in the section headed “Crisis Intervention,” LAPD assures us that the Department is “at the forefront of training in responding to mental health crisis calls,” and that the Mental Evaluation Unit “meets or exceeds” state standards for mental health crisis response training. Yet in Los Angeles communities, LAPD’s response to folks who are mentally ill or in crisis is murderous, as was the case with Alfonso Mauldin in August 2020, which is also on the agenda today for discussion by the Board. When LAPD were directed to Mauldin, cops knew it was a call regarding a “male with mental illness.” Like so many LAPD responses, police drew their weapons and fired at Mauldin, thankfully missing him, but resulting in two charges for Mauldin for “assault of a police officer with a deadly weapon.”

Public safety does not come from funding reforms or more training, or from relying on LAPD assessments. We demand a defunding of LAPD, and that more city funds be invested in mental health services that offer ongoing and preventative care, as well as crisis intervention services that do involve the police.

We further demand an audit of LAPD’s Suspicious Activity Reports (SARs), which have not been audited since 2017 though every audit has confirmed a pattern of racial
profiling and surveillance, enabled and encouraged by such LAPD programs as the iWATCH “See Something, Say Something” program. In the most recent (2017) audit, 59% of reports of “suspicious activity” were reported in predominantly white communities, and were disproportionately filed against Black, Latinx, Middle Eastern, or South Asian community members. We demand an audit to expose the most recent reports made through this program, which must ultimately be abolished.

We also demand an end to the restrictions on public comment, and that public comment follow the agenda item after it has been discussed, so community members might comment on new information that has been shared. Stop restricting the public comment period to forty-five minutes. You claim to be the “citizens’ voice in police affairs.” Start acting like it.

Signed,
Gregory Irwin
90035
From: Jenn Murphy
Sent: Monday, June 21, 2021 11:41 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyLA.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@latist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; councilmember.ridley-thomas@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contacttcld@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 06/21/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Item 3B on the agenda this week refers to numerous LAPD trainings, updates, and the implementation of reforms, continuing a deadly cycle in which the City Council, LAPD, and the Board of Police Commissioners agree to ignore the community’s demands for change and a diversion of power and resources, and instead rely on LAPD to update on its activities and “progress” as if this were not a continuation of violence. We need look no further than LAPD’s assessment of its response to calls involving folks that are mentally ill or having a mental health crisis to see the folly of this: in the section headed “Crisis Intervention,” LAPD assures us that the Department is “at the forefront of training in responding to mental health crisis calls,” and that the Mental Evaluation Unit “meets or exceeds” state standards for mental health crisis response training. Yet in Los Angeles communities, LAPD’s response to folks who are mentally ill or in crisis is murderous, as was the case
with Alfonso Mauldin in August 2020, which is also on the agenda today for discussion by the Board. When LAPD were directed to Mauldin, cops knew it was a call regarding a “man with mental illness.” Like so many LAPD responses, police drew their weapons and fired at Mauldin, thankfully missing him, but resulting in two charges for Mauldin for “assault of a police officer with a deadly weapon.”

Public safety does not come from funding reforms or more training, or from relying on LAPD assessments. We demand a defunding of LAPD, and that more city funds be invested in mental health services that offer ongoing and preventative care, as well as crisis intervention services that do involve the police.

We further demand an audit of LAPD’s Suspicious Activity Reports (SARs), which have not been audited since 2017 though ever audit has confirmed a pattern of racial profiling and surveillance, enabled and encouraged by such LAPD programs as the iWATCH “See Something, Say Something” program. In the most recent (2017) audit, 59% of reports of “suspicious activity” were reported in predominantly white communities, and were disproportionately filed against Black, Latinx, Middle Eastern, or South Asian community members. We demand an audit to expose the most recent reports made through this program, which must ultimately be abolished.

We also demand an end to the restrictions on public comment, and that public comment follow the agenda item after it has been discussed, so community members might comment on new information that has been shared. Stop restricting the public comment period to forty-five minutes. You claim to be the “citizens’ voice in police affairs.” Start acting like it.

Signed,

Jenn Murphy

From: Ken Barnard
Sent: Monday, June 21, 2021 11:43 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Mayor Helpdesk; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Sboroff; lapcfalls@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laisl.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; Mayor Garcetti; councilmember.ridley-thomas@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 06/21/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:
Item 3B on the agenda this week refers to numerous LAPD trainings, updates, and the implementation of reforms, continuing a deadly cycle in which the City Council, LAPD, and the Board of Police Commissioners agree to ignore the community's demands for change and a diversion of power and resources, and instead rely on LAPD to update on its activities and "progress" as if this were not a continuation of violence. We need look no further than LAPD's assessment of its response to calls involving folks that are mentally ill or having a mental health crisis to see the folly of this: in the section headed "Crisis Intervention," LAPD assures us that the Department is "at the forefront of training in responding to mental health crisis calls," and that the Mental Evaluation Unit "meets or exceeds" state standards for mental health crisis response training. Yet in Los Angeles communities, LAPD's response to folks who are mentally ill or in crisis is murderous, as was the case with Alfonso Mauldin in August 2020, which is also on the agenda today for discussion by the Board. When LAPD were directed to Mauldin, cops knew it was a call regarding a "male with mental illness." Like so many LAPD responses, police drew their weapons and fired at Mauldin, thankfully missing him, but resulting in two charges for Mauldin for "assault of a police officer with a deadly weapon."

Public safety does not come from funding reforms or more training, or from relying on LAPD assessments. We demand a defunding of LAPD, and that more city funds be invested in mental health services that offer ongoing and preventative care, as well as crisis intervention services that do involve the police.

We further demand an audit of LAPD's Suspicious Activity Reports (SARs), which have not been audited since 2017 though every audit has confirmed a pattern of racial profiling and surveillance, enabled and encouraged by such LAPD programs as the iWATCH "See Something, Say Something" program. In the most recent (2017) audit, 59% of reports of "suspicious activity" were reported in predominantly white communities, and were disproportionately filed against Black, Latinx, Middle Eastern, or South Asian community members. We demand an audit to expose the most recent reports made through this program, which must ultimately be abolished.

We also demand an end to the restrictions on public comment, and that public comment follow the agenda item after it has been discussed, so community members might comment on new information that has been shared. Stop restricting the public comment period to forty-five minutes. You claim to be the "citizens' voice in police affairs." Start acting like it.

Signed,

Ken Barnard

From: M W
Sent: Monday, June 21, 2021 11:44 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Mayor Helpdesk; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; LAPC Fails; lou@legacyLA.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; councilmember.ridley-thomas@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org;
ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Item 3B on the agenda this week refers to numerous LAPD trainings, updates, and the implementation of reforms, continuing a deadly cycle in which the City Council, LAPD, and the Board of Police Commissioners agree to ignore the community’s demands for change and a diversion of power and resources, and instead rely on LAPD to update on its activities and “progress” as if this were not a continuation of violence. We need look no further than LAPD’s assessment of its response to calls involving folks that are mentally ill or having a mental health crisis to see the folly of this: in the section headed “Crisis Intervention,” LAPD assures us that the Department is “at the forefront of training in responding to mental health crisis calls,” and that the Mental Evaluation Unit “meets or exceeds” state standards for mental health crisis response training. Yet in Los Angeles communities, LAPD’s response to folks who are mentally ill or in crisis is murderous, as was the case with Alfonso Mauldin in August 2020, which is also on the agenda today for discussion by the Board. When LAPD was directed to Mauldin, cops knew it was a call regarding a “male with mental illness.” Like so many LAPD responses, police drew their weapons and fired at Mauldin, thankfully missing him, but resulting in two charges for Mauldin for “assault of a police officer with a deadly weapon.”

Public safety does not come from funding reforms or more training, or from relying on LAPD assessments. We demand a defunding of LAPD, and that more city funds be invested in mental health services that offer ongoing and preventative care, as well as crisis intervention services that do involve the police. If your loved one were in a mental health crisis, how would you want them cared for?

We further demand an audit of LAPD’s Suspicious Activity Reports (SARs), which have not been audited since 2017 though every audit has confirmed a pattern of racial profiling and surveillance, enabled and encouraged by such LAPD programs as the iWATCH “See Something, Say Something” program. In the most recent (2017) audit, 59% of reports of “suspicious activity” were reported in predominantly white communities, and were disproportionately filed against Black, Latinx, Middle Eastern, or South Asian community members. True transparency requires an audit to disclose the most recent reports made through this program, which must ultimately be abolished. Will you request an audit, or have you already approved the permanent destruction of these records?
We also demand an end to the restrictions on public comment. Public comment needs to follow the agenda item after it has been discussed, so community members might comment on new information shared. Stop restricting the public comment period to forty-five minutes. You claim to be the “citizens’ voice in police affairs.” Where is the evidence that you are?

Sincerely,

Michele Wetteland
pronouns: she/her

From: Phoebe Neidhardt
Sent: Monday, June 21, 2021 11:49 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lpcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; councilmember.ridley-thomas@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 06/21/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Item 3B on the agenda this week refers to numerous LAPD trainings, updates, and the implementation of reforms, continuing a deadly cycle in which the City Council, LAPD, and the Board of Police Commissioners agree to ignore the community's demands for change and a diversion of power and resources, and instead rely on LAPD to update on its activities and "progress" as if this were not a continuation of violence. We need look no further than LAPD’s assessment of its response to calls involving folks that are mentally ill or having a mental health crisis to see the folly of this: in the section headed “Crisis Intervention,” LAPD assures us that the Department is “at the forefront of training in responding to mental health crisis
calls,” and that the Mental Evaluation Unit “meets or exceeds” state standards for mental health crisis response training. Yet in Los Angeles communities, LAPD’s response to folks who are mentally ill or in crisis is murderous, as was the case with Alfonso Maudlin in August 2020, which is also on the agenda today for discussion by the Board. When LAPD were directed to Maudlin, cops knew it was a call regarding a “male with mental illness.” Like so many LAPD responses, police drew their weapons and fired at Maudlin, thankfully missing him, but resulting in two charges for Maudlin for “assault of a police officer with a deadly weapon.”

Public safety does not come from funding reforms or more training, or from relying on LAPD assessments. We demand a defunding of LAPD, and that more city funds be invested in mental health services that offer ongoing and preventative care, as well as crisis intervention services that do involve the police.

We further demand an audit of LAPD’s Suspicious Activity Reports (SARs), which have not been audited since 2017 though every audit has confirmed a pattern of racial profiling and surveillance, enabled and encouraged by such LAPD programs as the iWATCH “See Something, Say Something” program. In the most recent (2017) audit, 59% of reports of “suspicious activity” were reported in predominantly white communities, and were disproportionately filed against Black, Latinx, Middle Eastern, or South Asian community members. We demand an audit to expose the most recent reports made through this program, which must ultimately be abolished.

We also demand an end to the restrictions on public comment, and that public comment follow the agenda item after it has been discussed, so community members might comment on new information that has been shared. Stop restricting the public comment period to forty-five minutes. You claim to be the “citizens’ voice in police affairs.” Start acting like it.

Signed,

Phoebe Neidhardt

From: TeamCD4
Sent: Monday, June 21, 2021 11:50 AM
To: M W
Cc: Police Commission; mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Mayor Helpdesk; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; LAPC Fails; lou@LegacyLA.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; councilmember.ridley-thomas@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; Councilmember.Rodriguez; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org;
ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Michele,

Thank you so much for your response. We appreciate your feedback and will take your comments into consideration.

Warmly,

Nicole Alaverdian
District Liaison

Connect With Us:

* Sign Up For Our Newsletter Here
  <https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Fcouncildistrict4.lacity.org%2FNewsletter&data=04%7C01%7Cpolicecommission%40lapd.online%7Cf6b9232c47b12620088d934e5762e%7C642fd61c34dd4fd0af8d443576485883%7C0%7C6375989824102344797CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWIjoiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJCQIjoVZ2luMzI1LCAjCi8xIkkhaWwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D%7C1000&sdata=8qpnIfzzVAQuE%2F6b9gojn17URtfFV14pNUjwo0%2BekMQ%3D&reserved=0>

* Follow Us On Twitter
  <https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Ftwitter.com%2Fcd4losangeles&data=04%7C01%7Cpolicecommission%40lapd.online%7Cf6b9232c47b12620088d934e5762e%7C642fd61c34dd4fd0af8d443576485883%7C0%7C637598982410244347CUnknown%7C>
On Mon, Jun 21, 2021 at 11:44 AM M W [REDACTED] wrote:

Commissioners:

Item 3B on the agenda this week refers to numerous LAPD trainings, updates, and the implementation of reforms, continuing a deadly cycle in which the City Council, LAPD, and the Board of Police Commissioners agree to ignore the community’s demands for change and a diversion of power and resources, and instead rely on LAPD to update on its activities and “progress” as if this were not a continuation of violence. We need look no further than LAPD’s assessment of its response to calls involving folks that are mentally ill or having a mental health crisis to see the folly of this: in the section headed “Crisis Intervention,” LAPD assures us that the Department is “at the forefront of training in responding to mental health crisis calls,” and that the Mental Evaluation Unit “meets or exceeds” state standards for mental health crisis response training. Yet in Los Angeles communities, LAPD’s response to folks who are mentally ill or in crisis is murderous, as was the case with Alfonso Mauldin in August 2020, which is also on the agenda today for discussion by the Board. When LAPD was directed to Mauldin, cops knew it was a call regarding a “male with mental illness.” Like so many LAPD responses, police drew their weapons and fired at Mauldin, thankfully missing him, but resulting in two charges for Mauldin for “assault of a police officer with a deadly weapon.”
Public safety does not come from funding reforms or more training, or from relying on LAPD assessments. We demand a defunding of LAPD, and that more city funds be invested in mental health services that offer ongoing and preventative care, as well as crisis intervention services that do involve the police. If your loved one were in a mental health crisis, how would you want them cared for?

We further demand an audit of LAPD’s Suspicious Activity Reports (SARs), which have not been audited since 2017 though every audit has confirmed a pattern of racial profiling and surveillance, enabled and encouraged by such LAPD programs as the iWATCH “See Something, Say Something” program. In the most recent (2017) audit, 59% of reports of “suspicious activity” were reported in predominantly white communities, and were disproportionately filed against Black, Latinx, Middle Eastern, or South Asian community members. True transparency requires an audit to disclose the most recent reports made through this program, which must ultimately be abolished. Will you request an audit, or have you already approved the permanent destruction of these records?

We also demand an end to the restrictions on public comment. Public comment needs to follow the agenda item after it has been discussed, so community members might comment on new information shared. Stop restricting the public comment period to forty-five minutes. You claim to be the “citizens' voice in police affairs.” Where is the evidence that you are?

Sincerely,

Michele Wetteland
pronouns: she/her

From: TeamCD4
Sent: Monday, June 21, 2021 11:51 AM
To: Phoebe Neidhardt
Cc: Police Commission; mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Mayor Helpdesk; Ana Guerrero; Eileen Decker; Ethics Commission; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; Fails Lapc; lou@legacyLA.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; Mayor Garcetti; Councilmember Ridley-Thomas; Councilmember Bonin; Gilbert Cedillo; Councilmember Krekorian; Councilmember Blumenfield; Council Member Koretz; Councilmember Martinez; Councilmember Rodriguez; Councilmember Harris-Dawson; Curren Price; Councilmember
ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Phoebe,

Thank you so much for your response. We appreciate your feedback and will take your comments into consideration.

Warmly,

Nicole Alaverdian
District Liaison

Connect With Us:
* Sign Up For Our Newsletter Here
https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Fcouncildistrict4.lacity.org%2FNewsletter&data=04%7C01%7Cpolicecommission%40lapd.online%7C5583b5a16069450eedbe08d934e581ba%7C62fd61c34dd4fd0af8d443576485883%7C0%7C637598982596904585%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWIjo1MC4wLjAwMDA1LCJQIjo1V2luMzIiLCJBTiI6Ik1hawwiLCJXVCIm6s0%3D%7C1000&sdata=BEiiZf2Kua0E2%FC41B4XVXkuUmJgb52bAI6eUobUE%3D&reserved=0

* Follow Us On Twitter
https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Ftwitter.com%2Fcd4losangeles&data=04%7C01%7Cpolicecommission%40lapd.online%7C5583b5a16069450eedbe08d934e581ba%7C62fd61c34dd4fd0af8d443576485883%7C0%7C637598982596914542%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWIjo1MC4wLjAwMDA1LCJQIjo1V2luMzIiLCJBTiI6Ik1hawwiLCJXVCIm6s0%3D%7C100
On Mon, Jun 21, 2021 at 11:48 AM Phoebe Neidhardt wrote:

Commissioners:

Item 3B on the agenda this week refers to numerous LAPD trainings, updates, and the implementation of reforms, continuing a deadly cycle in which the City Council, LAPD, and the Board of Police Commissioners agree to ignore the community’s demands for change and a diversion of power and resources, and instead rely on LAPD to update on its activities and “progress” as if this were not a continuation of violence. We need look no further than LAPD’s assessment of its response to calls involving folks that are mentally ill or having a mental health crisis to see the folly of this: in the section headed “Crisis Intervention,” LAPD assures us that the Department is “at the forefront of training in responding to mental health crisis calls,” and that the Mental Evaluation Unit “meets or exceeds” state standards for mental health crisis response training. Yet in Los Angeles communities, LAPD’s response to folks who are mentally ill or in crisis is murderously, as was the case with Alfonso Mauldin in August 2020, which is also on the agenda today for discussion by the Board. When LAPD were directed to Mauldin, cops knew it was a call regarding a “male with mental illness.” Like so many LAPD responses, police drew their weapons and fired at Mauldin, thankfully missing him, but resulting in two charges for Mauldin for “assault of a police officer with a deadly weapon.”
Public safety does not come from funding reforms or more training, or from relying on LAPD assessments. We demand a defunding of LAPD, and that more city funds be invested in mental health services that offer ongoing and preventative care, as well as crisis intervention services that do involve the police.

We further demand an audit of LAPD’s Suspicious Activity Reports (SARs), which have not been audited since 2017 though every audit has confirmed a pattern of racial profiling and surveillance, enabled and encouraged by such LAPD programs as the iWATCH “See Something, Say Something” program. In the most recent (2017) audit, 59% of reports of “suspicious activity” were reported in predominantly white communities, and were disproportionately filed against Black, Latinx, Middle Eastern, or South Asian community members. We demand an audit to expose the most recent reports made through this program, which must ultimately be abolished.

We also demand an end to the restrictions on public comment, and that public comment follow the agenda item after it has been discussed, so community members might comment on new information that has been shared. Stop restricting the public comment period to forty-five minutes. You claim to be the “citizens’ voice in police affairs.” Start acting like it.

Signed,

Phoebe Neidhardt

From: Danielle Carne
Sent: Monday, June 21, 2021 12:28 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lacfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laiist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; councilmember.ridley-thomas@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; councilmember.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 06/21/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:
Item 3B on the agenda this week refers to numerous LAPD trainings, updates, and the implementation of reforms, continuing a deadly cycle in which the City Council, LAPD, and the Board of Police Commissioners agree to ignore the community’s demands for change and a diversion of power and resources, and instead rely on LAPD to update on its activities and “progress” as if this were not a continuation of violence. We need look no further than LAPD’s assessment of its response to calls involving folks that are mentally ill or having a mental health crisis to see the folly of this: in the section headed “Crisis Intervention,” LAPD assures us that the Department is “at the forefront of training in responding to mental health crisis calls,” and that the Mental Evaluation Unit “meets or exceeds” state standards for mental health crisis response training. Yet in Los Angeles communities, LAPD’s response to folks who are mentally ill or in crisis is murderous, as was the case with Alfonso Mauldin in August 2020, which is also on the agenda today for discussion by the Board. When LAPD were directed to Mauldin, cops knew it was a call regarding a “male with mental illness.” Like so many LAPD responses, police drew their weapons and fired at Mauldin, thankfully missing him, but resulting in two charges for Mauldin for “assault of a police officer with a deadly weapon.”

Public safety does not come from funding reforms or more training, or from relying on LAPD assessments. We demand a defunding of LAPD, and that more city funds be invested in mental health services that offer ongoing and preventative care, as well as crisis intervention services that do involve the police.

We further demand an audit of LAPD’s Suspicious Activity Reports (SARs), which have not been audited since 2017 though ever audit has confirmed a pattern of racial profiling and surveillance, enabled and encouraged by such LAPD programs as the iWATCH “See Something, Say Something” program. In the most recent (2017) audit, 59% of reports of “suspicious activity” were reported in predominantly white communities, and were disproportionately filed against Black, Latinx, Middle Eastern, or South Asian community members. We demand an audit to expose the most recent reports made through this program, which must ultimately be abolished.

We also demand an end to the restrictions on public comment, and that public comment follow the agenda item after it has been discussed, so community members might comment on new information that has been shared. Stop restricting the public comment period to forty-five minutes. You claim to be the “citizens’ voice in police affairs.” Start acting like it.

Signed,

Danielle Carne

From: Audrey Georg
Sent: Monday, June 21, 2021 12:50 PM
To: Police Commission
CC: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@LegacyLA.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; councilmember.ridley-thomas@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org

Subject: My public comment for PC meeting on 6/22/21

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Item 3B on the agenda this week refers to numerous LAPD trainings, updates, and the implementation of reforms, continuing a deadly cycle in which the City Council, LAPD, and the Board of Police Commissioners are intentionally complicit in ignoring the community’s demands for change and a diversion of power and resources, and instead rely on LAPD to update on its activities and “progress” as if this were not a continuation of violence. We need look no further than LAPD’s assessment of its response to calls involving folks that are mentally ill or having a mental health crisis to see the folly of this: in the section headed “Crisis Intervention,” LAPD assures us that the Department is “at the forefront of training in responding to mental health crisis calls,” and that the Mental Evaluation Unit “meets or exceeds” state standards for mental health crisis response training. Yet in Los Angeles communities, LAPD’s response to folks who are mentally ill or in crisis is murderous, as was the case with Alfonso Mauldin in August 2020, which is also on the agenda today for discussion by the Board. When LAPD were directed to Mauldin, cops knew it was a call regarding a “male with mental illness.” Like so many LAPD responses, police drew their weapons and fired at Mauldin, thankfully missing him, but resulting in two charges for Mauldin for “assault of a police officer with a deadly weapon.” It is chilling to think the LAPD is holding itself up as a self-identified model to other departments for its handling of mental health crisis response.

Public safety does not come from funding reforms or more training, or from relying on LAPD assessments. We demand a defunding of LAPD, and that more city funds be invested in mental health services that offer ongoing and preventative care, as well as crisis intervention services that do involve the police.

Item 3D is about the relationship between the LAPD and LMU Public Sentiment Survey Services. We already know that the LAPD picks and chooses what info they like from LMU surveys and which info they don’t. Commissioner Steve Soboroff was the chairman of the Leavell Center for the Study of Los Angeles at Loyola Marymount University, is
he involved with this contract?

We further demand an audit of LAPD’s Suspicious Activity Reports (SARs), which have not been audited since 2017 though every previous audit has confirmed a pattern of racial profiling and surveillance, enabled and encouraged by such LAPD programs as the iWATCH “See Something, Say Something” program. In the most recent (2017) audit, 59% of reports of “suspicious activity” were reported in predominantly white communities, and were disproportionately filed against Black, Latinx, Middle Eastern, or South Asian community members. We demand an audit to expose the most recent reports made through this program, which must ultimately be abolished. You might ignore the significance of these findings, but WE WON’T.

We also demand an end to the restrictions on public comment, and that public comment follow the agenda item after it has been discussed, so community members might comment on new information that has been shared. Stop restricting the public comment period to forty-five minutes. You claim to be the “citizens’ voice in police affairs.” Start acting like it.

Signed,

Audrey Georg

“If you are neutral in situations of injustice, you have chosen the side of the oppressor.” Desmond Tutu

From: Molly Talcott
Sent: Monday, June 21, 2021 1:14 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; councilmember.ridley-thomas@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 06/21/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.
Commissioners:

Item 3B on the agenda this week refers to numerous LAPD trainings, updates, and the implementation of reforms, continuing a deadly cycle in which the City Council, LAPD, and the Board of Police Commissioners agree to ignore the community’s demands for change and a diversion of power and resources, and instead rely on LAPD to update on its activities and “progress” as if this were not a continuation of violence. We need look no further than LAPD’s assessment of its response to calls involving folks that are mentally ill or having a mental health crisis to see the folly of this: in the section headed “Crisis Intervention,” LAPD assures us that the Department is “at the forefront of training in responding to mental health crisis calls,” and that the Mental Evaluation Unit “meets or exceeds” state standards for mental health crisis response training. Yet in Los Angeles communities, LAPD’s response to folks who are mentally ill or in crisis is murderous, as was the case with Alfonso Mauldin in August 2020, which is also on the agenda today for discussion by the Board. When LAPD were directed to Mauldin, cops knew it was a call regarding a “male with mental illness.” Like so many LAPD responses, police drew their weapons and fired at Mauldin, thankfully missing him, but resulting in two charges for Mauldin for “assault of a police officer with a deadly weapon.”

Public safety does not come from funding reforms or more training, or from relying on LAPD assessments. We demand a defunding of LAPD, and that more city funds be invested in mental health services that offer ongoing and preventative care, as well as crisis intervention services that do involve the police.

We further demand an audit of LAPD’s Suspicious Activity Reports (SARs), which have not been audited since 2017 though ever audit has confirmed a pattern of racial profiling and surveillance, enabled and encouraged by such LAPD programs as the iWATCH “See Something, Say Something” program. In the most recent (2017) audit, 59% of reports of “suspicious activity” were reported in predominantly white communities, and were disproportionately filed against Black, Latinx, Middle Eastern, or South Asian community members. We demand an audit to expose the most recent reports made through this program, which must ultimately be abolished.

We also demand an end to the restrictions on public comment, and that public comment follow the agenda item after it has been discussed, so community members might comment on new information that has been shared. Stop restricting the public comment period to forty-five minutes. You claim to be the “citizens’ voice in police affairs.” When will you start acting like it?

Sincerely,
Dr. Molly Talcottt

From: Hiram Miles
Sent: Monday, June 21, 2021 2:01 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: Michel Moore; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; councilmember.ridley-thomas@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; ethics.commission@lacity.org; kevin.rector@latimes.com; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@LegacyLA.org; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Richard Tefank; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; Eileen Decker; William J. Briggs, II; paul.koretz@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; tips@laist.com
Subject: Public Comment 6/21/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Item 3B on the agenda this week refers to numerous LAPD trainings, updates, and the implementation of reforms, continuing a deadly cycle in which the City Council, LAPD, and the Board of Police Commissioners agree to ignore the community’s demands for change and a diversion of power and resources, and instead rely on LAPD to update on its activities and “progress” as if this were not a continuation of violence. We need look no further than LAPD’s assessment of its response to calls involving folks that are mentally ill or having a mental health crisis to see the folly of this: in the section headed “Crisis Intervention,” LAPD assures us that the Department is “at the forefront of training in responding to mental health crisis calls,” and that the Mental Evaluation Unit “meets or exceeds” state standards for mental health crisis response training. Yet in Los Angeles communities, LAPD’s response to folks who are mentally ill or in crisis is murderous, as was the case with Alfonso Mauldin in August 2020, which is also on the agenda today for discussion by the Board. When LAPD were directed to Mauldin, cops knew it was a call regarding a “male with mental illness.” Like so many LAPD responses, police drew their weapons and fired at Mauldin, thankfully missing him, but resulting in two charges for Mauldin for “assault of a police officer with a deadly weapon.”

Public safety does not come from funding reforms or more training, or from relying on LAPD assessments. We demand a defunding of LAPD, and that more city funds be invested in mental health services that offer ongoing and preventative care, as well as crisis intervention services that do involve the police.

We further demand an audit of LAPD’s Suspicious Activity Reports (SARs), which have not been audited since 2017 though ever audit has confirmed a pattern of racial profiling and surveillance, enabled and encouraged by such LAPD programs as the
iWATCH “See Something, Say Something” program. In the most recent (2017) audit, 59% of reports of “suspicious activity” were reported in predominantly white communities, and were disproportionately filed against Black, Latinx, Middle Eastern, or South Asian community members. We demand an audit to expose the most recent reports made through this program, which must ultimately be abolished.

We also demand an end to the restrictions on public comment, and that public comment follow the agenda item after it has been discussed, so community members might comment on new information that has been shared. Stop restricting the public comment period to forty-five minutes. You claim to be the “citizens’ voice in police affairs.” Start acting like it.

Signed,

Hiram Cormier Jr

From: Jason Reedy
Sent: Monday, June 21, 2021 2:34 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacity.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; councilmember.ridley-thomas@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 06/21/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

This commission NEEDS to resume in-person meetings immediately. We've seen Chief Moore at numerous events without a mask indoors and outdoors. Numerous commissioners have been spotted out and about so why is there a delay in providing the public with more information or even a timetable on the return to in-person meetings?

Item 3B on the agenda this week refers to numerous LAPD trainings, updates, and the implementation of reforms, continuing a deadly cycle in which the City Council,
LAPD, and the Board of Police Commissioners agree to ignore the community’s demands for change and a diversion of power and resources, and instead rely on LAPD to update on its activities and “progress” as if this were not a continuation of violence. We need look no further than LAPD’s assessment of its response to calls involving folks that are mentally ill or having a mental health crisis to see the folly of this: in the section headed “Crisis Intervention,” LAPD assures us that the Department is “at the forefront of training in responding to mental health crisis calls,” and that the Mental Evaluation Unit “meets or exceeds” state standards for mental health crisis response training. Yet in Los Angeles communities, LAPD’s response to folks who are mentally ill or in crisis is murderous, as was the case with Alfonso Mauldin in August 2020, which is also on the agenda today for discussion by the Board. When LAPD were directed to Mauldin, cops knew it was a call regarding a “male with mental illness.” Like so many LAPD responses, police drew their weapons and fired at Mauldin, thankfully missing him, but resulting in two charges for Mauldin for “assault of a police officer with a deadly weapon.”

Public safety does not come from funding reforms or more training, or from relying on LAPD assessments. We demand a defunding of LAPD, and that more city funds be invested in mental health services that offer ongoing and preventative care, as well as crisis intervention services that do involve the police.

We further demand an audit of LAPD’s Suspicious Activity Reports (SARs), which have not been audited since 2017 though ever audit has confirmed a pattern of racial profiling and surveillance, enabled and encouraged by such LAPD programs as the iWATCH “See Something, Say Something” program. In the most recent (2017) audit, 59% of reports of “suspicious activity” were reported in predominantly white communities, and were disproportionately filed against Black, Latinx, Middle Eastern, or South Asian community members. We demand an audit to expose the most recent reports made through this program, which must ultimately be abolished.

We also demand an end to the restrictions on public comment, and that public comment follow the agenda item after it has been discussed, so community members might comment on new information that has been shared. Stop restricting the public comment period to forty-five minutes. You claim to be the “citizens’ voice in police affairs.” Start acting like it.

Jason Reedy
From: Nicola Graham
Sent: Monday, June 21, 2021 2:35 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mke.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lacpfails@gmail.com; lou@LegacyLA.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; councilmember.ridley-thomas@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org;
ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Item 3B on the agenda this week refers to numerous LAPD trainings, updates, and the implementation of reforms, continuing a deadly cycle in which the City Council, LAPD, and the Board of Police Commissioners agree to ignore the community’s demands for change and a diversion of power and resources, and instead rely on LAPD to update on its activities and “progress” as if this were not a continuation of violence. We need look no further than LAPD’s assessment of its response to calls involving folks that are mentally ill or having a mental health crisis to see the folly of this: in the section headed “Crisis Intervention,” LAPD assures us that the Department is “at the forefront of training in responding to mental health crisis calls,” and that the Mental Evaluation Unit “meets or exceeds” state standards for mental health crisis response training. Yet in Los Angeles communities, LAPD’s response to folks who are mentally ill or in crisis is murderous, as was the case with Alfonso Mauldin in August 2020, which is also on the agenda today for discussion by the Board. When LAPD were directed to Mauldin, cops knew it was a call regarding a “male with mental illness.” Like so many LAPD responses, police drew their weapons and fired at Mauldin, thankfully missing him, but resulting in two charges for Mauldin for “assault of a police officer with a deadly weapon.”

Public safety does not come from funding reforms or more training, or from relying on LAPD assessments. We demand a defunding of LAPD, and that more city funds be invested in mental health services that offer ongoing and preventative care, as well as crisis intervention services that do involve the police.

We further demand an audit of LAPD’s Suspicious Activity Reports (SARs), which have not been audited since 2017 though ever audit has confirmed a pattern of racial profiling and surveillance, enabled and encouraged by such LAPD programs as the iWATCH “See Something, Say Something” program. In the most recent (2017) audit, 59% of reports of “suspicious activity” were reported in predominantly white communities, and were disproportionately filed against Black, Latinx, Middle Eastern, or South Asian community members. We demand an audit to expose the most recent reports made through this program, which must ultimately be abolished.

We also demand an end to the restrictions on public comment, and that public comment
follow the agenda item after it has been discussed, so community members might comment on new information that has been shared. Stop restricting the public comment period to forty-five minutes. You claim to be the “citizens’ voice in police affairs.” Start acting like it.

Signed,
Nicola Graham

From: Kevin King

Sent: Monday, June 21, 2021 2:56 PM

To: Police Commission

Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; LAPC Fails; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; councilmember.ridley-thomas@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactccd@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org

Subject: Public Comment 06/22/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Item 3B on the agenda this week refers to numerous LAPD trainings, updates, and the implementation of reforms, continuing a deadly cycle in which the City Council, LAPD, and the Board of Police Commissioners agree to ignore the community’s demands for change and a diversion of power and resources, and instead rely on LAPD to update on its activities and “progress” as if this were not a continuation of violence. We need look no further than LAPD’s assessment of its response to calls involving folks that are mentally ill or having a mental health crisis to see the folly of this: in the section headed “Crisis Intervention,” LAPD assures us that the Department is “at the forefront of training in responding to mental health crisis calls,” and that the Mental Evaluation Unit “meets or exceeds” state standards for mental health crisis response training. Yet in Los Angeles communities, LAPD’s response to folks who are mentally ill or in crisis is murderous, as was the case with Alfonso Mauldin in August 2020, which is also on the agenda today for discussion by the Board. When LAPD were directed to Mauldin, cops knew it was a call regarding a “male with mental illness.” Like so many LAPD responses, police drew their weapons and fired at Mauldin, thankfully missing him, but resulting in two charges for Mauldin for “assault of a police officer with a deadly weapon.”

Public safety does not come from funding reforms or more training, or from relying on LAPD assessments. We demand a defunding of LAPD, and that more city funds be invested in mental health services that offer ongoing and preventative care, as well
as crisis intervention services that do NOT involve the police.

We further demand an audit of LAPD’s Suspicious Activity Reports (SARs), which have not been audited since 2017 though every audit has confirmed a pattern of racial profiling and surveillance, enabled and encouraged by such LAPD programs as the iWATCH “See Something, Say Something” program. In the most recent (2017) audit, 59% of reports of “suspicious activity” were reported in predominantly white communities, and were disproportionately filed against Black, Latinx, Middle Eastern, or South Asian community members. We demand an audit to expose the most recent reports made through this program, which must ultimately be abolished.

We also demand an end to the restrictions on public comment, and that public comment follow the agenda item after it has been discussed, so community members might comment on new information that has been shared. Stop restricting the public comment period to forty-five minutes. You claim to be the “citizens’ voice in police affairs.” Please start acting like it.

Thank you from a concerned citizen

Kevin King
From: Sarah Bowers
Sent: Monday, June 21, 2021 3:27 PM
To: Police Commission
Subject: Public Comment 06/21/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Board of Commissioners:

As a citizen of Los Angeles I'm writing to submit public comments to the LAPC Meeting.

Item 3B
This agenda item seems to be reviewing the various, innumerable trainings and reforms LAPD is doing and implementing.

You already know the community's position on this, but just don't listen or care. No amount of training or "reform" will change the LAPD or policing in general. We've been through this before- literally. This is what happens throughout LA history - LAPD kills people, they get a slap on the wrist and more money for training, and then they keep on killing and infringing on Angelenos' rights.

We don't want training. Or reform. Or new policies. We want to defund the LAPD and place that money into other community services.

LAPD's report on its response to "Crisis Intervention" says it meets or exceeds state standards for mental health crisis response. First of all, who did that analysis? LAPD? Secondly, I don't care what "standards" they meet, LAPD's response
to folks who are mentally ill or in crisis is murderous. Other police departments are too. A Washington Post database includes information that shows since 2015, police have fatally shot nearly 1,400 people with mental illnesses.

I don't care how well trained LAPD officers are, a department that also kills people and whose officers talk about "lighting [protestors] on fire" should be defunded, let alone be responding to mental health crisis calls.

Taking police budget and instead investing in real mental health experts to respond to crises is not new. Oregon's CAHOOTS program and Denver's new STAR program exist and are already doing really well. Out of 748 calls, STAR mental health de-escalators resolved mental health crises without police and without the need to arrest anyone. NO ONE WAS KILLED and I'm sure having a mental health crisis responder arrive was a lot less stressful than having an armed officer arrive.

Public safety does not come from funding reforms or more training, or from relying on LAPD assessments. We demand a defunding of LAPD, and that more city funds be invested in mental health services that offer ongoing and preventative care, as well as crisis intervention services that do involve the police.

Public Comment: LAPD and Ring Services
After reading the LA Times fact-finding article, I'm also very concerned about LAPD officers recommending and subsidizing the cost of Ring door-bell surveillance systems. This isn't right. It is further blurring the lines between LAPD and expansion of surveillance activity, not to mention using a profession that's supposed to be serving the public to promote a private business.

Public Comment: LAPD and Response to PRR about Ring Services
The LA Times article also highlighted this: "In response to The Times' public records request, the LAPD said that it would be 'unduly burdensome' to try to determine how often its officers requested or received video from Ring cameras."

That response is concerning. LAPD is meant to serve the people, not the other way around. If we can't get transparent information from LAPD just because they think it's "unduly burdensome", how are we supposed to know what is really happening in LAPD? How are people supposed to trust the PRR process?

Public Comment: SAR
LAPD's Suspicious Activity Reports (SARs) have *coincidentally* not been audited since 2017. This is strange, given that is 4 years ago, and since every other audit has confirmed a pattern of racial profiling and surveillance. In the most recent (2017) audit, 59% of reports of "suspicious activity" were reported in predominantly white communities, and were disproportionately filed against Black, Latinx, Middle Eastern, or South Asian community members.

This again is targeting our black and indigenous community members and other community members of color.

We need an audit to expose the most recent reports made through this program, which should ultimately also be defunded and ended.
General Public Comment: Limiting Public Comment
I demand that you stop capping your meetings' public comment period at a mere forty-five minutes. You give 45 minutes for public comment from a city of 4 million people. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

I also request you to permanently change your Police Commission Board meeting time. Right now it occurs simultaneously to LA City Council Public Comment time and to LA County Board of Supervisor meetings and prevents civically engaged people from being able to participate in all the meetings they’d like to.

Sincerely,

Sarah Bowers

From: Sarah Donelan [redacted]
Sent: Monday, June 21, 2021 3:31 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; councilmember.ridley-thomas@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 6/21/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Good afternoon,

I am noticing that these prepared, mass emails are asking the police commission over and over some of the same things that are so simple to change, such as rescheduling public meetings to a time slot that works better for more people to be able to participate in. Or giving more people more time to speak during open public comment. These are all suppression tactics and it is very obvious. You are corrupt. We notice. Our voices are not being heard. We should be making more progress past these
pleas. The only reason it doesn't change is because you keep ignoring us. I demand a
response to this email with an explanation of why things haven't changed.
Additionally an explanation of why my previous emails were never answered.

With that, I attach a VERY important message from my friends working against this
trash institution that you call the LAPD:

Commissioners:

Item 3B on the agenda this week refers to numerous LAPD trainings, updates, and the
implementation of reforms, continuing a deadly cycle in which the City Council,
LAPD, and the Board of Police Commissioners agree to ignore the community’s demands
for change and a diversion of power and resources, and instead rely on LAPD to
update on its activities and “progress” as if this were not a continuation of
violence. We need look no further than LAPD’s assessment of its response to calls
involving folks that are mentally ill or having a mental health crisis to see the
folly of this: in the section headed “Crisis Intervention,” LAPD assures us that the
Department is “at the forefront of training in responding to mental health crisis
calls,” and that the Mental Evaluation Unit “meets or exceeds” state standards for
mental health crisis response training. Yet in Los Angeles communities, LAPD’s
response to folks who are mentally ill or in crisis is murderous, as was the case
with Alfonso Mauldin in August 2020, which is also on the agenda today for
discussion by the Board. When LAPD were directed to Mauldin, cops knew it was a call
regarding a “male with mental illness.” Like so many LAPD responses, police drew
their weapons and fired at Mauldin, thankfully missing him, but resulting in two
charges for Mauldin for “assault of a police officer with a deadly weapon.”

Public safety does not come from funding reforms or more training, or from relying
on LAPD assessments. We demand a defunding of LAPD, and that more city funds be
invested in mental health services that offer ongoing and preventative care, as well
as crisis intervention services that don’t involve the police.

We further demand an audit of LAPD’s Suspicious Activity Reports (SARs), which have
not been audited since 2017 though ever audit has confirmed a pattern of racial
profiling and surveillance, enabled and encouraged by such LAPD programs as the
iWATCH “See Something, Say Something” program. In the most recent (2017) audit, 59%
of reports of “suspicious activity” were reported in predominantly white
communities, and were disproportionately filed against Black, Latinx, Middle
Eastern, or South Asian community members. We demand an audit to expose the most
recent reports made through this program, which must ultimately be abolished.

We also demand an end to the restrictions on public comment, and that public comment
follow the agenda item after it has been discussed, so community members might
comment on new information that has been shared. Stop restricting the public comment
period to forty-five minutes. You claim to be the “citizens’ voice in police
affairs.” Start acting like it.

Signed,

Sarah Donelan

From: Matyos Kidane
Sent: Monday, June 21, 2021 4:09 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; councilmember.ridley-thomas@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember/buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 6/21/21

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

On 38:

The notion that LAPD officers are adept crisis interventionists or in any way prepared to handle a mental health emergency is laughable. When the LAPD is called to a mental health emergency, the person in need of care almost always ends up shot. There's sufficient evidence that shows LAPD’s calls to train their officers, particularly in the case of mental health crisis interventions, have been footholds to push for more police funding. Defund the LAPD.

LAPD’s Suspicious Activity Reports have not been audited since 2017. The most recent audit shows that most complaints were filed against Black and Brown folk. We demand an immediate audit.

Stop limiting public comment to 45 minutes and stop having public comment precede the items you’re going to discuss. And resume in person meetings.

Thank you,

Matyos Kidane

From: Gabriel Diani
Sent: Monday, June 21, 2021 4:26 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org;
ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Item 3B on the agenda this week refers to numerous LAPD trainings, updates, and the implementation of reforms, continuing a deadly cycle in which the City Council, LAPD, and the Board of Police Commissioners agree to ignore the community’s demands for change and a diversion of power and resources, and instead rely on LAPD to update on its activities and “progress” as if this were not a continuation of violence. We need look no further than LAPD’s assessment of its response to calls involving folks that are mentally ill or having a mental health crisis to see the folly of this: in the section headed “Crisis Intervention,” LAPD assures us that the Department is “at the forefront of training in responding to mental health crisis calls,” and that the Mental Evaluation Unit “meets or exceeds” state standards for mental health crisis response training. Yet in Los Angeles communities, LAPD’s response to folks who are mentally ill or in crisis is murderously, as was the case with Alfonso Mauldin in August 2020, which is also on the agenda today for discussion by the Board. When LAPD were directed to Mauldin, cops knew it was a call regarding a “male with mental illness.” Like so many LAPD responses, police drew their weapons and fired at Mauldin, thankfully missing him, but resulting in two charges for Mauldin for “assault of a police officer with a deadly weapon.”

Public safety does not come from funding reforms or more training, or from relying on LAPD assessments. We demand a defunding of LAPD, and that more city funds be invested in mental health services that offer ongoing and preventative care, as well as crisis intervention services that don’t involve the police.

We further demand an audit of LAPD’s Suspicious Activity Reports (SARs), which have not been audited since 2017 though ever audit has confirmed a pattern of racial profiling and surveillance, enabled and encouraged by such LAPD programs as the iWATCH “See Something, Say Something” program. In the most recent (2017) audit, 59% of reports of “suspicious activity” were reported in predominantly white communities, and were disproportionately filed against Black, Latinx, Middle
Eastern, or South Asian community members. We demand an audit to expose the most recent reports made through this program, which must ultimately be abolished.

We also demand an end to the restrictions on public comment, and that public comment follow the agenda item after it has been discussed, so community members might comment on new information that has been shared. Stop restricting the public comment period to forty-five minutes. You claim to be the “citizens’ voice in police affairs.” Start acting like it.

Signed,

Gabriel Diani
From: Ada Escobar
Sent: Monday, June 21, 2021 4:50 PM
To: Police Commission
Subject: comments for 6-22-2021 meeting

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

I am appalled that both the Police Commission and Public Safety Committee continue to create division instead of cohesion. You refuse to allow victim advocate groups or community members, or stakeholders present at your meetings, which gives a lopsided view of the needs of the City. You allow people of Mr. Sherrills and Mr. Young whisper sweet nothings in your ears as they line their pockets during your periods of weakness. Commissioners, you are fools!!!! Just because he said things you want to hear and things that make you feel good doesn’t mean it’s true. He and the rest of them just want to get into your pants full of cash.

The presenters at the 6/16/2021 joint meeting gave no accolades for the improvements and strides LAPD has made because, despite them saying they want to solve problems, they simultaneously want to focus on the optimism on their agencies and pessimism of LAPD to exalt themselves. Of particular concern was Commissioner Bonner’s continued division with his comment that people of color are disproportionately stopped more in South L.A. compared to whites. People of color are stopped more because that’s who lives in the area!!! It is very disappointing that Commissioner Bonner has fooled himself into believing that he cares for people of color, as comments like his do not foster the cohesion and collaboration the claims he would like to see in the City. He knows that his statement was factually incorrect but made it anyway to fan the flames of division.

Kevin de Leon asked questions in his typical obsequious way and laughably stated that LCSWs need to assist to address self-hate, while refusing to understand the basic tenets of therapy that requires community members to first admit then want change. Although there can be some truth found in Mr. de Leon’s commentary about dealing with trauma, Commissioners, WHAT DOES A FIVE-YEAR-OLD TELLING A COP FUCK YOU HAVE TO DO WITH TRAUMA???????? WHAT DOES A FIVE-YEAR-OLD THROWING GANG SIGNS HAVE
TO DO WITH TRAUMA? That leans towards poor parenting and an issue that no one wants to discuss or admit manifests into the increase in future police interaction. It’s poor parenting. It’s fatherless homes. It’s single mothers that are frustrated and stressed and who check out from their role in parenting.

Center For Police Equity, Joshua Young, claimed to use science and data to reimagine policing, but GAVE NO ACTUAL DATA. He did not state where he obtained the data, the sample size, how he controlled for disparities for UOF, etc. He is clearly trying to obfuscate the data because there is no money in finding concrete solutions. Mr. Young stated that he did not see justice being measured but could not explain what that means or provide substance to his words. HOW DO YOU MEASURE SOMETHING THAT IS UNDEFINABLE? He stated there was a lack is measuring behavior but did not state who's behavior. If behavior is to be measured, it will need to be EQUALLY done between officers AND the community. When pressed, Mr. Young stated he would develop a unique tool for the area and customize it so well and when asked again about measurements, he did not provide a response. We all know that his presentation was baseless as he has no data, measurements, or tools. He also stated that it is not destructive to claim support for BLM and support for LAPD. That is an absolute false statement!! Please Commissioners, do not fall for his antics. We all saw and heard the anti-police rhetoric!!! BLM said F police, kills cops etc., but now they want collaboration?? It's just smoke and mirrors. Why weren't they screaming collaboration a year ago or even a month ago????!!! They had to change their power and money grab strategy and have taken this re-imagined approach since BLM was caught stealing from victims and doing absolutely nothing for the communities of color that they claim to fight for.

Mr. Young also stated that Ithaca NY, disbanded their police department and placed it under the umbrella of public safety, but provided no context. For reference, the population of Ithaca is thirty-one thousand versus four million in Los Angeles. For comparison, the population of the just the Hollywood area is sixty-one thousand. The demographics of Ithaca are sixty-eight percent white, seventeen percent Asian, five percent black and four percent Latino. Their City Council is ninety-one percent white. It is scientifically nearly impossible to replicate his findings to the City of Los Angeles as it is not just poorly conducted, it also does not contain similar population or crime demographics.

From: Catherine Safley
Sent: Monday, June 21, 2021 4:58 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyLA.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laiist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; councilmember.ridley-thomas@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd1@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org;
ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Item 3B on the agenda this week refers to numerous LAPD trainings, updates, and the implementation of reforms, continuing a deadly cycle in which the City Council, LAPD, and the Board of Police Commissioners agree to ignore the community’s demands for change and a diversion of power and resources, and instead rely on LAPD to update on its activities and “progress” as if this were not a continuation of violence. We need look no further than LAPD’s assessment of its response to calls involving folks that are mentally ill or having a mental health crisis to see the folly of this: in the section headed “Crisis Intervention,” LAPD assures us that the Department is “at the forefront of training in responding to mental health crisis calls,” and that the Mental Evaluation Unit “meets or exceeds” state standards for mental health crisis response training. Yet in Los Angeles communities, LAPD’s response to folks who are mentally ill or in crisis is murderous, as was the case with Alfonso Mouldin in August 2020, which is also on the agenda today for discussion by the Board. When LAPD were directed to Mauldin, cops knew it was a call regarding a “male with mental illness.” Like so many LAPD responses, police drew their weapons and fired at Mauldin, thankfully missing him, but resulting in two charges for Mauldin for “assault of a police officer with a deadly weapon.”

Public safety does not come from funding reforms or more training, or from relying on LAPD assessments. We demand a defunding of LAPD, and that more city funds be invested in mental health services that offer ongoing and preventative care, as well as crisis intervention services that don’t involve the police.

We further demand an audit of LAPD’s Suspicious Activity Reports (SARs), which have not been audited since 2017 though ever audit has confirmed a pattern of racial profiling and surveillance, enabled and encouraged by such LAPD programs as the iWATCH “See Something, Say Something” program. In the most recent (2017) audit, 59% of reports of “suspicious activity” were reported in predominantly white communities, and were disproportionately filed against Black, Latinx, Middle Eastern, or South Asian community members. We demand an audit to expose the most recent reports made through this program, which must ultimately be abolished.

We also demand an end to the restrictions on public comment, and that public comment follow the agenda item after it has been discussed, so community members might comment on new information that has been shared. Stop restricting the public comment period to forty-five minutes. You claim to be the “citizens’ voice in police affairs.” Start acting like it.
Signed,

Catherine Safley