From: Christina Chapman
Sent: Monday, August 23, 2021 9:00 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@LegacyLA.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; councilmember.ridley-thomas@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/24/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

This week’s “consent” agenda items, which according to this week’s meeting agenda the Commission considers “routine and non-controversial,” include $39K for yet more weapons of war for LAPD. Included in that figure is $29K for a ballistic shield for SWAT, as well as $8.5K for upgraded drones for SWAT and a new drone for Traffic Group.

For the community, the expansion of LAPD drone use and the continued outfitting of SWAT with yet more shields and technology while people in the neighborhoods most frequently attacked by SWAT are not supported by the city in having their basic needs met, cannot be deemed “routine.” It is in fact extremely controversial that the Police Commission continues to allow this weaponry to be donated to police quickly and anonymously, under the shroud of the Police Foundation. How much longer will this system - in which the Police “Chief” can request whatever LAPD wants, and the LAPF will find funders for that violence - go unchallenged?

With the recent passing of 41.18d and the continued implementation of “Data-Informed, Community Focused Policing” (which takes components of Operation LASER and its failed “SARA” process and rebundles them as “new”), the city continues its intentional neglect and assault of Black, Brown, and poor neighborhoods and encampments. We reject all donations to the LAPD in addition to its use of drones, which are now being upgraded to have longer flight times as they stream video of neighborhoods below. We also reject the donation of video production equipment to create copagenda for release after you assault and kill community members. Fund communities; defund the police!
LAPD officers continue to not wear masks and refuse to get vaccinated in addition to
the many other ways in which they terrorize and endanger Angelenos on a daily basis.
Why doesn’t the “oversight” board of the LAPD talk about this all the time? You
all should be ashamed of yourselves for this reason and many others besides it,
including your continued practice of limiting all public comment to forty-five
minutes and forcing speakers to offer comment on agenda items BEFORE the Board takes
them up. This used to not be how it worked; now, it is. A reasonable person might
expect a Board whose website declares it to be the “citizen’s voice in police
affairs” to take every possible action to maximize citizens’ opportunity to use
their voice (or, “speak”). You’ve dug in your heels to limit such opportunities, and
it’s clear that your loyalties lie with LAPD, and letting them do whatever they
want. What a shameful failure on the part of people whose oversight (or lack
thereof) has life-and-death consequences. How do you sleep at night?

Resume in-person meetings immediately, but keep a virtual public-comment option for
people who can’t or don’t want to show up in person.

Christina Chapman (She/Her)

From: Casey Dell Otto
Sent: Monday, August 23, 2021 9:01 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker;
ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff;
lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J.
Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com;
mayor.garrett@lacity.org; ericgarrett@gmail.com;
councilmember.ridley-thomas@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org;
Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org;
councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org;
councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org;
councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org;
councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org;
councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/24/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open
attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

I’m very concerned about something, and I wanted to reach out immediately. This
week’s “consent” agenda items, which according to this week’s meeting agenda the
Commission considers “routine and non-controversial,” include $39K for yet more
weapons of war for LAPD. Included in that figure is $29K for a ballistic shield for
SWAT, as well as $8.5K for upgraded drones for SWAT and a new drone for Traffic
Group.

From my perspective, the expansion of LAPD drone use and the continued outfitting of SWAT with yet more shields and technology while people in the neighborhoods most frequently attacked by SWAT are not supported by the city in having their basic needs met, cannot be deemed “routine.” It is in fact extremely controversial that the Police Commission continues to allow this weaponry to be donated to police quickly and anonymously, under the shroud of the Police Foundation. How much longer will this system - in which the Police “Chief” can request whatever LAPD wants, and the LAPF will find funders for that violence - go unchallenged?

With the recent passing of 41.18d and the continued implementation of “Data-Informed, Community Focused Policing” (which takes components of Operation LASER and its failed “SARA” process and rebundles them as “new”), the city continues its intentional neglect and assault of Black, Brown, and poor neighborhoods and encampments. We reject all donations to the LAPD in addition to its use of drones, which are now being upgraded to have longer flight times as they stream video of neighborhoods below. We also reject the donation of video production equipment to create propaganda for release after you assault and kill community members. Fund communities; defund the police!

Many LAPD officers continue to not wear masks and refuse to get vaccinated in addition to the many other ways in which they terrorize and endanger Angelenos on a daily basis. Why doesn’t the “oversight” board of the LAPD talk about this all the time? You all should be ashamed of yourselves for this reason and many others besides it, including your continued practice of limiting all public comment to forty-five minutes and forcing speakers to offer comment on agenda items BEFORE the Board takes them up. This used to not be how it worked; now, it is. A reasonable person might expect a Board whose website declares it to be the “citizen’s voice in police affairs” to take every possible action to maximize citizens’ opportunity to use their voice (or, “speak”). You’ve dug in your heels to limit such opportunities, and it’s clear that your loyalties lie with LAPD, and letting them do whatever they want.

Sincerely,
Casey

--

Casey Dell Otto

he/him

From: Alex
Sent: Monday, August 23, 2021 9:18 AM
To: Police Commission; mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@lalist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com;
councilmember.ridley-thomas@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/24/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

This week’s “consent” agenda items, which according to this week’s meeting agenda the Commission considers “routine and non-controversial,” include $39K for yet more weapons of war for LAPD. Included in that figure is $29K for a ballistic shield for SWAT, as well as $8.5K for upgraded drones for SWAT and a new drone for Traffic Group.

For the community, the expansion of LAPD drone use and the continued outfitting of SWAT with yet more shields and technology while people in the neighborhoods most frequently attacked by SWAT are not supported by the city in having their basic needs met, cannot be deemed “routine.” It is in fact extremely controversial that the Police Commission continues to allow this weaponry to be donated to police quickly and anonymously, under the shroud of the Police Foundation. How much longer will this system - in which the Police “Chief” can request whatever LAPD wants, and the LAPF will find funders for that violence - go unchallenged?

With the recent passing of 41.18a and the continued implementation of “Data-Informed, Community Focused Policing” (which takes components of Operation LASER and its failed “SARA” process and rebrands them as “new”), the city continues its intentional neglect and assault of Black, Brown, and poor neighborhoods and encampments. We reject all donations to the LAPD in addition to its use of drones, which are now being upgraded to have longer flight times as they stream video of neighborhoods below. We also reject the donation of video production equipment to create copaganda for release after you assault and kill community members. Fund communities; defund the police!

LAPD officers continue to not wear masks and refuse to get vaccinated in addition to the many other ways in which they terrorize and endanger Angelenos on a daily basis. Why doesn't the “oversight” board of the LAPD talk about this all the time? You all should be ashamed of yourselves for this reason and many others besides it, including your continued practice of limiting all public comment to forty-five minutes and forcing speakers to offer comment on agenda items BEFORE the Board takes them up. This used to not be how it worked; now, it is. A reasonable person might
expect a Board whose website declares it to be the "citizen's voice in police affairs" to take every possible action to maximize citizens' opportunity to use their voice (or, "speak"). You've dug in your heels to limit such opportunities, and it's clear that your loyalties lie with LAPD, and letting them do whatever they want. What a shameful failure on the part of people whose oversight (or lack thereof) has life-and-death consequences. How do you sleep at night?

Resume in-person meetings immediately, but keep a virtual public-comment option for people who can't or don't want to show up in person.

Signed,
Alex Cave

From:  Ted Trembinski
Sent:  Monday, August 23, 2021 9:25 AM
To:  Police Commission
Cc:  mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Los Angeles Mayor's Office; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; councilmember.ridley-thomas@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekinson@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject:  Public Comment BOPC 8/24/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

This week's "consent" agenda items, which according to this week's meeting agenda the Commission considers "routine and non-controversial," include $39K for yet more weapons of war for LAPD. Included in that figure is $29K for a ballistic shield for SWAT, as well as $8.5K for upgraded drones for SWAT and a new drone for Traffic Group.

For the community, the expansion of LAPD drone use and the continued outfitting of SWAT with yet more shields and technology while people in the neighborhoods most
frequently attacked by SWAT are not supported by the city in having their basic needs met, cannot be deemed "routine." It is in fact extremely controversial that the Police Commission continues to allow this weaponry to be donated to police quickly and anonymously, under the shroud of the Police Foundation. How much longer will this system - in which the Police "Chief" can request whatever LAPD wants, and the LAPF will find funders for that violence - go unchallenged?

With the recent passing of 41.18d and the continued implementation of "Data-Informed, Community Focused Policing" (which takes components of Operation LASER and its failed "SARA" process and rebundles them as "new"), the city continues its intentional neglect and assault of Black, Brown, and poor neighborhoods and encampments. We reject all donations to the LAPD in addition to its use of drones, which are now being upgraded to have longer flight times as they stream video of neighborhoods below. We also reject the donation of video production equipment to create copaganda for release after you assault and kill community members. Fund communities; defund the police!

LAPD officers continue to not wear masks and refuse to get vaccinated in addition to the many other ways in which they terrorize and endanger Angelenos on a daily basis. Why doesn’t the "oversight" board of the LAPD talk about this all the time? You all should be ashamed of yourselves for this reason and many others besides it, including your continued practice of limiting all public comment to forty-five minutes and forcing speakers to offer comment on agenda items BEFORE the Board takes them up. This used to not be how it worked; now, it is. A reasonable person might expect a Board whose website declares it to be the “citizen’s voice in police affairs” to take every possible action to maximize citizens’ opportunity to use their voice (or, “speak”). You’ve dug in your heels to limit such opportunities, and it’s clear that your loyalties lie with LAPD, and letting them do whatever they want. What a shameful failure on the part of people whose oversight (or lack thereof) has life-and-death consequences. How do you sleep at night?

Resume in-person meetings immediately, but keep a virtual public-comment option for people who can’t or don’t want to show up in person.

Signed,

Ted Trembinski

From:  Kenny Stevenson
Sent:  Monday, August 23, 2021 9:27 AM
To:  Police Commission
Cc:  mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com;
ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

This week’s “consent” agenda items, which according to this week’s meeting agenda the Commission considers “routine and non-controversial,” include $39K for yet more weapons of war for LAPD. Included in that figure is $29K for a ballistic shield for SWAT, as well as $8.5K for upgraded drones for SWAT and a new drone for Traffic Group.

For the community, the expansion of LAPD drone use and the continued outfitting of SWAT with yet more shields and technology while people in the neighborhoods most frequently attacked by SWAT are not supported by the city in having their basic needs met, cannot be deemed “routine.” It is in fact extremely controversial that the Police Commission continues to allow this weaponry to be donated to police quickly and anonymously, under the shroud of the Police Foundation. How much longer will this system - in which the Police “Chief” can request whatever LAPD wants, and the LAPF will find funders for that violence - go unchallenged?

With the recent passing of 41.18d and the continued implementation of “Data-Informed, Community Focused Policing” (which takes components of Operation LASER and its failed “SARA” process and rebrands them as “new”), the city continues its intentional neglect and assault of Black, Brown, and poor neighborhoods and encampments. We reject all donations to the LAPD in addition to its use of drones, which are now being upgraded to have longer flight times as they stream video of neighborhoods below. We also reject the donation of video production equipment to create copaganda for release after you assault and kill community members. Fund communities; defund the police!

LAPD officers continue to not wear masks and refuse to get vaccinated in addition to the many other ways in which they terrorize and endanger Angelenos on a daily basis.

Why doesn’t the “oversight” board of the LAPD talk about this all the time? You all should be ashamed of yourselves for this reason and many others besides it, including your continued practice of limiting all public comment to forty-five minutes and forcing speakers to offer comment on agenda items BEFORE the Board takes them up. This used to not be how it worked; now, it is. A reasonable person might expect a Board whose website declares it to be the “citizen’s voice in police affairs” to take every possible action to maximize citizens’ opportunity to use their voice (or, “speak”). You’ve dug in your heels to limit such opportunities, and
it's clear that your loyalties lie with LAPD, and letting them do whatever they want. What a shameful failure on the part of people whose oversight (or lack thereof) has life-and-death consequences. How do you sleep at night?

Resume in-person meetings immediately, but keep a virtual public-comment option for people who can’t or won’t want to show up in person.

Signed,

Kenny Stevenson

--

Check out:

twitter.com/kennygstevenson
<https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Ftwitter.com%2Fkennygstevenson&data=04%7C01%7Cpolicecommission%40lapd.online%7C03d14316d256447d48d108d09e652d7cb%7C642fd61c34dd4fd0af8d44357648583%7C0%7C637653328260352463%7CUknown%7CTWfpbGzsb3d8eyJWIjoiMC4wLjAwMDA1LjCQIjovV2luMzZiLCJBTiI6Ik1haWwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D%7C1008&sdata=hbdGQRrU4TsKid4F46RkS5jvHIZfRGcTiIGDzBpYq503D&reserved=0>

www.kennygstevenson.com
<https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.kennygstevenson.com%2F&data=04%7C01%7Cpolicecommission%40lapd.online%7C03d14316d256447d48d108d96652d7cb%7C642fd61c34dd4fd0af8d44357648583%7C0%7C637653328260352463%7CUknown%7CTWfpbGzsb3d8eyJWIjoiMC4wLjAwMDA1LjCQIjovV2luMzZiLCJBTiI6Ik1haWwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D%7C1008&sdata=V%2BteEGTvPh%2BQfCgqORulcOwHsnIyzU8rH6NDSqcj9JY83D&reserved=0>

From: J.Stephen Brantley
Sent: Monday, August 23, 2021 9:38 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcFAILs@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; councilmember.ridley-thomas@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; Councilmember.lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/24/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.
Commissioners:

I am writing to you in horror over the further militarization of our law enforcement agencies, and the continued reluctance of officers to adhere to basic and essential Covid-19 precautions.

This week’s “consent” agenda items, which according to this week’s meeting agenda the Commission considers “routine and non-controversial,” include $39K for yet more weapons of war for LAPD. Included in that figure is $29K for a ballistic shield for SWAT, as well as $8.5K for upgraded drones for SWAT and a new drone for Traffic Group.

For the community, the expansion of LAPD drone use and the continued outfitting of SWAT with yet more shields and technology while people in the neighborhoods most frequently attacked by SWAT are not supported by the city in having their basic needs met, cannot be deemed “routine.” It is in fact extremely controversial that the Police Commission continues to allow this weaponry to be donated to police quickly and anonymously, under the shroud of the Police Foundation. How much longer will this system - in which the Police “Chief” can request whatever LAPD wants, and the LAPF will find funders for that violence - go unchallenged?

With the recent passing of 41.18d and the continued implementation of “Data-Informed, Community Focused Policing” (which takes components of Operation LASER and its failed “SARA” process and rebundles them as “new”), the city continues its intentional neglect and assault of Black, Brown, and poor neighborhoods and encampments. We reject all donations to the LAPD in addition to its use of drones, which are now being upgraded to have longer flight times as they stream video of neighborhoods below. We also reject the donation of video production equipment to create copaganda for release after you assault and kill community members. Fund communities; defund the police!

LAPD officers continue to not wear masks and refuse to get vaccinated in addition to the many other ways in which they terrorize and endanger Angelenos on a daily basis.

Why doesn’t the “oversight” board of the LAPD talk about this all the time? You all should be ashamed of yourselves for this reason and many others besides it, including your continued practice of limiting all public comment to forty-five minutes and forcing speakers to offer comment on agenda items BEFORE the Board takes them up. This used to not be how it worked; now, it is. A reasonable person might expect a Board whose website declares it to be the “citizen’s voice in police affairs” to take every possible action to maximize citizens’ opportunity to use their voice (or, “speak”). You’ve dug in your heels to limit such opportunities, and it’s clear that your loyalties lie with LAPD, and letting them do whatever they want. What a shameful failure on the part of people whose oversight (or lack thereof) has life-and-death consequences. How do you sleep at night?

Resume in-person meetings immediately, but keep a virtual public-comment option for people who can’t or don’t want to show up in person.
Signed,
J. Stephen Brantley
From: Jessi Jones
Sent: Monday, August 23, 2021 9:46 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mikes.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker;
ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff;
lapcfails@gmail.com; loulegacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J.
Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com;
mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com;
councilmember.ridley-thomas@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org;
Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org;
Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org;
councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org;
councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org;
Councilmember.lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org;
councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/24/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open
attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

This week’s “consent” agenda items, which according to this week’s meeting agenda
the Commission considers “routine and non-controversial,” include $39K for yet more
weapons of war for LAPD. Included in that figure is $29K for a ballistic shield for
SWAT, as well as $8.5K for upgraded drones for SWAT and a new drone for Traffic
Group.

For the community, the expansion of LAPD drone use and the continued outfitting of
SWAT with yet more shields and technology while people in the neighborhoods most
frequently attacked by SWAT are not supported by the city in having their basic
needs met, cannot be deemed “routine.” It is in fact extremely controversial that
the Police Commission continues to allow this weaponry to be donated to police
quickly and anonymously, under the shroud of the Police Foundation. How much longer
will this system - in which the Police “Chief” can request whatever LAPD wants, and
the LAPF will find funders for that violence - go unchallenged?

With the recent passing of 41.18d and the continued implementation of “Data-
Informed, Community Focused Policing” (which takes components of Operation LASER and
its failed “SARA” process and rebundles them as “new”), the city continues its
intentional neglect and assault of Black, Brown, and poor neighborhoods and
encampments. We reject all donations to the LAPD in addition to its use of drones,
which are now being upgraded to have longer flight times as they stream video of
neighborhoods below. We also reject the donation of video production equipment to
create copaganda for release after you assault and kill community members. Fund
communities; defund the police!
LAPD officers continue to not wear masks and refuse to get vaccinated in addition to the many other ways in which they terrorize and endanger Angelenos on a daily basis. Why doesn’t the “oversight” board of the LAPD talk about this all the time? You all should be ashamed of yourselves for this reason and many others besides it, including your continued practice of limiting all public comment to forty-five minutes and forcing speakers to offer comment on agenda items BEFORE the Board takes them up. This used to not be how it worked; now, it is. A reasonable person might expect a Board whose website declares it to be the “citizen’s voice in police affairs” to take every possible action to maximize citizens’ opportunity to use their voice (or, “speak”). You’ve dug in your heels to limit such opportunities, and it’s clear that your loyalties lie with LAPD, and letting them do whatever they want. What a shameful failure on the part of people whose oversight (or lack thereof) has life-and-death consequences. How do you sleep at night?

Resume in-person meetings immediately, but keep a virtual public-comment option for people who can’t or don’t want to show up in person.

Signed,
Jessi Jones
90004
From: Mayank Keshaviah
Sent: Monday, August 23, 2021 10:11 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; LAPC Fails; lori@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laiist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; councilmember.ridley-thomas@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: My Public Comment for the BOPC - 8/24/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

To the Commissioners:

This week’s “consent” agenda items, which according to this week’s meeting agenda the Commission considers “routine and non-controversial,” include $39K for yet more weapons of war for LAPD. Included in that figure is $29K for a ballistic shield for SWAT, as well as $8.5K for upgraded drones for SWAT and a new drone for Traffic Group.

For the community, the expansion of LAPD drone use and the continued outfitting of SWAT with yet more shields and technology, while people in the neighborhoods most
frequently attacked by SWAT are not supported by the city in having their basic needs met, cannot be deemed "routine." It is in fact extremely controversial that the Police Commission continues to allow this weaponry to be donated to police quickly and anonymously, under the shroud of the Police Foundation. How much longer will this system - in which the Police "Chief" can request whatever LAPD wants, and the LAPF will find funders for that violence - go unchallenged?

With the recent passing of 41.18d and the continued implementation of "Data-Informed, Community Focused Policing" (which takes components of Operation LASER and its failed "SARA" process and rebundles them as "new"), the city continues its intentional neglect and assault of Black, Brown, and poor neighborhoods and encampments. We reject all donations to the LAPD in addition to its use of drones, which are now being upgraded to have longer flight times as they stream video of neighborhoods below. We also reject the donation of video production equipment to create copaganda for release after you assault and kill community members. Fund communities; defund the police!

LAPD officers continue to not wear masks and refuse to get vaccinated in addition to the many other ways in which they terrorize and endanger Angelenos on a daily basis. Why doesn’t the "oversight" board of the LAPD talk about this all the time? You all should be ashamed of yourselves for this reason and many others besides it, including your continued practice of limiting all public comment to forty-five minutes and forcing speakers to offer comment on agenda items BEFORE the Board takes them up. This used to not be how it worked; now, it is. A reasonable person might expect a Board whose website declares it to be the "citizen’s voice in police affairs" to take every possible action to maximize citizens’ opportunity to use their voice (or, "speak"). You’ve dug in your heels to limit such opportunities, and it’s clear that your loyalties lie with LAPD, and letting them do whatever they want. What a shameful failure on the part of people whose oversight (or lack thereof) has life-and-death consequences. How do you sleep at night?

Resume in-person meetings immediately, but keep a virtual public-comment option for people who can’t or don’t want to show up in person.

Disgustedly,

Mayank Keshaviah
Los Angeles, CA 90034

From: Carter Moon
Sent: Monday, August 23, 2021 10:09 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Sboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; councilmember.ridley-thomas@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org;
ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

This week’s “consent” agenda items, which according to this week’s meeting agenda the Commission considers “routine and non-controversial,” include $39K for yet more weapons of war for LAPD. Included in that figure is $29K for a ballistic shield for SWAT, as well as $8.5K for upgraded drones for SWAT and a new drone for Traffic Group.

For the community, the expansion of LAPD drone use and the continued outfitting of SWAT with yet more shields and technology while people in the neighborhoods most frequently attacked by SWAT are not supported by the city in having their basic needs met, cannot be deemed “routine.” It is in fact extremely controversial that the Police Commission continues to allow this weaponry to be donated to police quickly and anonymously, under the shroud of the Police Foundation. How much longer will this system - in which the Police “Chief” can request whatever LAPD wants, and the LAPF will find funders for that violence - go unchallenged?

With the recent passing of 41.18d and the continued implementation of “Data-Informed, Community Focused Policing” (which takes components of Operation LASER and its failed “SARA” process and rebundles them as “new”), the city continues its intentional neglect and assault of Black, Brown, and poor neighborhoods and encampments. We reject all donations to the LAPD in addition to its use of drones, which are now being upgraded to have longer flight times as they stream video of neighborhoods below. We also reject the donation of video production equipment to create copaganda for release after you assault and kill community members. Fund communities; defund the police!

LAPD officers continue to not wear masks and refuse to get vaccinated in addition to the many other ways in which they terrorize and endanger Angelenos on a daily basis. Why doesn't the "oversight" board of the LAPD talk about this all the time? You all should be ashamed of yourselves for this reason and many others besides it, including your continued practice of limiting all public comment to forty-five minutes and forcing speakers to offer comment on agenda items BEFORE the Board takes them up. This used to not be how it worked; now, it is. A reasonable person might expect a Board whose website declares it to be the “citizen’s voice in police affairs” to take every possible action to maximize citizens’ opportunity to use their voice (or, “speak”). You’ve dug in your heels to limit such opportunities, and
it's clear that your loyalties lie with LAPD, and letting them do whatever they want. What a shameful failure on the part of people whose oversight (or lack thereof) has life-and-death consequences. How do you sleep at night?

Resume in-person meetings immediately, but keep a virtual public-comment option for people who can't or don't want to show up in person.

Signed,
Carter Moon

Phone: [redacted]
Portfolio: https://www.cartermoonsportfolio.com/
Sent: Monday, August 23, 2021 10:12 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; LAPC Fails; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@latist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; councilmember.ridley-thomas@lacity.org; Councilmember Mike Bonin; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; TeamCD4; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/24/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners/City Council/Press:

It's exhausting how we've been showing up in this space, many of our coalition members, for MORE THAN A DECADE to point out the failures of this useless body
that's nothing more than a placeholder for whatever a commissioner thinks might come next!

This week’s “consent” agenda items, which according to this week’s meeting agenda the Commission considers “routine and non-controversial,” include $39K for yet more weapons of war for LAPD. Included in that figure is $29K for a ballistic shield for SWAT, as well as $8.5K for upgraded drones for SWAT and a new drone for Traffic Group.

For the community, the expansion of LAPD drone use and the continued outfitting of SWAT with yet more shields and technology while people in the neighborhoods most frequently attacked by SWAT are not supported by the city in having their basic needs met, cannot be deemed “routine.” It is in fact extremely controversial that the Police Commission continues to allow this weaponry to be donated to police quickly and anonymously, under the shroud of the Police Foundation. How much longer will this system - in which the Police “Chief” can request whatever LAPD wants, and the LAPF will find funders for that violence - go unchallenged?

With the recent passing of 41.18d and the continued implementation of “Data-Informed, Community Focused Policing” (which takes components of Operation LASER and its failed “SARA” process and rebundles them as “new”), the city continues its intentional neglect and assault of Black, Brown, and poor neighborhoods and encampments. We reject all donations to the LAPD in addition to its use of drones, which are now being upgraded to have longer flight times as they stream video of neighborhoods below. We also reject the donation of video production equipment to create copaganda for release after you assault and kill community members. Fund communities; defund the police!

LAPD officers continue to not wear masks and refuse to get vaccinated in addition to the many other ways in which they terrorize and endanger Angelenos on a daily basis. Why doesn’t the “oversight” board of the LAPD talk about this all the time? You all should be ashamed of yourselves for this reason and many others besides it, including your continued practice of limiting all public comment to forty-five minutes and forcing speakers to offer comment on agenda items BEFORE the Board takes them up. This used to not be how it worked; now, it is. A reasonable person might expect a Board whose website declares it to be the “citizen’s voice in police affairs” to take every possible action to maximize citizens’ opportunity to use their voice (or, “speak”). You’ve dug in your heels to limit such opportunities, and it’s clear that your loyalties lie with LAPD, and letting them do whatever they want. What a shameful failure on the part of people whose oversight (or lack thereof) has life-and-death consequences. How do you sleep at night?

Resume in-person meetings immediately, but keep a virtual public-comment option for people who can’t or don’t want to show up in person.
Signed,

Gina Viola

Gina Viola (she/her/hers)

https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Fmedium.com%2Fgender-inclusivity%2Fwhy-i-put-pronouns-on-my-email-signature-and-linkedin-profile-and-you-should-too-d3dc96c8743&data=04%7Cc01%7Cpolicecommission%40lapd.online%7Cc5a9dcb891e348be47a08d96659526%7C642fd61c34dd4fd0af8d443576485883%7C0%7C0%7C637653355616650946%7CUnknown%7C7 TW_fpbgZsb3d8eyjWljiocMC4wLjAwMDAialCJXVIjo1V2luMzIiLCJBTiI6Ik1hawwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D%7C1000&sdata=198rSKmkL44jN0KSqJBU3sTG5gsk0%2FjkeYWZsTPKto%3D&reserved=0>

https://docs.google.com/uc?export=download&id=1YZUY2r_Kcl11YeURszZS2X9tbY4Gp9W3L&rev id=0BzMF7ak0jvlPRk1MenZSM1NaMKeEcfnFrK29zazhEMGxsbs2bPQ>

---

Corporate Address:

Los Angeles, CA 90071

Fax: 213.438.0410
http://www.tradeshowtemps.net

https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.tradeshowtemps.net%2F data=04%7C0%7Cpolicecommission%40lapd.online%7C5a9dcb891e348be47a08d966593526%7C642fd61c34dd4fd0af8d443576485883%7C0%7C0%7C637653355616650946%7CUnknown%7CTWF pbgZsb3d8eyjWljiocMC4wLjAwMDAialCJXVIjo1V2luMzIiLCJBTiI6Ik1hawwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D%7C1000&s data=nGolq9aUdxj8AjVIR4zA4YEBBF1Q4Zts3jIez7QhTeaW%3D&reserved=0>

***************

The information contained in this message may be privileged and confidential and thus protected from disclosure. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by replying to the message and deleting it from your computer. Thank you, Trade Show Temps.

---

From: Marianne Drummond
Sent: Monday, August 23, 2021 10:13 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laisl.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; councilmember.ridley-thomas@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org;
ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

This week’s “consent” agenda items, which according to this week’s meeting agenda the Commission considers “routine and non-controversial,” include $39K for yet more weapons of war for LAPD. Included in that figure is $29K for a ballistic shield for SWAT, as well as $8.5K for upgraded drones for SWAT and a new drone for Traffic Group.

For the community, the expansion of LAPD drone use and the continued outfitting of SWAT with yet more shields and technology while people in the neighborhoods most frequently attacked by SWAT are not supported by the city in having their basic needs met, cannot be deemed “routine.” It is in fact extremely controversial that the Police Commission continues to allow this weaponry to be donated to police quickly and anonymously, under the shroud of the Police Foundation. How much longer will this system - in which the Police “Chief” can request whatever LAPD wants, and the LAPF will find funders for that violence - go unchallenged?

With the recent passing of 41.18d and the continued implementation of “Data-Informed, Community Focused Policing” (which takes components of Operation LASER and its failed “SARA” process and rebundles them as “new”), the city continues its intentional neglect and assault of Black, Brown, and poor neighborhoods and encampments. We reject all donations to the LAPD in addition to its use of drones, which are now being upgraded to have longer flight times as they stream video of neighborhoods below. We also reject the donation of video production equipment to create copaganda for release after you assault and kill community members. Fund communities; defund the police!

LAPD officers continue to not wear masks and refuse to get vaccinated in addition to the many other ways in which they terrorize and endanger Angelenos on a daily basis. Why doesn’t the “oversight” board of the LAPD talk about this all the time? You all should be ashamed of yourselves for this reason and many others besides it, including your continued practice of limiting all public comment to forty-five minutes and forcing speakers to offer comment on agenda items BEFORE the Board takes them up. This used to not be how it worked; now, it is. A reasonable person might expect a Board whose website declares it to be the “citizen’s voice in police
affairs” to take every possible action to maximize citizens’ opportunity to use their voice (or, “speak”). You've dug in your heels to limit such opportunities, and it’s clear that your loyalties lie with LAPD, and letting them do whatever they want. What a shameful failure on the part of people whose oversight (or lack thereof) has life-and-death consequences. How do you sleep at night?

Resume in-person meetings immediately, but keep a virtual public-comment option for people who can’t or don’t want to show up in person.

Signed,

Marianne Drummond

From: Magan Wiles
Sent: Monday, August 23, 2021 10:19 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@lalst.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; councilmember.ridley-thomas@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/24/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

This week’s “consent” agenda items, which according to this week’s meeting agenda the Commission considers “routine and non-controversial,” include $39K for yet more weapons of war for LAPD. Included in that figure is $29K for a ballistic shield for SWAT, as well as $8.5K for upgraded drones for SWAT and a new drone for Traffic Group.

For the community, the expansion of LAPD drone use and the continued outfitting of SWAT with yet more shields and technology while people in the neighborhoods most frequently attacked by SWAT are not supported by the city in having their basic needs met, cannot be deemed “routine.” It is in fact extremely controversial that the Police Commission continues to allow this weaponry to be donated to police quickly and anonymously, under the shroud of the Police Foundation. How much longer
will this system - in which the Police "Chief" can request whatever LAPD wants, and the LAPF will find funders for that violence - go unchallenged?

With the recent passing of 41.18d and the continued implementation of "Data-Informed, Community Focused Policing" (which takes components of Operation LASER and its failed "SARA" process and rebundles them as "new"), the city continues its intentional neglect and assault of Black, Brown, and poor neighborhoods and encampments. We reject all donations to the LAPD in addition to its use of drones, which are now being upgraded to have longer flight times as they stream video of neighborhoods below. We also reject the donation of video production equipment to create copaganda for release after you assault and kill community members. Fund communities; defund the police!

LAPD officers continue to not wear masks and refuse to get vaccinated in addition to the many other ways in which they terrorize and endanger Angelenos on a daily basis.
Why doesn’t the “oversight” board of the LAPD talk about this all the time? You all should be ashamed of yourselves for this reason and many others besides it, including your continued practice of limiting all public comment to forty-five minutes and forcing speakers to offer comment on agenda items BEFORE the Board takes them up. This used to not be how it worked; now, it is. A reasonable person might expect a Board whose website declares it to be the “citizen’s voice in police affairs” to take every possible action to maximize citizens’ opportunity to use their voice (or, “speak”). You’ve dug in your heels to limit such opportunities, and it’s clear that your loyalties lie with LAPD, and letting them do whatever they want. What a shameful failure on the part of people whose oversight (or lack thereof) has life-and-death consequences. How do you sleep at night?

Resume in-person meetings immediately, but keep a virtual public-comment option for people who can’t or don’t want to show up in person.

Signed,

--

magan wiles (she/her)
From: Lucas O'Connor
Sent: Monday, August 23, 2021 10:21 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; councilmember.ridley-thomas@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org;
ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

This week’s “consent” agenda items, which according to this week’s meeting agenda the Commission considers “routine and non-controversial,” include $39K for yet more weapons of war for LAPD. Included in that figure is $29K for a ballistic shield for SWAT, as well as $8.5K for upgraded drones for SWAT and a new drone for Traffic Group.

For the community, the expansion of LAPD drone use and the continued outfitting of SWAT with yet more shields and technology while people in the neighborhoods most frequently attacked by SWAT are not supported by the city in having their basic needs met, cannot be deemed “routine.” It is in fact extremely controversial that the Police Commission continues to allow this weaponry to be donated to police quickly and anonymously, under the shroud of the Police Foundation. How much longer will this system - in which the Police “Chief” can request whatever LAPD wants, and the LAPF will find funders for that violence - go unchallenged?

With the recent passing of 41.18d and the continued implementation of “Data-Informed, Community Focused Policing” (which takes components of Operation LASER and its failed “SARA” process and rebundles them as “new”), the city continues its intentional neglect and assault of Black, Brown, and poor neighborhoods and encampments. We reject all donations to the LAPD in addition to its use of drones, which are now being upgraded to have longer flight times as they stream video of neighborhoods below. We also reject the donation of video production equipment to create copaganda for release after you assault and kill community members. Fund communities; defund the police!

LAPD officers continue to not wear masks and refuse to get vaccinated in addition to the many other ways in which they terrorize and endanger Angelenos on a daily basis. Why doesn’t the “oversight” board of the LAPD talk about this all the time? You all should be ashamed of yourselves for this reason and many others besides it, including your continued practice of limiting all public comment to forty-five minutes and forcing speakers to offer comment on agenda items BEFORE the Board takes them up. This used to not be how it worked; now, it is. A reasonable person might expect a Board whose website declares it to be the “citizen’s voice in police affairs” to take every possible action to maximize citizens’ opportunity to use their voice (or, “speak”). You’ve dug in your heels to limit such opportunities, and
it’s clear that your loyalties lie with LAPD, and letting them do whatever they want. What a shameful failure on the part of people whose oversight (or lack thereof) has life-and-death consequences. How do you sleep at night?

Resume in-person meetings immediately, but keep a virtual public-comment option for people who can’t or don’t want to show up in person.

Signed,

Lucas O’Connor

From: Zach Sherwin
Sent: Monday, August 23, 2021 10:24 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Mayor Helpdesk; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; LAPC Falls; loul@lacity.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laiist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; Queally, James; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; councilmember.ridley-thomas@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; Mitch O'Farrell; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/24/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

This week’s “consent” agenda items, which according to this week’s meeting agenda the Commission considers “routine and non-controversial,” include $39K for yet more weapons of war for LAPD. Included in that figure is $29K for a ballistic shield for SWAT, as well as $8.5K for upgraded drones for SWAT and a new drone for Traffic Group.

For the community, the expansion of LAPD drone use and the continued outfitting of SWAT with yet more shields and technology while people in the neighborhoods most frequently attacked by SWAT are not supported by the city in having their basic needs met, cannot be deemed “routine.” It is in fact extremely controversial that the Police Commission continues to allow this weaponry to be donated to police quickly and anonymously, under the shroud of the Police Foundation. How much longer will this system - in which the Police “Chief” can request whatever LAPD wants, and the LAPF will find funders for that violence - go unchallenged?
With the recent passing of 41.18d and the continued implementation of “Data-Informed, Community Focused Policing” (which takes components of Operation LASER and its failed “SARA” process and rebundles them as “new”), the city continues its intentional neglect and assault of Black, Brown, and poor neighborhoods and encampments. We reject all donations to the LAPD in addition to its use of drones, which are now being upgraded to have longer flight times as they stream video of neighborhoods below. We also reject the donation of video production equipment to create copaganda for release after you assault and kill community members. Fund communities; defund the police!

LAPD officers continue to not wear masks and refuse to get vaccinated in addition to the many other ways in which they terrorize and endanger Angelenos on a daily basis. Why doesn’t the “oversight” board of the LAPD talk about this all the time? You all should be ashamed of yourselves for this reason and many others besides it, including your continued practice of limiting all public comment to forty-five minutes and forcing speakers to offer comment on agenda items BEFORE the Board takes them up. This used to not be how it worked; now, it is. A reasonable person might expect a Board whose website declares it to be the “citizen’s voice in police affairs” to take every possible action to maximize citizens’ opportunity to use their voice (or, “speak”). You’ve dug in your heels to limit such opportunities, and it’s clear that your loyalties lie with LAPD, and letting them do whatever they want. What a shameful failure on the part of people whose oversight (or lack thereof) has life-and-death consequences. How do you sleep at night?

Resume in-person meetings immediately, but keep a virtual public-comment option for people who can’t or don’t want to show up in person.

You’re seriously awful and if more people in this city knew the kind of crap you pull they wouldn’t stand for it.

Signed,

Zach Sherwin

From: Jeffrey White

Sent: Monday, August 23, 2021 10:27 AM

To: Police Commission

Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lacpfaills@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; councilmember.ridley-thomas@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org;
ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello Commissioners:

As a concerned citizen, I am reaching out to ask the commission to PLEASE take a more active stance in reigning in the excesses of our police departments. We are giving way too much leniency to an organization which brings so much harm to our communities, and offers so little of use in response.

Of this week’s “consent” agenda items, which the Commission apparently considers “routine and non-controversial,” include $39K for yet more weapons of war for LAPD. Included in that figure is $29K for a ballistic shield for SWAT, as well as $8.5K for upgraded drones for SWAT and a new drone for Traffic Group.

In what world is this routine and non-controversial? We have seen police departments routinely take these weapons (deemed presumably as safety precautions), and turn them into tools of violence and oppression against our communities.

It is in fact extremely controversial that the Police Commission continues to allow this weaponry to be donated to police quickly and anonymously, under the shroud of the Police Foundation.

How much longer will this system - in which the Police “Chief” can request whatever LAPD wants, and the LAPF will find funders for that violence - go unchallenged?

We reject all donations to the LAPD in addition to its use of drones, which are now being upgraded to have longer flight times as they stream video of neighborhoods
below. We also reject the donation of video production equipment to create copaganda for release after you assault and kill community members. Fund communities; defund the police!

Lastly, LAPD officers continue to not wear masks and refuse to get vaccinated in addition to the many other ways in which they terrorize and endanger Angelenos on a daily basis. Why doesn’t the “oversight” board of the LAPD talk about this all the time? We MUST stand up against the police departments when they are putting our communities at risk, and in their non-compliance, giving credibility to the anti-vaccine and anti-mask mandate.

Please act to reign in these excesses!

Thank you for your time.

Signed,

Jeff White

From:  Matyos Kidane [REDACTED]
Sent:  Monday, August 23, 2021 10:48 AM
To:  Police Commission
Cc:  mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; LAPC Fails; lou@legacyca.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@latimes.com; kevin.ector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; councilmember.ridley-thomas@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactecd@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org;
ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

This week’s “consent” agenda items, which according to this week’s meeting agenda the Commission considers “routine and non-controversial,” include $39K for yet more weapons of war for LAPD. Included in that figure is $29K for a ballistic shield for SWAT, as well as $8.5K for upgraded drones for SWAT and a new drone for Traffic Group.

For the community, the expansion of LAPD drone use and the continued outfitting of SWAT with yet more shields and technology while people in the neighborhoods most frequently attacked by SWAT are not supported by the city in having their basic needs met, cannot be deemed “routine.” It is in fact extremely controversial that the Police Commission continues to allow this weaponry to be donated to police quickly and anonymously, under the shroud of the Police Foundation. How much longer will this system - in which the Police “Chief” can request whatever LAPD wants, and the LAPF will find funders for that violence - go unchallenged?

With the recent passing of 41.18d and the continued implementation of “Data-Informed, Community Focused Policing” (which takes components of Operation LASER and its failed “SARA” process and rebundles them as “new”), the city continues its intentional neglect and assault of Black, Brown, and poor neighborhoods and encampments. We reject all donations to the LAPD in addition to its use of drones, which are now being upgraded to have longer flight times as they stream video of neighborhoods below. We also reject the donation of video production equipment to create copaganda for release after you assault and kill community members. Fund communities; defund the police!

LAPD officers continue to not wear masks and refuse to get vaccinated in addition to the many other ways in which they terrorize and endanger Angelenos on a daily basis. Why doesn’t the “oversight” board of the LAPD talk about this all the time? You all should be ashamed of yourselves for this reason and many others besides it, including your continued practice of limiting all public comment to forty-five minutes and forcing speakers to offer comment on agenda items BEFORE the Board takes them up. This used to not be how it worked; now, it is. A reasonable person might expect a Board whose website declares it to be the “citizen’s voice in police affairs” to take every possible action to maximize citizens’ opportunity to use their voice (or, “speak”). You’ve dug in your heels to limit such opportunities, and it’s clear that your loyalties lie with LAPD, and letting them do whatever they
want. What a shameful failure on the part of people whose oversight (or lack thereof) has life-and-death consequences. How do you sleep at night?

Resume in-person meetings immediately, but keep a virtual public-comment option for people who can’t or don’t want to show up in person.

Signed,

Matyos

From: Alissa Dean
Sent: Monday, August 23, 2021 10:48 AM
To: Police Commission; mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; councilmember.ridley-thomas@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/24/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello Commissioners:

This week’s “consent” agenda items, which according to this week’s meeting agenda the Commission considers “routine and non-controversial,” include $39K for yet more weapons of war for LAPD. Included in that figure is $29K for a ballistic shield for SWAT, as well as $8.5K for upgraded drones for SWAT and a new drone for Traffic Group. Truly: WTF?

For the community, the expansion of LAPD drone use and the continued outfitting of SWAT with yet more shields and technology while people in the neighborhoods most frequently attacked by SWAT are not supported by the city in having their basic needs met, cannot be deemed “routine.” It is in fact extremely controversial that the Police Commission continues to allow this weaponry to be donated to police quickly and anonymously, under the shroud of the Police Foundation. How much longer will this system - in which the Police "Chief" can request whatever LAPD wants, and the LAPF will find funders for that violence - go unchallenged?
With the recent passing of 41.18d and the continued implementation of “Data-Informed, Community Focused Policing” (which takes components of Operation LASER and its failed “SARA” process and rebundles them as “new”), the city continues its intentional neglect and assault of Black, Brown, and poor neighborhoods and encampments. We reject all donations to the LAPD in addition to its use of drones, which are now being upgraded to have longer flight times as they stream video of neighborhoods below. We also reject the donation of video production equipment to create copaganda for release after you assault and kill community members. Fund communities; defund the police!

LAPD officers continue to not wear masks and refuse to get vaccinated in addition to the many other ways in which they terrorize and endanger Angelenos on a daily basis. Why doesn’t the “oversight” board of the LAPD talk about this all the time? You all should be ashamed of yourselves for this reason and many others besides it, including your continued practice of limiting all public comment to forty-five minutes and forcing speakers to offer comment on agenda items BEFORE the Board takes them up. This used to not be how it worked; now, it is. A reasonable person might expect a Board whose website declares it to be the “citizen’s voice in police affairs” to take every possible action to maximize citizens’ opportunity to use their voice (or, “speak”). You’ve dug in your heels to limit such opportunities, and it’s clear that your loyalties lie with LAPD, and letting them do whatever they want. What a shameful failure on the part of people whose oversight (or lack thereof) has life-and-death consequences. How do you sleep at night?

Resume in-person meetings immediately, but keep a virtual public-comment option for people who can’t or don’t want to show up in person.

Signed,

Alissa Ceraulo

From: Margaret Starbuck

Sent: Monday, August 23, 2021 10:54 AM

To: Police Commission

Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@LegacyLA.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; councilmember.lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/24/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

This week’s “consent” agenda items, which according to this week’s meeting agenda the Commission considers “routine and non-controversial,” include $39K for yet more weapons of war for LAPD. Included in that figure is $29K for a ballistic shield for SWAT, as well as $8.5K for upgraded drones for SWAT and a new drone for Traffic Group.

For the community, the expansion of LAPD drone use and the continued outfitting of SWAT with yet more shields and technology while people in the neighborhoods most frequently attacked by SWAT are not supported by the city in having their basic needs met, cannot be deemed “routine.” It is in fact extremely controversial that the Police Commission continues to allow this weaponry to be donated to police quickly and anonymously, under the shroud of the Police Foundation. How much longer will this system - in which the Police “Chief” can request whatever LAPD wants, and the LAPF will find funders for that violence - go unchallenged?

With the recent passing of 41.18d and the continued implementation of “Data-Informed, Community Focused Policing” (which takes components of Operation LASER and its failed “SARA” process and rebundles them as “new”), the city continues its intentional neglect and assault of Black, Brown, and poor neighborhoods and encampments. We reject all donations to the LAPD in addition to its use of drones, which are now being upgraded to have longer flight times as they stream video of neighborhoods below. We also reject the donation of video production equipment to create copaganda for release after you assault and kill community members. Fund communities; defund the police!

LAPD officers continue to not wear masks and refuse to get vaccinated in addition to the many other ways in which they terrorize and endanger Angelenos on a daily basis. Why doesn’t the “oversight” board of the LAPD talk about this all the time? You all should be ashamed of yourselves for this reason and many others besides it, including your continued practice of limiting all public comment to forty-five minutes and forcing speakers to offer comment on agenda items BEFORE the Board takes them up. A reasonable person might expect a Board whose website declares it to be the “citizen’s voice in police affairs” to take every possible action to maximize citizens’ opportunity to use their voice (or, “speak”). You’ve dug in your heels to limit such opportunities, and it’s clear that your loyalties lie with LAPD, and letting them do whatever they want. What a shameful failure on the part of people whose oversight (or lack thereof) has life-and-death consequences. How do you sleep at night?
Resume in-person meetings immediately, but keep a virtual public-comment option for people who can’t or don’t want to show up in person.

Signed,
Margaret
From: Phoebe Neidhardt
Sent: Monday, August 23, 2021 10:56 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; councilmember.ridley-thomas@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/24/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

This week’s “consent” agenda items, which according to this week’s meeting agenda the Commission considers “routine and non-controversial,” include $39K for yet more weapons of war for LAPD. Included in that figure is $29K for a ballistic shield for SWAT, as well as $8.5K for upgraded drones for SWAT and a new drone for Traffic Group.

For the community, the expansion of LAPD drone use and the continued outfitting of SWAT with yet more shields and technology while people in the neighborhoods most frequently attacked by SWAT are not supported by the city in having their basic needs met, cannot be deemed “routine.” It is in fact extremely controversial that the Police Commission continues to allow this weaponry to be donated to police quickly and anonymously, under the shroud of the Police Foundation. How much longer will this system - in which the Police “Chief” can request whatever LAPD wants, and the LAPF will find funders for that violence - go unchallenged?

With the recent passing of 41.18d and the continued implementation of “Data-Informed, Community Focused Policing” (which takes components of Operation LASER and its failed “SARA” process and rebundles them as “new”), the city continues its
intentional neglect and assault of Black, Brown, and poor neighborhoods and encampments. We reject all donations to the LAPD in addition to its use of drones, which are now being upgraded to have longer flight times as they stream video of neighborhoods below. We also reject the donation of video production equipment to create copaganda for release after you assault and kill community members. Fund communities; defund the police!

LAPD officers continue to not wear masks and refuse to get vaccinated in addition to the many other ways in which they terrorize and endanger Angelenos on a daily basis. Why doesn’t the “oversight” board of the LAPD talk about this all the time? You all should be ashamed of yourselves for this reason and many others besides it, including your continued practice of limiting all public comment to forty-five minutes and forcing speakers to offer comment on agenda items BEFORE the Board takes them up. This isn’t how it used to work. A reasonable person might expect a Board whose website declares it to be the “citizen’s voice in police affairs” to take every possible action to maximize citizens’ opportunity to use their voice (or, “speak”). You’ve dug in your heels to limit such opportunities, and it’s clear that your loyalties lie with LAPD, and letting them do whatever they want. What a shameful failure on the part of people whose oversight (or lack thereof) has life-and-death consequences.

Resume in-person meetings immediately, but keep a virtual public-comment option for people who can’t or don’t want to show up in person.

Signed,

Phoebe Neidhardt

From: Kimberli Meyer
Sent: Monday, August 23, 2021 10:59 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Sboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; councilmember.ridley-thomas@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject:  Public Comment BOPC 8/24/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open
attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Commissioners:

This week’s “consent” agenda items, which according to this week’s meeting agenda the Commission considers “routine and non-controversial,” include $39K for yet more weapons of war for LAPD. Included in that figure is $29K for a ballistic shield for SWAT, as well as $8.5K for upgraded drones for SWAT and a new drone for Traffic Group.

For the community, the expansion of LAPD drone use and the continued outfitting of SWAT with yet more shields and technology while people in the neighborhoods most frequently attacked by SWAT are not supported by the city in having their basic needs met, cannot be deemed “routine.” It is in fact extremely controversial that the Police Commission continues to allow this weaponry to be donated to police quickly and anonymously, under the shroud of the Police Foundation. How much longer will this system - in which the Police “Chief” can request whatever LAPD wants, and the LAPF will find funders for that violence - go unchallenged?

With the recent passing of 41.18d and the continued implementation of “Data-Informed, Community Focused Policing” (which takes components of Operation LASER and its failed “SARA” process and rebundles them as “new”), the city continues its intentional neglect and assault of Black, Brown, and poor neighborhoods and encampments. We reject all donations to the LAPD in addition to its use of drones, which are now being upgraded to have longer flight times as they stream video of neighborhoods below. We also reject the donation of video production equipment to create copaganda for release after you assault and kill community members. Fund communities; defund the police!

LAPD officers continue to not wear masks and refuse to get vaccinated in addition to the many other ways in which they terrorize and endanger Angelenos on a daily basis. Why doesn’t the “oversight” board of the LAPD talk about this all the time? You all should be ashamed of yourselves for this reason and many others besides it, including your continued practice of limiting all public comment to forty-five minutes and forcing speakers to offer comment on agenda items BEFORE the Board takes them up. This used to not be how it worked; now, it is. A reasonable person might expect a Board whose website declares it to be the “citizen’s voice in police affairs” to take every possible action to maximize citizens’ opportunity to use their voice (or, “speak”). You’ve dug in your heels to limit such opportunities, and it’s clear that your loyalties lie with LAPD, and letting them do whatever they want. What a shameful failure on the part of people whose oversight (or lack thereof) has life-and-death consequences. How do you sleep at night?

Resume in-person meetings immediately, but keep a virtual public-comment option for people who can’t or don’t want to show up in person.
Sincerely,

Kimberli Meyer

From:  Powell Lester
Sent:  Monday, August 23, 2021 11:07 AM
To:  Police Commission
Cc:  mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyLA.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; councilmember.ridley-thomas@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject:  Public Comment BOPC 8/24/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

This week’s “consent” agenda items, which according to this week’s meeting agenda the Commission considers “routine and non-controversial,” include $39K for yet more weapons of war for LAPD. Included in that figure is $29K for a ballistic shield for SWAT, as well as $8.5K for upgraded drones for SWAT and a new drone for Traffic Group.

For the community, the expansion of LAPD drone use and the continued outfitting of SWAT with yet more shields and technology while people in the neighborhoods most frequently attacked by SWAT are not supported by the city in having their basic needs met, cannot be deemed “routine.” It is in fact extremely controversial that the Police Commission continues to allow this weaponry to be donated to police quickly and anonymously, under the shroud of the Police Foundation. How much longer will this system - in which the Police “Chief” can request whatever LAPD wants, and the LAFP will find funders for that violence - go unchallenged?

With the recent passing of 41.18d and the continued implementation of “Data-
Informed, Community Focused Policing” (which takes components of Operation LASER and its failed “SARA” process and rebundles them as “new”), the city continues its intentional neglect and assault of Black, Brown, and poor neighborhoods and encampments. We reject all donations to the LAPD in addition to its use of drones, which are now being upgraded to have longer flight times as they stream video of neighborhoods below. We also reject the donation of video production equipment to create copaganda for release after you assault and kill community members. Fund communities; defund the police!

LAPD officers continue to not wear masks and refuse to get vaccinated in addition to the many other ways in which they terrorize and endanger Angelenos on a daily basis. Why doesn’t the “oversight” board of the LAPD talk about this all the time? You all should be ashamed of yourselves for this reason and many others besides it, including your continued practice of limiting all public comment to forty-five minutes and forcing speakers to offer comment on agenda items BEFORE the Board takes them up. This used to not be how it worked; now, it is. A reasonable person might expect a Board whose website declares it to be the “citizen’s voice in police affairs” to take every possible action to maximize citizens’ opportunity to use their voice (or, “speak”). You’ve dug in your heels to limit such opportunities, and it’s clear that your loyalties lie with LAPD, and letting them do whatever they want. What a shameful failure on the part of people whose oversight (or lack thereof) has life-and-death consequences. How do you sleep at night?

Resume in-person meetings immediately, but keep a virtual public-comment option for people who can’t or don’t want to show up in person.

Lester Powell
Concerned Taxpaying Citizen

Lester D. Powell

If you want something you have never had you have to do something you have never done.

From:  Ken Barnard
Sent:  Monday, August 23, 2021 11:07 AM
To:  Police Commission
Cc:  mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Mayor Helpdesk; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@lalst.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; Mayor Garcetti; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; councilmember.ridley-thomas@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org;
ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

This week’s “consent” agenda items, which according to this week’s meeting agenda the Commission considers “routine and non-controversial,” include $39K for yet more weapons of war for LAPD. Included in that figure is $29K for a ballistic shield for SWAT, as well as $8.5K for upgraded drones for SWAT and a new drone for Traffic Group.

For the community, the expansion of LAPD drone use and the continued outfitting of SWAT with yet more shields and technology while people in the neighborhoods most frequently attacked by SWAT are not supported by the city in having their basic needs met, cannot be deemed “routine.” It is in fact extremely controversial that the Police Commission continues to allow this weaponry to be donated to police quickly and anonymously, under the shroud of the Police Foundation. How much longer will this system - in which the Police “Chief” can request whatever LAPD wants, and the LAPF will find funders for that violence - go unchallenged?

With the recent passing of 41.18d and the continued implementation of “Data-Informed, Community Focused Policing” (which takes components of Operation LASER and its failed “SARA” process and rebundles them as “new”), the city continues its intentional neglect and assault of Black, Brown, and poor neighborhoods and encampments. We reject all donations to the LAPD in addition to its use of drones, which are now being upgraded to have longer flight times as they stream video of neighborhoods below. We also reject the donation of video production equipment to create copaganda for release after you assault and kill community members. Fund communities; defund the police!

LAPD officers continue to not wear masks and refuse to get vaccinated in addition to the many other ways in which they terrorize and endanger Angelenos on a daily basis. Why doesn’t the “oversight” board of the LAPD talk about this all the time? You all should be ashamed of yourselves for this reason and many others besides it, including your continued practice of limiting all public comment to forty-five minutes and forcing speakers to offer comment on agenda items BEFORE the Board takes them up. This used to not be how it worked; now, it is. A reasonable person might expect a Board whose website declares it to be the “citizen’s voice in police affairs” to take every possible action to maximize citizens’ opportunity to use their voice (or, “speak”). You’ve dug in your heels to limit such opportunities, and it’s clear that your loyalties lie with LAPD, and letting them do whatever they want. What a shameful failure on the part of people whose oversight (or lack thereof) has life-and-death consequences. How do you sleep at night?
Resume in-person meetings immediately, but keep a virtual public-comment option for people who can’t or don’t want to show up in person.

- Ken Barnard
From: Liz Sommer
Sent: Monday, August 23, 2021 11:12 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; councilmember.ridley-thomas@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/24/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

This week’s “consent” agenda items, which according to the agenda, the Commission considers “routine and non-controversial,” include $39K for yet more weapons of war for LAPD. Included in that figure is $29K for a ballistic shield for SWAT, as well as $8.5K for upgraded drones for SWAT and a new drone for Traffic Group.

The expansion of LAPD drone use and the continued outfitting of SWAT with more shields and technology while people in the neighborhoods most frequently attacked by SWAT are not supported by the city in having their basic needs met, cannot be deemed “routine.”

It is, in fact, extremely controversial that the Police Commission continues to allow this weaponry to be donated to police quickly and anonymously, under the shroud of the Police Foundation. How much longer will this system - in which the Police “Chief” can request whatever LAPD wants, and the LAPF will find funders for that violence - go unchallenged?
With the recent passing of 41.18d and the continued implementation of “Data-Informed, Community Focused Policing” (which takes components of Operation LASER and its failed “SARA” process and rebrands them as “new”), the city continues its intentional neglect and assault of Black, Brown, and poor neighborhoods and encampments.

We reject all donations to the LAPD in addition to its use of drones, which are now being upgraded to have longer flight times as they stream video of neighborhoods below. We also reject the donation of video production equipment to create copaganda for release after you assault and kill community members. Fund communities; defund the police!

LAPD officers continue to not wear masks and refuse to get vaccinated in addition to the many other ways in which they terrorize and endanger Angelenos on a daily basis. Why doesn’t the “oversight” board of the LAPD talk about this all the time? You all should be ashamed of yourselves for this reason and many others besides it, including your continued practice of limiting all public comment to forty-five minutes and forcing speakers to offer comment on agenda items BEFORE the Board takes them up.

A reasonable person might expect a Board whose website declares it to be the “citizen’s voice in police affairs” to take every possible action to maximize citizens’ opportunity to use their voice (or, “speak”). You’ve dug in your heels to limit such opportunities, and it’s clear that your loyalties lie with LAPD, and letting them do whatever they want. What a shameful failure on the part of people whose oversight (or lack thereof) has life-and-death consequences. How do you sleep at night?

Resume in-person meetings immediately, but keep a virtual public-comment option for people who can’t or don’t want to show up in person.

Signed,

Elizabeth Sommer
Los Angeles resident
From: Michele LoBosco
Sent: Monday, August 23, 2021 11:23 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; councilmember.ridley-thomas@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/24/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

This week’s “consent” agenda items, which according to this week’s meeting agenda the Commission considers “routine and non-controversial,” include $39K for yet more weapons of war for LAPD. Included in that figure is $29K for a ballistic shield for SWAT, as well as $8.5K for upgraded drones for SWAT and a new drone for Traffic Group.

For the community, the expansion of LAPD drone use and the continued outfitting of SWAT with yet more shields and technology while people in the neighborhoods most frequently attacked by SWAT are not supported by the city in having their basic needs met, cannot be deemed “routine.” It is in fact extremely controversial that the Police Commission continues to allow this weaponry to be donated to police quickly and anonymously, under the shroud of the Police Foundation. How much longer will this system - in which the Police “Chief” can request whatever LAPD wants, and the LAPF will find funders for that violence - go unchallenged?

With the recent passing of 41.18d and the continued implementation of “Data-Informed, Community Focused Policing” (which takes components of Operation LASER and its failed “SARA” process and rebundles them as “new”), the city continues its intentional neglect and assault of Black, Brown, and poor neighborhoods and encampments. We reject all donations to the LAPD in addition to its use of drones, which are now being upgraded to have longer flight times as they stream video of neighborhoods below. We also reject the donation of video production equipment to create copaganda for release after you assault and kill community members. Fund communities; defund the police!
LAPD officers continue to not wear masks and refuse to get vaccinated in addition to the many other ways in which they terrorize and endanger Angelenos on a daily basis. Why doesn’t the “oversight” board of the LAPD talk about this all the time? You all should be ashamed of yourselves for this reason and many others besides it, including your continued practice of limiting all public comment to forty-five minutes and forcing speakers to offer comment on agenda items BEFORE the Board takes them up. This used to not be how it worked; now, it is. A reasonable person might expect a Board whose website declares it to be the “citizen’s voice in police affairs” to take every possible action to maximize citizens’ opportunity to use their voice (or, “speak”). You’ve dug in your heels to limit such opportunities, and it’s clear that your loyalties lie with LAPD, and letting them do whatever they want. What a shameful failure on the part of people whose oversight (or lack thereof) has life-and-death consequences. How do you sleep at night?

Resume in-person meetings immediately, but keep a virtual public-comment option for people who can’t or don’t want to show up in person.

Signed,

Michele

From: Tess Posso
Sent: Monday, August 23, 2021 11:33 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; councilmember.ridley-thomas@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscalino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/24/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

This week’s “consent” agenda items, which according to this week’s meeting agenda the Commission considers “routine and non-controversial,” include $39K for yet more weapons of war for LAPD. Included in that figure is $29K for a ballistic shield for SWAT, as well as $8.5K for upgraded drones for SWAT and a new drone for Traffic
Group.

For the community, the expansion of LAPD drone use and the continued outfitting of SWAT with yet more shields and technology while people in the neighborhoods most frequently attacked by SWAT are not supported by the city in having their basic needs met, cannot be deemed “routine.” It is in fact extremely controversial that the Police Commission continues to allow this weaponry to be donated to police quickly and anonymously, under the shroud of the Police Foundation. How much longer will this system - in which the Police “Chief” can request whatever LAPD wants, and the LAPF will find funders for that violence - go unchallenged and unquestioned?

With the recent passing of 41.18d and the continued implementation of “Data-Informed, Community Focused Policing” (which takes components of Operation LASER and its failed “SARA” process and rebundles them as “new”), the city continues its intentional neglect and assault of Black, Brown, and poor neighborhoods and encampments. We reject all donations to the LAPD in addition to its use of drones, which are now being upgraded to have longer flight times as they stream video of neighborhoods below. We also reject the donation of video production equipment to create copaganda for release after you assault and kill community members. Fund communities; defund the police!

LAPD officers continue to not wear masks and refuse to get vaccinated in addition to the many other ways in which they terrorize and endanger Angelenos on a daily basis. Why doesn’t the “oversight” board of the LAPD talk about this all the time? You all should be ashamed of yourselves for this reason and many others besides it, including your continued practice of limiting all public comment to forty-five minutes and forcing speakers to offer comment on agenda items BEFORE the Board takes them up. This used to not be how it worked; now, it is. A reasonable person might expect a Board whose website declares it to be the “citizen’s voice in police affairs” to take every possible action to maximize citizens’ opportunity to use their voice (or, “speak”). You’ve dug in your heels to limit such opportunities, and it’s clear that your loyalties lie with LAPD, and letting them do whatever they want. What a shameful failure on the part of people whose oversight (or lack thereof) has life-and-death consequences. How do you sleep at night?

Resume in-person meetings immediately, but keep a virtual public-comment option for people who can’t or don’t want to show up in person.

Signed,

Tess Posso
From: Samantha Lappin
Sent: Monday, August 23, 2021 11:35 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; councilmember.ridley-thomas@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaio@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/24/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

This week’s “consent” agenda items, which according to this week’s meeting agenda the Commission considers “routine and non-controversial,” include $39K for yet more weapons of war for LAPD. Included in that figure is $29K for a ballistic shield for SWAT, as well as $8.5K for upgraded drones for SWAT and a new drone for Traffic Group.

For the community, the expansion of LAPD drone use and the continued outfitting of SWAT with yet more shields and technology while people in the neighborhoods most frequently attacked by SWAT are not supported by the city in having their basic needs met, cannot be deemed “routine.” It is in fact extremely controversial that the Police Commission continues to allow this weaponry to be donated to police quickly and anonymously, under the shroud of the Police Foundation. How much longer will this system - in which the Police “Chief” can request whatever LAPD wants, and the LAPF will find funders for that violence - go unchallenged?

With the recent passing of 41.18d and the continued implementation of “Data-Informed, Community Focused Policing” (which takes components of Operation LASER and its failed “SARA” process and rebundles them as “new”), the city continues its intentional neglect and assault of Black, Brown, and poor neighborhoods and encampments. We reject all donations to the LAPD in addition to its use of drones, which are now being upgraded to have longer flight times as they stream video of neighborhoods below. We also reject the donation of video production equipment to create copaganda for release after you assault and kill community members. Fund communities; defund the police!

LAPD officers continue to not wear masks and refuse to get vaccinated in addition to the many other ways in which they terrorize and endanger Angelenos on a daily basis.
Why doesn’t the “oversight” board of the LAPD talk about this all the time? You all should be ashamed of yourselves for this reason and many others besides it, including your continued practice of limiting all public comment to forty-five minutes and forcing speakers to offer comment on agenda items BEFORE the Board takes them up. This used to not be how it worked; now, it is. A reasonable person might expect a Board whose website declares it to be the “citizen’s voice in police affairs” to take every possible action to maximize citizens’ opportunity to use their voice (or, “speak”). You’ve dug in your heels to limit such opportunities, and it’s clear that your loyalties lie with LAPD, and letting them do whatever they want. What a shameful failure on the part of people whose oversight (or lack thereof) has life-and-death consequences. How do you sleep at night?

Resume in-person meetings immediately, but keep a virtual public-comment option for people who can’t or don’t want to show up in person.

Signed,
Samantha

Sammi Lappin
Communicator & Educator
she/her

From: Harrison Weinfeld
Sent: Monday, August 23, 2021 11:37 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; councilmember.ridley-thomas@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/24/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

This week’s “consent” agenda items, which according to this week’s meeting agenda the Commission considers “routine and non-controversial,” include $39K for yet more weapons of war for LAPD. Included in that figure is $29K for a ballistic shield for SWAT, as well as $8.5K for upgraded drones for SWAT and a new drone for Traffic
Group.

For the community, the expansion of LAPD drone use and the continued outfitting of SWAT with yet more shields and technology while people in the neighborhoods most frequently attacked by SWAT are not supported by the city in having their basic needs met, cannot be deemed "routine." It is in fact extremely controversial that the Police Commission continues to allow this weaponry to be donated to police quickly and anonymously, under the shroud of the Police Foundation. How much longer will this system - in which the Police "Chief" can request whatever LAPD wants, and the LAPF will find funders for that violence - go unchallenged?

With the recent passing of 41.18d and the continued implementation of "Data-Informed, Community Focused Policing" (which takes components of Operation LASER and its failed "SARA" process and rebundles them as "new"), the city continues its intentional neglect and assault of Black, Brown, and poor neighborhoods and encampments. We reject all donations to the LAPD in addition to its use of drones, which are now being upgraded to have longer flight times as they stream video of neighborhoods below. We also reject the donation of video production equipment to create propaganda for release after you assault and kill community members. Fund communities; defund the police!

LAPD officers continue to not wear masks and refuse to get vaccinated in addition to the many other ways in which they terrorize and endanger Angelenos on a daily basis. Why doesn’t the "oversight" board of the LAPD talk about this all the time? You all should be ashamed of yourselves for this reason and many others besides it, including your continued practice of limiting all public comment to forty-five minutes and forcing speakers to offer comment on agenda items BEFORE the Board takes them up. This used to not be how it worked; now, it is. A reasonable person might expect a Board whose website declares it to be the "citizen's voice in police affairs" to take every possible action to maximize citizens' opportunity to use their voice (or, "speak"). You've dug in your heels to limit such opportunities, and it's clear that your loyalties lie with LAPD, and letting them do whatever they want. What a shameful failure on the part of people whose oversight (or lack thereof) has life-and-death consequences. How do you sleep at night?

Resume in-person meetings immediately, but keep a virtual public-comment option for people who can't or don't want to show up in person.

Signed,

Harrison

Sent from my iPhone
From: Jason Reedy
Sent: Monday, August 23, 2021 11:54 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Sobotoff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J.
Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; councilmember.ridley-thomas@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscalino@lacity.org

Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/24/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners

How will you fail us this week? Resume in-person meetings immediately, but keep a virtual public-comment option for people who can't or don't want to show up in person. Time is ticking. Other public meetings are at least convening their members in person. You should have to drive down to LAPD HQ to meet. You shouldn't get to sit in the comfort of your homes (or take the commission call while driving like Sboroff last week). Briggs - provide a timeline for the return to in-person meetings so I can look you in your eyes when I call you a coon.

This week's “consent” agenda items, which according to this week’s meeting agenda the Commission considers “routine and non-controversial,” include $39K for yet more weapons of war for LAPD. Included in that figure is $29K for a ballistic shield for SWAT, as well as $8.5K for upgraded drones for SWAT and a new drone for Traffic Group.

For the community, the expansion of LAPD drone use and the continued outfitting of SWAT with yet more shields and technology while people in the neighborhoods most frequently attacked by SWAT are not supported by the city in having their basic needs met, cannot be deemed “routine.” It is in fact extremely controversial that the Police Commission continues to allow this weaponry to be donated to police quickly and anonymously, under the shroud of the Police Foundation. How much longer will this system - in which the Police “Chief” can request whatever LAPD wants, and the LAPF will find funders for that violence - go unchallenged?

With the recent passing of 41.18d and the continued implementation of “Data-Informed, Community Focused Policing” (which takes components of Operation LASER and its failed “SARA” process and rebundles them as “new”), the city continues its intentional neglect and assault of Black, Brown, and poor neighborhoods and encampments. We reject all donations to the LAPD in addition to its use of drones,
which are now being upgraded to have longer flight times as they stream video of neighborhoods below. We also reject the donation of video production equipment to create propaganda for release after you assault and kill community members. Fund communities; defund the police!

LAPD officers continue to not wear masks and refuse to get vaccinated in addition to the many other ways in which they terrorize and endanger Angelenos on a daily basis. Why doesn’t the “oversight” board of the LAPD talk about this all the time? You all should be ashamed of yourselves for this reason and many others besides it, including your continued practice of limiting all public comment to forty-five minutes and forcing speakers to offer comment on agenda items BEFORE the Board takes them up. This used to not be how it worked; now, it is. A reasonable person might expect a Board whose website declares it to be the “citizen’s voice in police affairs” to take every possible action to maximize citizens’ opportunity to use their voice (or, “speak”). You’ve dug in your heels to limit such opportunities, and it’s clear that your loyalties lie with LAPD, and letting them do whatever they want. What a shameful failure on the part of people whose oversight (or lack thereof) has life-and-death consequences. How do you sleep at night?

Jason Reedy

From: Cody Sloan
Sent: Monday, August 23, 2021 11:59 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; LAPD Falls; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; councilmember.ridley-thomas@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/24/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

This week’s “consent” agenda items, which according to this week’s meeting agenda
the Commission considers “routine and non-controversial,” include $39K for yet more weapons of war for LAPD. Included in that figure is $29K for a ballistic shield for SWAT, as well as $8.5K for upgraded drones for SWAT and a new drone for Traffic Group.

For the community, the expansion of LAPD drone use and the continued outfitting of SWAT with yet more shields and technology while people in the neighborhoods most frequently attacked by SWAT are not supported by the city in having their basic needs met, cannot be deemed “routine.” It is in fact extremely controversial that the Police Commission continues to allow this weaponry to be donated to police quickly and anonymously, under the shroud of the Police Foundation. How much longer will this system - in which the Police “Chief” can request whatever LAPD wants, and the LAPF will find funders for that violence - go unchallenged?

With the recent passing of 41.18d and the continued implementation of “Data-Informed, Community Focused Policing” (which takes components of Operation LASER and its failed “SARA” process and rebundles them as “new”), the city continues its intentional neglect and assault of Black, Brown, and poor neighborhoods and encampments. We reject all donations to the LAPD in addition to its use of drones, which are now being upgraded to have longer flight times as they stream video of neighborhoods below. We also reject the donation of video production equipment to create copaganda for release after you assault and kill community members. Fund communities; defund the police!

LAPD officers continue to not wear masks and refuse to get vaccinated in addition to the many other ways in which they terrorize and endanger Angelenos on a daily basis. Why doesn’t the “oversight” board of the LAPD talk about this all the time? You all should be ashamed of yourselves for this reason and many others besides it, including your continued practice of limiting all public comment to forty-five minutes and forcing speakers to offer comment on agenda items BEFORE the Board takes them up. This used to not be how it worked; now, it is. A reasonable person might expect a Board whose website declares it to be the “citizen’s voice in police affairs” to take every possible action to maximize citizens’ opportunity to use their voice (or, “speak”). You’ve dug in your heels to limit such opportunities, and it’s clear that your loyalties lie with LAPD, and letting them do whatever they want. What a shameful failure on the part of people whose oversight (or lack thereof) has life-and-death consequences. How do you sleep at night?

Resume in-person meetings immediately, but keep a virtual public-comment option for people who can’t or don’t want to show up in person.

Signed,

Cody Sloan
Cody Sloan
pronouns: he/him/his (what's this?)

MFA in Acting: UCSD '21
www.codydsloan.com

From: Jack MacCarthy
Sent: Monday, August 23, 2021 12:02 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: Michel Moore; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org;
    Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org;
    councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org;
    councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org;
    councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org;
    councilmember.price@lacity.org; councilmember.ridley-thomas@lacity.org;
    councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; ericgarcetti@gmail.com;
    ethics.commission@lacity.org; james.queally@latimes.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com;
    lapcflails@gmail.com; lou@LegacyLA.org; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org;
    mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Richard Tefank; Sandra
    Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; Eileen Decker; William J. Briggs, II;
    paul.koretz@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; tips@laist.com
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/4/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open
attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

This week’s “consent” agenda items, which according to this week’s meeting agenda
the Commission considers “routine and non-controversial,” include $39K for yet more
weapons of war for LAPD. Included in that figure is $29K for a ballistic shield for
SWAT, as well as $8.5K for upgraded drones for SWAT and a new drone for Traffic
Group.

For the community, the expansion of LAPD drone use and the continued outfitting of
SWAT with yet more shields and technology while people in the neighborhoods most
frequently attacked by SWAT are not supported by the city in having their basic needs met, cannot be deemed "routine." It is in fact extremely controversial that the Police Commission continues to allow this weaponry to be donated to police quickly and anonymously, under the shroud of the Police Foundation. How much longer will this system - in which the Police "Chief" can request whatever LAPD wants, and the LAPF will find funders for that violence - go unchallenged?

With the recent passing of 41.18d and the continued implementation of "Data-Informed, Community Focused Policing" (which takes components of Operation LASER and its failed "SARA" process and rebundles them as "new"), the city continues its intentional neglect and assault of Black, Brown, and poor neighborhoods and encampments. We reject all donations to the LAPD in addition to its use of drones, which are now being upgraded to have longer flight times as they stream video of neighborhoods below. We also reject the donation of video production equipment to create copaganda for release after you assault and kill community members. Fund communities; defund the police!

LAPD officers continue to not wear masks and refuse to get vaccinated in addition to the many other ways in which they terrorize and endanger Angelenos on a daily basis. Why doesn’t the "oversight" board of the LAPD talk about this all the time? You all should be ashamed of yourselves for this reason and many others besides it, including your continued practice of limiting all public comment to forty-five minutes and forcing speakers to offer comment on agenda items BEFORE the Board takes them up. This used to not be how it worked; now, it is. A reasonable person might expect a Board whose website declares it to be the "citizen's voice in police affairs" to take every possible action to maximize citizens’ opportunity to use their voice (or, "speak"). You’ve dug in your heels to limit such opportunities, and it’s clear that your loyalties lie with LAPD, and letting them do whatever they want. What a shameful failure on the part of people whose oversight (or lack thereof) has life-and-death consequences. How do you sleep at night?

Resume in-person meetings immediately, but keep a virtual public-comment option for people who can’t or don’t want to show up in person.

Signed,

Jack MacCarthy, zip code 90057

From: Sheila BLM [redacted]
Sent: Monday, August 23, 2021 12:01 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; councilmember.ridley-thomas@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactccd@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org;
councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org

Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/24/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

This week’s “consent” agenda items, which are supposed to be “routine and non-controversial,” include $39K for yet more weapons of war for LAPD. Included in that figure is $29K for a ballistic shield for SWAT, as well as $8.5K for upgraded drones for SWAT and a new drone for Traffic Group. Newsflash, this is not routine and not non-controversial; well it is for you as a rubber stamp body but if you have been listening at all, we are sick of your routine and this is highly controversial!

With the recent passing of 41.18d and the continued implementation of “Data-Informed, Community Focused Policing” (which takes components of Operation LASER and its failed “SARA” process and rebundles them as “new”), the city continues its intentional neglect and assault of Black, Brown, and poor neighborhoods and encampments. We reject all donations to the LAPD in addition to its use of drones, which are now being upgraded to have longer flight times as they stream video of neighborhoods below. Not only do we reject them, we demand that these programs be defunded- stopped in full. We also reject the donation of video production equipment to create copaganda for release after you assault and kill community members. Fund communities; defund the police!

We have made this crystal clear, for the community, it is in fact extremely controversial that the Police Commission continues to allow this weaponry to be donated to police quickly and anonymously, under the shroud of the Police Foundation. Similarly, expansion of LAPD drone use and the continued outfitting of SWAT with yet more shields and technology while people in the neighborhoods most frequently attacked by SWAT are not supported by the city in having their basic needs met, cannot be deemed “routine.” None of this represents public safety, it represents a real and clear public threat! How much longer will this system - in which the Police “Chief” can request whatever LAPD wants, and the LAPF will find funders for that violence - go unchallenged?

In another time example of the threat LAPD officers pose, they continue to not wear masks and refuse to get vaccinated in addition to the many other ways in which they terrorize and endanger Angelenos on a daily basis. Why doesn’t the “oversight” board of the LAPD talk about this all the time?
Your continued practice of limiting all public comment to forty-five minutes and forcing speakers to offer comment on agenda items BEFORE the Board takes them up is anti-democratic and borderline illegal. This used to not be how it worked; now, it is. A reasonable person might expect a Board whose website declares it to be the “citizen’s voice in police affairs” to take every possible action to maximize citizens’ opportunity to use their voice (or, “speak”). So, we demand that you resume in-person meetings immediately, but keep a virtual public-comment option for people who can’t or don’t want to show up in person.

You’ve dug in your heels to limit such opportunities, and it’s clear that your loyalties lie with LAPD, and letting them do whatever they want. You all should be ashamed of yourselves for this reason and many others besides what was detailed here. What a shameful failure on the part of people whose oversight (or lack thereof) has life-and-death consequences. How do you sleep at night?

Signed,

Sheila Bates

She/her/hers

“I believe in the sweat of love and in the fire of truth.” -Assata Shakur

From: Asher Guthertz
Sent: Monday, August 23, 2021 12:16 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@lacist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; councilmember.ridley-thomas@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.kerekorian@lacity.org; councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; Councilmember.lee@lacity.org; Mitch O'Farrell; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/24/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.
Commissioners,

I am just a man standing in front of a police commission asking them, once again, what they think their jobs are. How can you possibly be the oversight board, the people's voice you claim to be when you quietly and "uncontroversially" approve the purchase of military weaponry for the white supremacist terrorist organization known as the LAPD? I'm wondering, are you aware that the militarization of police forces occurred at the end of the 1960s, as the US government initiated "a war on crime" in order to suppress anti-police violence demonstrations? Do you know that in the history of this country, providing increased weaponry for police officers has never made the communities it claims to protect safer? Do you know what you stand for, what your decisions mean?

I urge you to reject these new purchases, including $29K for a ballistic shield for SWAT, as well as $8.5K for upgraded drones for SWAT and a new drone for Traffic Group. I demand that this board begins doing actual oversight, and address the fact that LAPD officers continue to not wear masks and refuse to get vaccinated.

I also ask, once again, to receive an actual reply from any member of this board. I am a citizen and I demand that this "citizens voice in police affairs" provides the bare minimum of listening to me and my fellow citizens' concerns.

Love,

Asher Guthertz

From: John Campbell
Sent: Monday, August 23, 2021 12:37 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfail@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; councilmember.ridley-thomas@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org;
ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

This week’s “consent” agenda items, which according to this week’s meeting agenda the Commission considers “routine and non-controversial,” include $39K for yet more weapons of war for LAPD. Included in that figure is $29K for a ballistic shield for SWAT, as well as $8.5K for upgraded drones for SWAT and a new drone for Traffic Group.

For the community, the expansion of LAPD drone use and the continued outfitting of SWAT with yet more shields and technology while people in the neighborhoods most frequently attacked by SWAT are not supported by the city in having their basic needs met, cannot be deemed “routine.” It is in fact extremely controversial that the Police Commission continues to allow this weaponry to be donated to police quickly and anonymously, under the shroud of the Police Foundation. How much longer will this system - in which the Police “Chief” can request whatever LAPD wants, and the LAPF will find funders for that violence - go unchallenged?

With the recent passing of 41.18d and the continued implementation of “Data-Informed, Community Focused Policing” (which takes components of Operation LASER and its failed “SARA” process and rebundles them as “new”), the city continues its intentional neglect and assault of Black, Brown, and poor neighborhoods and encampments. We reject all donations to the LAPD in addition to its use of drones, which are now being upgraded to have longer flight times as they stream video of neighborhoods below. We also reject the donation of video production equipment to create copaganda for release after you assault and kill community members. Fund communities; defund the police!

LAPD officers continue to not wear masks and refuse to get vaccinated in addition to the many other ways in which they terrorize and endanger Angelenos on a daily basis. Why doesn’t the “oversight” board of the LAPD talk about this all the time? You all should be ashamed of yourselves for this reason and many others besides it, including your continued practice of limiting all public comment to forty-five minutes and forcing speakers to offer comment on agenda items BEFORE the Board takes them up. This used to not be how it worked; now, it is. A reasonable person might expect a Board whose website declares it to be the “citizen’s voice in police affairs” to take every possible action to maximize citizens’ opportunity to use their voice (or, “speak”). You’ve dug in your heels to limit such opportunities, and it’s clear that your loyalties lie with LAPD, and letting them do whatever they
want. What a shameful failure on the part of people whose oversight (or lack thereof) has life-and-death consequences. How do you sleep at night?

Resume in-person meetings immediately, but keep a virtual public-comment option for people who can’t or don’t want to show up in person.

John Campbell

From: Hannah Sands
Sent: Monday, August 23, 2021 12:41 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@latimes.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; councilmember.ridley-thomas@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Re: Public Comment BOPC 8/24/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

This week’s “consent” agenda items, which according to the agenda, the Commission considers “routine and non-controversial,” include $39K for yet more weapons of war for LAPD. Included in that figure is $29K for a ballistic shield for SWAT, as well as $8.5K for upgraded drones for SWAT and a new drone for Traffic Group.

The expansion of LAPD drone use and the continued outfitting of SWAT with more shields and technology while people in the neighborhoods most frequently attacked by SWAT are not supported by the city in having their basic needs met, cannot be deemed “routine.”
It is, in fact, extremely controversial that the Police Commission continues to allow this weaponry to be donated to police quickly and anonymously, under the shroud of the Police Foundation. How much longer will this system - in which the Police "Chief" can request whatever LAPD wants, and the LAPF will find funders for that violence - go unchallenged?

With the recent passing of 41.18d and the continued implementation of "Data-Informed, Community Focused Policing" (which takes components of Operation LASER and its failed "SARA" process and rebrands them as "new"), the city continues its intentional neglect and assault of Black, Brown, and poor neighborhoods and encampments.

We reject all donations to the LAPD in addition to its use of drones, which are now being upgraded to have longer flight times as they stream video of neighborhoods below. We also reject the donation of video production equipment to create copaganda for release after you assault and kill community members. Fund communities; defund the police!

LAPD officers continue to not wear masks and refuse to get vaccinated in addition to the many other ways in which they terrorize and endanger Angelenos on a daily basis. Why doesn't the "oversight" board of the LAPD talk about this all the time? You all should be ashamed of yourselves for this reason and many others besides it, including your continued practice of limiting all public comment to forty-five minutes and forcing speakers to offer comment on agenda items BEFORE the Board takes them up.

A reasonable person might expect a Board whose website declares it to be the "citizen's voice in police affairs" to take every possible action to maximize citizens' opportunity to use their voice (or, "speak"). You've dug in your heels to limit such opportunities, and it's clear that your loyalties lie with LAPD, and letting them do whatever they want. What a shameful failure on the part of people whose oversight (or lack thereof) has life-and-death consequences. How do you sleep at night?

Resume in-person meetings immediately, but keep a virtual public-comment option for people who can't or don't want to show up in person.

Signed,
Hannah Sands

Los Angeles resident

From: SHERRY VARON
Sent: Monday, August 23, 2021 12:47 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; LAPCFails; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; councilmember.ridley-thomas@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/24/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

This week's "consent" agenda items, which according to this week's meeting agenda the Commission considers "routine and non-controversial," include $39K for yet more weapons of war for LAPD. Included in that figure is $29K for a ballistic shield for SWAT, as well as $8.5K for upgraded drones for SWAT and a new drone for Traffic Group.

For the community, the expansion of LAPD drone use and the continued outfitting of SWAT with yet more shields and technology while people in the neighborhoods most frequently attacked by SWAT are not supported by the city in having their basic needs met, cannot be deemed "routine." It is in fact extremely controversial that the Police Commission continues to allow this weaponry to be donated to police quickly and anonymously, under the shroud of the Police Foundation. How much longer will this system - in which the Police "Chief" can request whatever LAPD wants, and the LAPD will find funders for that violence - go unchallenged?

With the recent passing of 41.18d and the continued implementation of "Data-Informed, Community Focused Policing" (which takes components of Operation LASER and its failed "SARA" process and rebundles them as "new"), the city continues its intentional neglect and assault of Black, Brown, and poor neighborhoods and encampments. We reject all donations to the LAPD in addition to its use of drones, which are now being upgraded to have longer flight times as they stream video of neighborhoods below. We also reject the donation of video production equipment to
create copaganda for release after you assault and kill community members. Fund communities; defund the police!

LAPD officers continue to not wear masks and refuse to get vaccinated in addition to the many other ways in which they terrorize and endanger Angelenos on a daily basis. Why doesn’t the “oversight” board of the LAPD talk about this all the time? You all should be ashamed of yourselves for this reason and many others besides it, including your continued practice of limiting all public comment to forty-five minutes and forcing speakers to offer comment on agenda items BEFORE the Board takes them up. This used to not be how it worked; now, it is. A reasonable person might expect a Board whose website declares it to be the “citizen’s voice in police affairs” to take every possible action to maximize citizens’ opportunity to use their voice (or, “speak”). You’ve dug in your heels to limit such opportunities, and it’s clear that your loyalties lie with LAPD, and letting them do whatever they want. What a shameful failure on the part of people whose oversight (or lack thereof) has life-and-death consequences. How do you sleep at night?

Resume in-person meetings immediately, but keep a virtual public-comment option for people who can’t or don’t want to show up in person.

Signed, Sherry Varon

From: Nicola Graham
Sent: Monday, August 23, 2021 12:55 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@LegacyLA.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; councilmember.ridley-thomas@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcc@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; Councilmember Rodriguez; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/24/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

This week’s “consent” agenda items, which according to this week’s meeting agenda the Commission considers “routine and non-controversial,” include $39K for yet more weapons of war for LAPD. Included in that figure is $29K for a ballistic shield for SWAT, as well as $8.5K for upgraded drones for SWAT and a new drone for Traffic Group.
For the community, the expansion of LAPD drone use and the continued outfitting of SWAT with yet more shields and technology while people in the neighborhoods most frequently attacked by SWAT are not supported by the city in having their basic needs met, cannot be deemed “routine.” It is in fact extremely controversial that the Police Commission continues to allow this weaponry to be donated to police quickly and anonymously, under the shroud of the Police Foundation. How much longer will this system - in which the Police “Chief” can request whatever LAPD wants, and the LAPF will find funders for that violence - go unchallenged?

With the recent passing of 41.18d and the continued implementation of “Data-Informed, Community Focused Policing” (which takes components of Operation LASER and its failed “SARA” process and rebundles them as “new”), the city continues its intentional neglect and assault of Black, Brown, and poor neighborhoods and encampments. We reject all donations to the LAPD in addition to its use of drones, which are now being upgraded to have longer flight times as they stream video of neighborhoods below. We also reject the donation of video production equipment to create copaganda for release after you assault and kill community members. Fund communities; defund the police!

LAPD officers continue to not wear masks and refuse to get vaccinated in addition to the many other ways in which they terrorize and endanger Angelenos on a daily basis. Why doesn’t the “oversight” board of the LAPD talk about this all the time? You all should be ashamed of yourselves for this reason and many others besides it, including your continued practice of limiting all public comment to forty-five minutes and forcing speakers to offer comment on agenda items BEFORE the Board takes them up. This used to not be how it worked; now, it is. A reasonable person might expect a Board whose website declares it to be the “citizen’s voice in police affairs” to take every possible action to maximize citizens’ opportunity to use their voice (or, “speak”). You’ve dug in your heels to limit such opportunities, and it’s clear that your loyalties lie with LAPD, and letting them do whatever they want. What a shameful failure on the part of people whose oversight (or lack thereof) has life-and-death consequences. How do you sleep at night?

Resume in-person meetings immediately, but keep a virtual public-comment option for people who can’t or don’t want to show up in person.

Signed,

Nicola Graham
From: Lex Roman
Sent: Monday, August 23, 2021 1:12 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; councilmember.ridley-thomas@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; Councilmember John S. Lee; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/24/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello Commissioners:

Writing with several comments for your meeting tomorrow:

* This week’s “consent” agenda items include $39K for yet more weapons of war for LAPD. Included in that figure is $29K for a ballistic shield for SWAT, as well as $8.5K for upgraded drones for SWAT and a new drone for Traffic Group. The expansion of LAPD drone use and the continued outfitting of SWAT with yet more shields and technology while people in the neighborhoods most frequently attacked by SWAT are not supported by the city in having their basic needs met, cannot be deemed “routine.” It is in fact extremely controversial that the Police Commission continues to allow this weaponry to be donated to police quickly and anonymously, under the shroud of the Police Foundation. How much longer will this system - in which the Police “Chief” can request whatever LAPD wants, and the LAPF will find funders for that violence - go unchallenged?

* With the recent passing of 41.18d and the continued implementation of “Data-Informed, Community Focused Policing” (which takes components of Operation LASER and its failed “SARA” process and rebundles them as “new”), the city continues its intentional neglect and assault of Black, Brown, and poor neighborhoods and
encampments. We reject all donations to the LAPD in addition to its use of drones, which are now being upgraded to have longer flight times as they stream video of neighborhoods below. We also reject the donation of video production equipment to create copaganda for release after you assault and kill community members.

* LAPD officers continue to not wear masks and refuse to get vaccinated in addition to the many other ways in which they terrorize and endanger Angelenos on a daily basis. Why doesn’t the “oversight” board of the LAPD talk about this all the time? It is documented over and over again online by even mainstream media outlets.

* You must expand and remove limits from the public comment period at your meetings. It is shameful that you block public participation of the city’s greatest and gravest expense

* Resume in-person meetings immediately, but keep a virtual public-comment option for people who can’t or don’t want to show up in person.

Signed,
Lex Roman

Defund the police, fund communities

From: Michelle King
Sent: Monday, August 23, 2021 1:43 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; LAPC Fails; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; councilmember.ridley-thomas@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactc4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org

Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/24/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

This week’s “consent” agenda items, which according to this week’s meeting agenda the Commission considers “routine and non-controversial,” include $39K for yet more weapons of war for LAPD. Included in that figure is $29K for a ballistic shield for SWAT, as well as $8.5K for upgraded drones for SWAT and a new drone for Traffic Group. Yikes, when will we end the militarization of LAPD?

For the community, the expansion of LAPD drone use and the continued outfitting of SWAT with yet more shields and technology while people in the neighborhoods most
frequently attacked by SWAT are not supported by the city in having their basic needs met, cannot be deemed "routine." It is in fact extremely controversial that the Police Commission continues to allow this weaponry to be donated to police quickly and anonymously, under the shroud of the Police Foundation. How much longer will this system - in which the Police "Chief" can request whatever LAPD wants, and the LAPF will find funders for that violence - go unchallenged?

With the recent passing of 41.18d and the continued implementation of "Data-Informed, Community Focused Policing" (which takes components of Operation LASER and its failed "SARA" process and rebundles them as "new"), the city continues its intentional neglect and assault of Black, Brown, and poor neighborhoods and encampments. We reject all donations to the LAPD in addition to its use of drones, which are now being upgraded to have longer flight times as they stream video of neighborhoods below. We also reject the donation of video production equipment to create copaganda for release after you assault and kill community members. Fund communities; defund the police!

LAPD officers continue to not wear masks and refuse to get vaccinated in addition to the many other ways in which they terrorize and endanger Angelenos on a daily basis. Why doesn’t the "oversight" board of the LAPD talk about this all the time? Who's going to take a stand for the community's safety? You should all be ashamed of yourselves for this reason, among many others, including your continued practice of limiting all public comment to forty-five minutes and forcing speakers to offer comment on agenda items BEFORE the Board takes them up. This used to not be how it worked; now, it is. A reasonable person might expect a Board whose website declares it to be the "citizen’s voice in police affairs" to take every possible action to maximize citizens' opportunity to use their voice (or, "speak"). You’ve dug in your heels to limit such opportunities, and it’s clear that your loyalties lie with LAPD, and letting them do whatever they want. What a shameful failure on the part of people whose oversight (or lack thereof) has life-and-death consequences. How do you sleep at night?

Resume in-person meetings immediately, but keep a virtual public-comment option for people who can’t or don’t want to show up in person.

In community,

Michelle King

From: Sarah Bowers
Sent: Monday, August 23, 2021 1:51 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Mayor Helpdesk; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; LAPC Fails; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; Mayor Garcetti; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; councilmember.ridley-thomas@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org;
ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Board of Commissioners:

As a citizen of Los Angeles I'm writing to submit public comments to the LAPC Meeting.

Items 3A, 3B, and 3C: LAPD and More Militarization and Weapons of War

This week's "consent" agenda items, which according to this week's meeting agenda the Commission considers "routine and non-controversial," include $39K for yet more weapons of war for LAPD. Included in that figure is $29K for a ballistic shield for SWAT, as well as $8.5K for upgraded drones for SWAT and a new drone for Traffic Group.

For the community, the expansion of LAPD drone use and the continued outfitting of SWAT with yet more shields and technology while people in the neighborhoods most frequently attacked by SWAT are not supported by the city in having their basic needs met, cannot be deemed "routine." It is in fact extremely controversial that the Police Commission continues to allow this weaponry to be donated to police quickly and anonymously, under the shroud of the Police Foundation.

We reject all donations to the LAPD in addition to its use of drones, which are now being upgraded to have longer flight times as they stream video of neighborhoods below. We also reject the donation of video production equipment to create copaganda for release after you assault and kill community members. Fund communities; defund the police!

Items 3B and 3C: Invasion of Community Privacy
We reject all donations to the LAPD in addition to its use of drones, which are now being upgraded to have longer flight times as they stream video of neighborhoods below.

With the recent passing of 41.18d and the continued implementation of "Data-Informed, Community Focused Policing" (which takes components of Operation LASER and its failed "SARA" process and rebundles them as "new"), the city continues its intentional neglect and assault of Black, Brown, and poor neighborhoods and encampments.

General Public Comment: Public Comment Limitations:
I demand that you stop capping your meetings’ public comment period at a mere forty-five minutes. You give 45 minutes for public comment from a city of 4 million people. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

General Public Comment: LAPC Meeting Time
Why are these meetings held at the same time as City Council and Board of Supervisor meetings? Can we please change the meeting time so more people can participate in the meetings?

Signed,
Sarah Bowers

From: Kevin King
Sent: Monday, August 23, 2021 1:55 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; LAPC Fails; lou@legacycla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; councilmember.ridley-thomas@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevinindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/24/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:
This week’s “consent” agenda items, which according to this week’s meeting agenda the Commission considers “routine and non-controversial,” include $39K for yet more weapons of war for LAPD. Included in that figure is $29K for a ballistic shield for SWAT, as well as $8.5K for upgraded drones for SWAT and a new drone for Traffic Group. Yikes, when will we end the militarization of LAPD?

For the community, the expansion of LAPD drone use and the continued outfitting of SWAT with yet more shields and technology while people in the neighborhoods most frequently attacked by SWAT are not supported by the city in having their basic needs met, cannot be deemed “routine.” It is in fact extremely controversial that the Police Commission continues to allow this weaponry to be donated to police quickly and anonymously, under the shroud of the Police Foundation. How much longer will this system - in which the Police “Chief” can request whatever LAPD wants, and the LAPF will find funders for that violence - go unchallenged?

With the recent passing of 41.18d and the continued implementation of “Data-Informed, Community Focused Policing” (which takes components of Operation LASER and its failed “SARA” process and rebundles them as “new”), the city continues its intentional neglect and assault of Black, Brown, and poor neighborhoods and encampments. We reject all donations to the LAPD in addition to its use of drones, which are now being upgraded to have longer flight times as they stream video of neighborhoods below. We also reject the donation of video production equipment to create copaganda for release after you assault and kill community members. Fund communities; defund the police!

LAPD officers continue to not wear masks and refuse to get vaccinated in addition to the many other ways in which they terrorize and endanger Angelenos on a daily basis. Why doesn’t the “oversight” board of the LAPD talk about this all the time? Who’s going to take a stand for the community’s safety? You should all be ashamed of yourselves for this reason, among many others, including your continued practice of limiting all public comment to forty-five minutes and forcing speakers to offer comment on agenda items BEFORE the Board takes them up. This used to not be how it worked; now, it is. A reasonable person might expect a Board whose website declares it to be the “citizen’s voice in police affairs” to take every possible action to maximize citizens’ opportunity to use their voice (or, “speak”). You’ve dug in your heels to limit such opportunities, and it’s clear that your loyalties lie with LAPD, and letting them do whatever they want. What a shameful failure on the part of people whose oversight (or lack thereof) has life-and-death consequences. How do you sleep at night?

Resume in-person meetings immediately, but keep a virtual public-comment option for people who can’t or don’t want to show up in person.

Thank you from a concerned citizen

Kevin King
From: Manar Jarban
Sent: Monday, August 23, 2021 1:57 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker;
ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners,

This week’s “consent” agenda items, which according to this week’s meeting agenda the Commission considers “routine and non-controversial,” include $39K for yet more weapons of war for LAPD. Included in that figure is $29K for a ballistic shield for SWAT, as well as $8.5K for upgraded drones for SWAT and a new drone for Traffic Group.

For the community, the expansion of LAPD drone use and the continued outfitting of SWAT with yet more shields and technology while people in the neighborhoods most frequently attacked by SWAT are not supported by the city in having their basic needs met, cannot be deemed “routine.” It is in fact extremely controversial that the Police Commission continues to allow this weaponry to be donated to police quickly and anonymously, under the shroud of the Police Foundation. How much longer will this system - in which the Police “Chief” can request whatever LAPD wants, and the LAPD will find funders for that violence - go unchallenged?

With the recent passing of 41.18d and the continued implementation of “Data-Informed, Community Focused Policing” (which takes components of Operation LASER and its failed “SARA” process and rebundles them as “new”), the city continues its intentional neglect and assault of Black, Brown, and poor neighborhoods and encampments. We reject all donations to the LAPD in addition to its use of drones, which are now being upgraded to have longer flight times as they stream video of neighborhoods below. We also reject the donation of video production equipment to create copagenda for release after you assault and kill community members. Fund communities; defund the police!

LAPD officers continue to not wear masks and refuse to get vaccinated in addition to the many other ways in which they terrorize and endanger Angelenos on a daily basis. Why doesn’t the “oversight” board of the LAPD talk about this all the time? You all should be ashamed of yourselves for this reason and many others besides it, including your continued practice of limiting all public comment to forty-five minutes and forcing speakers to offer comment on agenda items BEFORE the Board takes
them up. This used to not be how it worked; now, it is. A reasonable person might expect a Board whose website declares it to be the “citizen’s voice in police affairs” to take every possible action to maximize citizens’ opportunity to use their voice (or, “speak”). You’ve dug in your heels to limit such opportunities, and it’s clear that your loyalties lie with LAPD, and letting them do whatever they want. What a shameful failure on the part of people whose oversight (or lack thereof) has life-and-death consequences. How do you sleep at night?

Resume in-person meetings immediately, but keep a virtual public-comment option for people who can’t or don’t want to show up in person.

Signed,

Manar

From: Danielle Carne
Sent: Monday, August 23, 2021 12:51 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; councilmember.ridley-thomas@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactccd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindelano@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/24/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

This week’s “consent” agenda items, which according to this week’s meeting agenda the Commission considers “routine and non-controversial,” include $39K for yet more weapons of war for LAPD. Included in that figure is $29K for a ballistic shield for SWAT, as well as $8.5K for upgraded drones for SWAT and a new drone for Traffic Group.
For the community, the expansion of LAPD drone use and the continued outfitting of SWAT with yet more shields and technology while people in the neighborhoods most frequently attacked by SWAT are not supported by the city in having their basic needs met, cannot be deemed “routine.” It is in fact extremely controversial that the Police Commission continues to allow this weaponry to be donated to police quickly and anonymously, under the shroud of the Police Foundation. How much longer will this system - in which the Police “Chief” can request whatever LAPD wants, and the LAPF will find funders for that violence - go unchallenged?

With the recent passing of 41.18d and the continued implementation of “Data-Informed, Community Focused Policing” (which takes components of Operation LASER and its failed “SARA” process and rebundles them as “new”), the city continues its intentional neglect and assault of Black, Brown, and poor neighborhoods and encampments. We reject all donations to the LAPD in addition to its use of drones, which are now being upgraded to have longer flight times as they stream video of neighborhoods below. We also reject the donation of video production equipment to create copaganda for release after you assault and kill community members. Fund communities; defund the police!

LAPD officers continue to not wear masks and refuse to get vaccinated in addition to the many other ways in which they terrorize and endanger Angelenos on a daily basis. Why doesn’t the “oversight” board of the LAPD talk about this all the time? You all should be ashamed of yourselves for this reason and many others besides it, including your continued practice of limiting all public comment to forty-five minutes and forcing speakers to offer comment on agenda items BEFORE the Board takes them up. This used to not be how it worked; now, it is. A reasonable person might expect a Board whose website declares it to be the “citizen’s voice in police affairs” to take every possible action to maximize citizens’ opportunity to use their voice (or, “speak”). You’ve dug in your heels to limit such opportunities, and it’s clear that your loyalties lie with LAPD, and letting them do whatever they want. What a shameful failure on the part of people whose oversight (or lack thereof) has life-and-death consequences. How do you sleep at night?

Resume in-person meetings immediately, but keep a virtual public-comment option for people who can’t or don’t want to show up in person.

Signed,

Danielle Carne
From: Bethany Heykoop
Sent: Monday, August 23, 2021 2:20 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Mayor Helpdesk; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; LAPC Fails; lou@legacyLA.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; Mayor Garcetti;
ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

This week’s “consent” agenda items, which according to this week’s meeting agenda the Commission considers “routine and non-controversial,” include $39K for yet more weapons of war for LAPD. Included in that figure is $29K for a ballistic shield for SWAT, as well as $8.5K for upgraded drones for SWAT and a new drone for Traffic Group.

For the community, the expansion of LAPD drone use and the continued outfitting of SWAT with yet more shields and technology while people in the neighborhoods most frequently attacked by SWAT are not supported by the city in having their basic needs met, cannot be deemed “routine.” It is in fact extremely controversial that the Police Commission continues to allow this weaponry to be donated to police quickly and anonymously, under the shroud of the Police Foundation. How much longer will this system - in which the Police “Chief” can request whatever LAPD wants, and the LAPF will find funders for that violence - go unchallenged?

With the recent passing of 41.18d and the continued implementation of “Data-Informed, Community Focused Policing” (which takes components of Operation LASER and its failed “SARA” process and rebundles them as “new”), the city continues its intentional neglect and assault of Black, Brown, and poor neighborhoods and encampments. We reject all donations to the LAPD in addition to its use of drones, which are now being upgraded to have longer flight times as they stream video of neighborhoods below. We also reject the donation of video production equipment to create copaganda for release after you assault and kill community members. Fund communities; defund the police!

LAPD officers continue to not wear masks and refuse to get vaccinated in addition to the many other ways in which they terrorize and endanger Angelenos on a daily basis. Why doesn’t the “oversight” board of the LAPD talk about this all the time? You all should be ashamed of yourselves for this reason and many others besides it, including your continued practice of limiting all public comment to forty-five minutes and forcing speakers to offer comment on agenda items BEFORE the Board takes
them up. This used to not be how it worked; now, it is. A reasonable person might expect a Board whose website declares it to be the “citizen’s voice in police affairs” to take every possible action to maximize citizens’ opportunity to use their voice (or, “speak”). You’ve dug in your heels to limit such opportunities, and it’s clear that your loyalties lie with LAPD, and letting them do whatever they want. What a shameful failure on the part of people whose oversight (or lack thereof) has life-and-death consequences. How do you sleep at night?

Resume in-person meetings immediately, but keep a virtual public-comment option for people who can’t or don’t want to show up in person.

Signed,

Bethany

From: Emma Olson
Sent: Monday, August 23, 2021 2:24 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; councilmember.ridley-thomas@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/24/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

This week’s "consent" agenda items, which, according to this week's meeting agenda, the Commission considers "routine and non-controversial", include $39K for even more weapons of war for LAPD. That figure includes $29K for a ballistic shield for SWAY, as well as $8.5K for upgraded drones for SWAT and a new drove for Traffic Groups.

This cannot be deemed "routine" for the community, who are already attacked by SWAT
while also not having their basic needs met and not receiving support from the city. It is, in fact, extremely controversial that the Police Commission continues to allow this weaponry to be donated to police quickly and anonymously under the shroud of the Police Foundation. How much longer will this system - in which the Police "Chief" can request whatever LAPD wants, and the LAFP will find funders for that violence - go unchallenged?

With the recent passing of 41.18d and the continued implementation of “Data-Informed, Community Focused Policing” (which takes components of Operation LASER and its failed “SARA” process and rebundles them as “new”), the city continues its intentional neglect and assault of Black, Brown, and poor neighborhoods and encampments. We reject all donations to the LAPD in addition to its use of drones, which are now being upgraded to have longer flight times as they stream video of neighborhoods below. We also reject the donation of video production equipment to create copaganda for release after you assault and kill community members. Fund communities; defund the police!

LAPD officers continue to not wear masks and refuse to get vaccinated in addition to the many other ways in which they terrorize and endanger Angelenos on a daily basis. Why doesn’t the “oversight” board of the LAPD talk about this all the time? You all should be ashamed of yourselves for this reason and many others besides it, including your continued practice of limiting all public comment to forty-five minutes and forcing speakers to offer comment on agenda items BEFORE the Board takes them up. This used to not be how it worked; now, it is. A reasonable person might expect a Board whose website declares it to be the "citizen's voice in police affairs" to take every possible action to maximize citizens' opportunity to use their voice (or, “speak”). You’ve dug in your heels to limit such opportunities, and it’s clear that your loyalties lie with LAPD, and letting them do whatever they want. What a shameful failure on the part of people whose oversight (or lack thereof) has life-and-death consequences. How do you sleep at night?

Resume in-person meetings immediately, but keep a virtual public-comment option for people who can’t or don’t want to show up in person.

Signed,

Emma Olson
ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

This week’s “consent” agenda items, which according to this week’s meeting agenda the Commission considers “routine and non-controversial,” include $39K for yet more weapons of war for LAPD. Included in that figure is $29K for a ballistic shield for SWAT, as well as $8.5K for upgraded drones for SWAT and a new drone for Traffic Group.

For the community, the expansion of LAPD drone use and the continued outfitting of SWAT with yet more shields and technology while people in the neighborhoods most frequently attacked by SWAT are not supported by the city in having their basic needs met, cannot be deemed “routine.” It is in fact extremely controversial that the Police Commission continues to allow this weaponry to be donated to police quickly and anonymously, under the shroud of the Police Foundation. How much longer will this system - in which the Police “Chief” can request whatever LAPD wants, and the LAPF will find funders for that violence - go unchallenged?

With the recent passing of 41.18d and the continued implementation of “Data-Informed, Community Focused Policing” (which takes components of Operation LASER and its failed “SARA” process and rebundles them as “new”), the city continues its intentional neglect and assault of Black, Brown, and poor neighborhoods and encampments. We reject all donations to the LAPD in addition to its use of drones, which are now being upgraded to have longer flight times as they stream video of neighborhoods below. We also reject the donation of video production equipment to create copaganda for release after you assault and kill community members. Fund communities; defund the police!
LAPD officers continue to not wear masks and refuse to get vaccinated in addition to the many other ways in which they terrorize and endanger Angelenos on a daily basis. Why doesn’t the “oversight” board of the LAPD talk about this all the time? You all should be ashamed of yourselves for this reason and many others besides it, including your continued practice of limiting all public comment to forty-five minutes and forcing speakers to offer comment on agenda items BEFORE the Board takes them up. This used to not be how it worked; now, it is. A reasonable person might expect a Board whose website declares it to be the “citizen’s voice in police affairs” to take every possible action to maximize citizens’ opportunity to use their voice (or, “speak”). You’ve dug in your heels to limit such opportunities, and it’s clear that your loyalties lie with LAPD, and letting them do whatever they want. What a shameful failure on the part of people whose oversight (or lack thereof) has life-and-death consequences. How do you sleep at night?

Resume in-person meetings immediately, but keep a virtual public-comment option for people who can’t or don’t want to show up in person.

Signed,

Maraky

---

From: Lizabeth Belli [damaged]
Sent: Monday, August 23, 2021 2:45 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; LAPC Fails; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; councilmember.ridley-thomas@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactccd@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/24/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and KNOW the content is safe.

Commissioners:

This week’s “consent” agenda items, which according to this week’s meeting agenda the Commission considers “routine and non-controversial,” include $39K for yet more weapons of war for LAPD. Included in that figure is $29K for a ballistic shield for
SWAT, as well as $8.5K for upgraded drones for SWAT and a new drone for Traffic Group.

For the community, the expansion of LAPD drone use and the continued outfitting of SWAT with yet more shields and technology while people in the neighborhoods most frequently attacked by SWAT are not supported by the city in having their basic needs met, cannot be deemed “routine.” It is in fact extremely controversial that the Police Commission continues to allow this weaponry to be donated to police quickly and anonymously, under the shroud of the Police Foundation. How much longer will this system - in which the Police “Chief” can request whatever LAPD wants, and the LAPF will find funders for that violence - go unchallenged?

With the recent passing of 41.18d and the continued implementation of “Data-Informed, Community Focused Policing” (which takes components of Operation LASER and its failed “SARA” process and rebundles them as “new”), the city continues its intentional neglect and assault of Black, Brown, and poor neighborhoods and encampments. We reject all donations to the LAPD in addition to its use of drones, which are now being upgraded to have longer flight times as they stream video of neighborhoods below. We also reject the donation of video production equipment to create copaganda for release after you assault and kill community members. Fund communities; defund the police!

LAPD officers continue to not wear masks and refuse to get vaccinated in addition to the many other ways in which they terrorize and endanger Angelenos on a daily basis. Why doesn’t the “oversight” board of the LAPD talk about this all the time? You all should be ashamed of yourselves for this reason and many others besides it, including your continued practice of limiting all public comment to forty-five minutes and forcing speakers to offer comment on agenda items BEFORE the Board takes them up. This used to not be how it worked; now, it is. A reasonable person might expect a Board whose website declares it to be the “citizen’s voice in police affairs” to take every possible action to maximize citizens’ opportunity to use their voice (or, “speak”). You’ve dug in your heels to limit such opportunities, and it’s clear that your loyalties lie with LAPD, and letting them do whatever they want. What a shameful failure on the part of people whose oversight (or lack thereof) has life-and-death consequences. How do you sleep at night?

Resume in-person meetings immediately, but keep a virtual public-comment option for people who can’t or don’t want to show up in person.

Signed,
Lizabeth Belli

Lizabeth Belli (she/her)
#CareFirst
#CareNotCops
From: M W [Redacted]
Sent: Monday, August 23, 2021 2:51 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Mayor Helpdesk; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; LAPC Fails; lou@LegacyLA.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; councilmember.ridley-thomas@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember Blumenfield; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; Councilmember Rodriguez; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; Councilmember.lee@lacity.org; Mitch O'Farrell; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/24/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

This week’s “consent” agenda items, which according to this week’s meeting agenda the Commission considers “routine and non-controversial,” include $39K for yet more violence worker weapons for LAPD. That figure includes $29K for a ballistic shield for SWAT and $8.5K for upgraded drones for SWAT and a new drone for Traffic Group.

For the community, the expansion of LAPD drone use and the continued outfitting of SWAT with yet more shields and technology while people in the neighborhoods most frequently attacked by SWAT are not supported by the city in having their basic needs met, cannot be deemed “routine.” It is, in fact, extremely controversial that the Police Commission continues to allow this weaponry to be donated to police quickly and anonymously under the shroud of the Police Foundation. How much longer will this system - in which the Police “Chief” can request whatever LAPD wants, and the LAPF will find funders for that violence - go unchallenged?

With the recent passing of 41.18d and the continued implementation of “Data-Informed, Community Focused Policing” (which takes components of Operation LASER and its failed “SARA” process and brands them as “new”), the city continues its intentional neglect and assault of Black, Brown, and poor neighborhoods and encampments. We reject all donations to the LAPD in addition to its use of drones, which are now being upgraded to have longer flight times as they stream video of neighborhoods below. We also reject the donation of video production equipment to create "copaganda" for release after you assault and kill community members. Fund effective safety programs by funding communities; defund the wasteful ineffective programs of the police!
LAPD officers continue not to wear masks and refuse to get vaccinated, in addition to the many other ways in which they terrorize and endanger Angelenos daily. Why doesn’t the “oversight” board of the LAPD talk about this all the time? You all should be ashamed of yourselves for this reason and many others besides it, including your continued practice of limiting all public comment to forty-five minutes and forcing speakers to offer comments on agenda items BEFORE the Board takes them up. A reasonable person might expect a Board, whose website declares it to be the “citizen’s voice in police affairs,” to take every possible action to maximize citizens’ opportunity to use their voice (or “speak”). You’ve dug in your heels to limit such opportunities, and it’s clear that your loyalties lie with LAPD by allowing them to do whatever they want. What a shameful failure on the part of people whose oversight (or lack thereof) has life-and-death consequences. How do you sleep at night?

Resume in-person meetings immediately, but keep a virtual public-comment option for people who can’t or don’t want to show up in person.

Signed,

Michele Wetteland
pronouns: she/her

From: Mads Gauger
Sent: Monday, August 23, 2021 2:51 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfaills@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; councilmember.ridley-thomas@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/24/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:
This week’s “consent” agenda items, which according to this week’s meeting agenda the Commission considers “routine and non-controversial,” include $39K for yet more weapons of war for LAPD. Included in that figure is $29K for a ballistic shield for SWAT, as well as $8.5K for upgraded drones for SWAT and a new drone for Traffic Group.

For the community, the expansion of LAPD drone use and the continued outfitting of SWAT with yet more shields and technology while people in the neighborhoods most frequently attacked by SWAT are not supported by the city in having their basic needs met, cannot be deemed “routine.” It is in fact extremely controversial that the Police Commission continues to allow this weaponry to be donated to police quickly and anonymously, under the shroud of the Police Foundation. How much longer will this system - in which the Police “Chief” can request whatever LAPD wants, and the LAPF will find funders for that violence - go unchallenged?

With the recent passing of 41.18d and the continued implementation of “Data-Informed, Community Focused Policing” (which takes components of Operation LASER and its failed “SARA” process and rebundles them as “new”), the city continues its intentional neglect and assault of Black, Brown, and poor neighborhoods and encampments. We reject all donations to the LAPD in addition to its use of drones, which are now being upgraded to have longer flight times as they stream video of neighborhoods below. We also reject the donation of video production equipment to create copaganda for release after you assault and kill community members. Fund communities; defund the police!

LAPD officers continue to not wear masks and refuse to get vaccinated in addition to the many other ways in which they terrorize and endanger Angelenos on a daily basis. Why doesn’t the “oversight” board of the LAPD talk about this all the time? You all should be ashamed of yourselves for this reason and many others besides it, including your continued practice of limiting all public comment to forty-five minutes and forcing speakers to offer comment on agenda items BEFORE the Board takes them up. This used to not be how it worked; now, it is. A reasonable person might expect a Board whose website declares it to be the “citizen’s voice in police affairs” to take every possible action to maximize citizens’ opportunity to use their voice (or, “speak”). You’ve dug in your heels to limit such opportunities, and it’s clear that your loyalties lie with LAPD, and letting them do whatever they want. What a shameful failure on the part of people whose oversight (or lack thereof) has life-and-death consequences. How do you sleep at night?

Resume in-person meetings immediately, but keep a virtual public-comment option for people who can’t or don’t want to show up in person.

Signed,

Mads Gauger
From: Joelle Nacorda
Sent: Monday, August 23, 2021 3:01 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Sboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; councilmember.ridley-thomas@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/24/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners,

I have heard that this week’s meeting agenda includes approving $39,000 for more weapons for LAPD, such as a ballistic shield for SWAT, upgraded drones for SWAT and a new drone for Traffic Group.

For the community, I request that the funds be redirected to meet people's basic needs, such as in housing, healthcare, food, education and public transportation. I am also concerned about the safety of the LA community being worse if there are more resources given to SWAT and LAPD when the SWAT and LAPD often bully and harm the LA community. Why does the Police Commission allow these weapons to be donated to police quickly and anonymously, under the Police Foundation? I request that there is a change in the way these transactions are handled so there is more accountability and the Police "Chief" is not permitted to request more weapons.

With the recent passing of 41.18d and the continued implementation of "Data-Informed, Community Focused Policing" continues its intentional neglect and assault of Black, Brown, and poor neighborhoods and encampments. I believe all donations to the LAPD in addition to its use of drones should be rejected. I do not want drones flying around our neighborhoods, bullying our community members. Please place funding in the LA community, not in the LAPD.

LAPD officers continue to not wear masks in addition to the many other ways in which they terrorize and endanger Angelenos on a daily basis. As a healthcare worker, I am faced with the responsibility to wear PPE, including a mask, at all times and know
this is a very possible task the LAPD could do. Please enforce this in order to keep community members safe from the spread of COVID19. Please extend the time permitted for public comment. Please keep a virtual public-comment option for people who can’t or don’t want to show up in person to the meetings.

Thank you,

Joelle Nacorda

From: carrie tokunaga
Sent: Monday, August 23, 2021 3:02 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; councilmember.ridley-thomas@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/24/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

This week’s “consent” agenda items, includes $39K for more weapons of war for LAPD. This item is mentioned as "routine and non-controversial".

The expansion of LAPD drone use and the continued outfitting of SWAT with yet more shields and technology while people in the neighborhoods most frequently attacked by SWAT are not supported by the city in having their basic needs met, cannot be deemed “routine.” It is in fact controversial that the Police Commission continues to allow this weaponry to be donated to police quickly and anonymously, under the shroud of the Police Foundation. How much longer will this system - in which the Police Chief can request whatever LAPD wants, and the LAPF will funders for that violence - go unchallenged?
With the recent passing of 41.18d and the continued implementation of "Data-Informed, Community Focused Policing" (which takes components of Operation LASER and its failed "SARA" process and rebundles them as "new"), the city continues its intentional neglect and assault of Black, Brown, and poor neighborhoods and encampments. We reject all donations to the LAPD in addition to its use of drones, which are now being upgraded to have longer flight times as they stream video of neighborhoods below. We also reject the donation of video production equipment to create videos for release after you assault and kill community members. I urge you to reconsider funding this item towards police arsenal and redirect it to community services.

The Los Angeles Times has recently reported that police have killed 935 people in LA county since 2000. "Almost all of the dead were men, nearly 80% were Black or Latino. More than 97% were shot to death.

Criminal charges are rare. In nearly all cases, the use of force was deemed legally justified by the Los Angeles County district attorney's office, which conducts an investigation into each incident. Since 2000, only two officers have been charged as a result of shooting a civilian while on duty." (By Los Angeles Times Staff 

Do we really need to continue funding LAPD with weaponry used against citizens? Furthermore the lack of accountability/transparency within LAPD should change. Doctors and teachers are all held accountable and require constant on the job education to keep their credentials current for re-certification. Perhaps this money could go for on the job police training on how to de-escalate volatile situations without killing citizens. The documentary: "Ernie and Joe: Crisis Cops" comes to mind as an alternative to stockpiling more military style weaponry.

Thank you for your consideration.

Concerned citizen,
From: Laura Adery
Sent: Monday, August 23, 2021 4:07 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Mayor Helpdesk; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcFAILS@gmail.com; lou@LegacyLA.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; Mayor Garcetti; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; councilmember.ridley-thomas@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/24/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

For the community, the expansion of LAPD drone use and the continued outfitting of SWAT with yet more shields and technology while people in the neighborhoods most frequently attacked by SWAT are not supported by the city in having their basic needs met, cannot be deemed "routine." It is in fact extremely controversial that the Police Commission continues to allow this weaponry to be donated to police quickly and anonymously, under the shroud of the Police Foundation. We must begin to challenge the Police Chief's unchecked power to request and be funded for this violence!
With the recent passing of 41.18d and the continued implementation of "Data-Informed, Community Focused Policing" (which takes components of Operation LASER and its failed "SARA" process and rebundles them as "new"), the city continues its neglect and assault of Black, Brown, and poor neighborhoods and encampments. We reject all donations to the LAPD in addition to its use and upgrading of drones. We also reject the donation of video production equipment to this end. Once again, we are asking for a commitment to fund communities and reallocate resources away from police.

I am very concerned by the way that LAPD officers continue to not wear masks and refuse to get vaccinated in addition to the many other ways in which they terrorize and endanger Angelenos on a daily basis. The oversight board of the LAPD must discuss and find a way to challenge this endangerment and visual representation that they believe themselves dangerously above the law.

Lastly it is concerning that the council continues to limit public comment and force speakers to speak on items before they are discussed and update are provided by the Board. Please reverse this so that community members are afforded the appropriate information to make informed comment.

Your lack of oversight has life-and-death consequences. Please heed our call and take action to hold law enforcement to greater accountability.

Sincerely,

Laura Adery, Ph.D.

From: Jamie Rae
Sent: Monday, August 23, 2021 4:08 PM
To: Police Commission
Subject: No on Drone Expansion

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello,

My name is Jamie Garcia and I am against all expansion of drone program of the LAPD.
This just further militarizes our communities. We do not need private wealthy elites further equipping LAPD w deadly weapons. I vote a complete NO on all drone programing.

Jamie Garcia, RN
From: phillip kim
Sent: Monday, August 23, 2021 4:15 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Sboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; councilmember.ridley-thomas@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/24/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

This week’s “consent” agenda items, which according to this week’s meeting agenda the Commission considers “routine and non-controversial,” include $39K for yet more weapons of war for LAPD. Included in that figure is $29K for a ballistic shield for SWAT, as well as $8.5K for upgraded drones for SWAT and a new drone for Traffic Group.

For the community, the expansion of LAPD drone use and the continued outfitting of SWAT with yet more shields and technology while people in the neighborhoods most frequently attacked by SWAT are not supported by the city in having their basic needs met, cannot be deemed “routine.” It is in fact extremely controversial that the Police Commission continues to allow this weaponry to be donated to police quickly and anonymously, under the shroud of the Police Foundation. How much longer will this system - in which the Police “Chief” can request whatever LAPD wants, and the LAPF will find funders for that violence - go unchallenged?

With the recent passing of 41.18d and the continued implementation of “Data-Informed, Community Focused Policing” (which takes components of Operation LASER and its failed “SARA” process and rebundles them as “new”), the city continues its intentional neglect and assault of Black, Brown, and poor neighborhoods and encampments. We reject all donations to the LAPD in addition to its use of drones, which are now being upgraded to have longer flight times as they stream video of neighborhoods below. We also reject the donation of video production equipment to create copaganda for release after you assault and kill community members. Fund
communities; defund the police!

LAPD officers continue to not wear masks and refuse to get vaccinated in addition to the many other ways in which they terrorize and endanger Angelenos on a daily basis. Why doesn’t the “oversight” board of the LAPD talk about this all the time? You all should be ashamed of yourselves for this reason and many others besides it, including your continued practice of limiting all public comment to forty-five minutes and forcing speakers to offer comment on agenda items BEFORE the Board takes them up. This used to not be how it worked; now, it is. A reasonable person might expect a Board whose website declares it to be the “citizen’s voice in police affairs” to take every possible action to maximize citizens’ opportunity to use their voice (or, “speak”). You’ve dug in your heels to limit such opportunities, and it’s clear that your loyalties lie with LAPD, and letting them do whatever they want. What a shameful failure on the part of people whose oversight (or lack thereof) has life-and-death consequences. How do you sleep at night?

Resume in-person meetings immediately, but keep a virtual public-comment option for people who can’t or don’t want to show up in person.

Signed,
Phillip Kim

From: Erin M
Sent: Monday, August 23, 2021 4:21 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs; II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; councilmember.ridley-thomas@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactc4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/24/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

This week’s “consent” agenda items, which according to this week’s meeting agenda the Commission considers “routine and non-controversial,” include $39K for yet more weapons of war for LAPD. Included in that figure is $29K for a ballistic shield for SWAT, as well as $8.5K for upgraded drones for SWAT and a new drone for Traffic Group.
For the community, the expansion of LAPD drone use and the continued outfitting of SWAT with yet more shields and technology while people in the neighborhoods most frequently attacked by SWAT are not supported by the city in having their basic needs met, cannot be deemed “routine.” It is in fact extremely controversial that the Police Commission continues to allow this weaponry to be donated to police quickly and anonymously, under the shroud of the Police Foundation. How much longer will this system - in which the Police “Chief” can request whatever LAPD wants, and the LAPF will find funders for that violence - go unchallenged?

With the recent passing of 41.18d and the continued implementation of “Data-Informed, Community Focused Policing” (which takes components of Operation LASER and its failed “SARA” process and rebundles them as “new”), the city continues its intentional neglect and assault of Black, Brown, and poor neighborhoods and encampments. We reject all donations to the LAPD in addition to its use of drones, which are now being upgraded to have longer flight times as they stream video of neighborhoods below. We also reject the donation of video production equipment to create copaganda for release after you assault and kill community members. Fund communities; defund the police!

LAPD officers continue to not wear masks and refuse to get vaccinated in addition to the many other ways in which they terrorize and endanger Angelenos on a daily basis. Why doesn’t the “oversight” board of the LAPD talk about this all the time? You all should be ashamed of yourselves for this reason and many others besides it, including your continued practice of limiting all public comment to forty-five minutes and forcing speakers to offer comment on agenda items BEFORE the Board takes them up. This used to not be how it worked; now, it is. A reasonable person might expect a Board whose website declares it to be the “citizen’s voice in police affairs” to take every possible action to maximize citizens’ opportunity to use their voice (or, “speak”). You’ve dug in your heels to limit such opportunities, and it’s clear that your loyalties lie with LAPD, and letting them do whatever they want. What a shameful failure on the part of people whose oversight (or lack thereof) has life-and-death consequences. How do you sleep at night?

Resume in-person meetings immediately, but keep a virtual public-comment option for people who can’t or don’t want to show up in person.

Signed,

Erin
-90066

From: Karen Bowdres
Sent: Monday, August 23, 2021 4:38 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff;
ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

This week’s “consent” agenda items, which according to this week’s meeting agenda the Commission considers “routine and non-controversial,” include $39K for yet more weapons of war for LAPD. Included in that figure is $29K for a ballistic shield for SWAT, as well as $8.5K for upgraded drones for SWAT and a new drone for Traffic Group.

For the community, the expansion of LAPD drone use and the continued outfitting of SWAT with yet more shields and technology while people in the neighborhoods most frequently attacked by SWAT are not supported by the city in having their basic needs met, cannot be deemed “routine.” It is in fact extremely controversial that the Police Commission continues to allow this weaponry to be donated to police quickly and anonymously, under the shroud of the Police Foundation. How much longer will this system - in which the Police “Chief” can request whatever LAPD wants, and the LAPF will find funders for that violence - go unchallenged?

With the recent passing of 41.18d and the continued implementation of “Data-Informed, Community Focused Policing” (which takes components of Operation LASER and its failed “SARA” process and rebundles them as “new”), the city continues its intentional neglect and assault of Black, Brown, and poor neighborhoods and encampments. We reject all donations to the LAPD in addition to its use of drones, which are now being upgraded to have longer flight times as they stream video of neighborhoods below. We also reject the donation of video production equipment to create copaganda for release after you assault and kill community members. Fund communities; defund the police!

LAPD officers continue to not wear masks and refuse to get vaccinated in addition to the many other ways in which they terrorize and endanger Angelenos on a daily basis. Why doesn’t the “oversight” board of the LAPD talk about this all the time? You all should be ashamed of yourselves for this reason and many others besides it,
including your continued practice of limiting all public comment to forty-five minutes and forcing speakers to offer comment on agenda items BEFORE the Board takes them up. This used to not be how it worked; now, it is. A reasonable person might expect a Board whose website declares it to be the “citizen’s voice in police affairs” to take every possible action to maximize citizens’ opportunity to use their voice (or, “speak”). You’ve dug in your heels to limit such opportunities, and it’s clear that your loyalties lie with LAPD, and letting them do whatever they want. What a shameful failure on the part of people whose oversight (or lack thereof) has life-and-death consequences. How do you sleep at night?

Resume in-person meetings immediately, but keep a virtual public-comment option for people who can’t or don’t want to show up in person.

Signed,

Karen M. Bowdre, PhD
From: Tiff Guerra
Sent: Monday, August 23, 2021 4:42 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; LAPC Fails; lou@legacyLA.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; Rector, Kevin; james.queally@latimes.com; Mayor Garcetti; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; councilmember.ridley-thomas@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; Mitch O'Farrell; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public comment Aug 24th 2021 police commission meeting

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners,

The community says no to drones! We rejected these in 2013, 2014, and every year since - drones are weapons against community, especially in the hands of police, who view community members as insurgen.ts.

We do not consent to use of drones by LAPD, and you have proven time and time again that "oversight" of any aspect of LAPD is a myth. We reject these donations and demand police are defunded and the drones are dismantled.

T. Guerra

From: Catherine Safley
Sent: Monday, August 23, 2021 4:47 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyLA.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; councilmember.ridley-thomas@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; Mitch O'Farrell; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/24/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.
Commissioners:

This week’s “consent” agenda items, which according to this week’s meeting agenda the Commission considers “routine and non-controversial,” include $39K for yet more weapons of war for LAPD. That figure includes $29K for a ballistic shield for SWAT, as well as $8.5K for upgraded drones for SWAT and a new drone for Traffic Group.

For the community, the expansion of LAPD drone use and the continued outfitting of SWAT with yet more shields and technology while people in the neighborhoods most frequently attacked by SWAT are not supported by the city in having their basic needs met, cannot be deemed “routine.” It is in fact extremely controversial that the Police Commission continues to allow this weaponry to be donated to police quickly and anonymously, under the shroud of the Police Foundation. How much longer will this system— in which the Police “Chief” can request whatever LAPD wants, and the LAPF will find funders for that violence— go unchallenged? When will this commission finally step up and do its purported job of being the voice of the community, and actually act in the interest of the community’s safety, rather than in the interest of LAPD’s violence and lust for power?

With the recent passing of 41.18d and the continued implementation of “Data-Informed, Community Focused Policing” (which takes aspects of Operation LASER and its failed “SARA” process and rebundles them as “new”), the city continues its deliberate neglect and assault of Black, Brown, and poor neighborhoods and encampments. We reject all donations to the LAPD in addition to its use of drones, which are now being upgraded to have longer flight times as they stream video of neighborhoods below. We also reject the donation of video production equipment to create copaganda for release after you assault and kill community members. Fund communities; defund the police!

LAPD officers continue to not wear masks and refuse to get vaccinated in addition to the many other ways in which they terrorize and endanger Angelenos on a daily basis.

Why doesn’t the “oversight” board of the LAPD talk about this all the time? You all should be ashamed of yourselves for this reason and many others besides it, including your continued practice of limiting all public comment to forty-five minutes and forcing speakers to offer comment on agenda items BEFORE the Board takes them up. This isn’t how public comment was conducted before; now, it is. A reasonable person might expect a Board whose website declares it to be the “citizen’s voice in police affairs” to take every possible action to maximize citizens’ opportunity to use their voice (or, “speak”). You’ve dug in your heels to limit such opportunities, and it’s obvious that your loyalties lie with LAPD, and letting them do whatever they want. What a shameful failure on the part of people whose oversight (or lack thereof) has life-and-death consequences. How do you sleep at night?

Resume in-person meetings immediately, but keep a virtual public-comment option for people who can’t or don’t want to show up in person.
Signed,

Catherine Safley

From: Audrey Georg [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, August 23, 2021 4:54 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@LegacyLA.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; councilmember.ridley-thomas@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: My public comment for the PC meeting on 8/24/21

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

This week’s “consent” agenda items, which according to this week’s meeting agenda the Commission considers “routine and non-controversial,” include $39K for yet more weapons of war for LAPD. Included in that figure is $29K for a ballistic shield for SWAT, as well as $8.5K for upgraded drones for SWAT and a new drone for Traffic Group.

For the community, the expansion of LAPD drone use and the continued outfitting of SWAT with yet more shields and technology while people in the neighborhoods most frequently attacked by SWAT are not supported by the city in having their basic needs met, cannot be deemed “routine.” It is in fact extremely controversial that the Police Commission continues to allow this weaponry to be donated to police quickly and anonymously, under the shroud of the Police Foundation. How much longer will this system - in which the Police “Chief” can request whatever LAPD wants, and the LAPF will find funders for that violence - go unchallenged?

With the recent passing of 41.18d and the continued implementation of “Data-Informed, Community Focused Policing” (which takes components of Operation LASER and its failed “SARA” process and rebundles them as “new”), the city continues its intentional neglect and assault of Black, Brown, and poor neighborhoods and
encampments. We reject all donations to the LAPD in addition to its use of drones, which are now being upgraded to have longer flight times as they stream video of neighborhoods below. We also reject the donation of video production equipment to create copaganda for release after you assault and kill community members. Fund communities; defund the police!

LAPD officers continue to not wear masks and refuse to get vaccinated in addition to the many other ways in which they terrorize and endanger Angelenos on a daily basis. Why doesn’t the “oversight” board of the LAPD talk about this all the time? You all should be ashamed of yourselves for this reason and many others besides it, including your continued practice of limiting all public comment to forty-five minutes and forcing speakers to offer comment on agenda items BEFORE the Board takes them up. This used to not be how it worked; now, it is. A reasonable person might expect a Board whose website declares it to be the “citizen’s voice in police affairs” to take every possible action to maximize citizens’ opportunity to use their voice (or, “speak”). You’ve dug in your heels to limit such opportunities, and it’s clear that your loyalties lie with LAPD, and letting them do whatever they want. What a shameful failure on the part of people whose oversight (or lack thereof) has life-and-death consequences. How do you sleep at night?

Resume in-person meetings immediately, but keep a virtual public-comment option for people who can’t or don’t want to show up in person.

Signed,

Audrey Georg

“If you are neutral in situations of injustice, you have chosen the side of the oppressor.” Desmond Tutu