From: Samantha Lappin <[MASKED]>
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 7:33 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: Mike.N.Feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; shanemurphygoldsmith@gmail.com; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaimo@lacity.org; councilmember.wesson@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; lapcfalls@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; tips@laist.com; cindy.chang@latimes.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 12/08/2020

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Regarding item 3B on tomorrow’s agenda: The commission is voting to approve a one-month audit of Body-Worn Video (BWV) and Digital In-Car Video (DICV) that checks officers are activating cameras according to policy. We see this as a purely procedural audit that does not address that these tools are used for prosecution and surveillance of our communities. They have not stopped the violence of law enforcement, nor resulted in officer accountability.

In item 3E on “Photo Comparison Technology,” the commission will review a proposed policy for LAPD use of the LASD Mugshot Database, whose “Photo Comparison Technology” is actually facial recognition technology (FRT). This is unacceptable and cannot happen! LAPD has secretly been using this type of technology since 2004. Reject LAPD’s proposed “Use of Photo Comparison Technology” policy. Implement an outright ban on LAPD use of face recognition surveillance. Publicize and investigate LAPD’s past use of face recognition surveillance in order to understand how the community has been impacted.

For item 3F, Automatic Licence Plate Readers (ALPRs) - LAPD has been using ALPR since 2004, and now wants to cover its tracks with a policy. We reject the use of ALPRs because it is data mining and tracking of our communities. It was exposed through the CA state audit that LAPD is correlating names, address, birthdate and criminal records to license plates. This ultimately tracks our every movement and must stop!

Items 3H, I, and J are regarding Small Unmanned Aerial Systems (sUAS) aka Drones - Angelenos have spoken out against the use of drones and every policy that attempts to legitimize their use since 2013. We reject the acquisition of another drone that only expands the LAPD aerial fleet. We reject the expansion of the drones’ capabilities to break windows, and we also reject use of drones in the
Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT). This is the mission creep Angelenos have been warning about. I reject drones!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,
Samantha

Sammi Lappin
Communicator & Educator
she/her

From: Morgan Gi <redacted>
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 7:42 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: Michel Moore; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; cindy.chang@latimes.com; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.wesson@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; ethics.commission@lacity.org; james.queally@latimes.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@LegacyLA.org; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Richard Tefank; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; Eileen Decker; paul.koretz@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; richard.winton@latimes.com; shanemurphygoldsmith@gmail.com; tips@laist.com

Subject: Public Comment 12/08/2020

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Good morning,

First and foremost, I’d like to request you not limit public comment. It is important you listen to ALL constituents who’d like to be heard. So many of us take time out of our own lives to speak, and often don’t get to. We feel silenced by the Commission, and if the Commission truly wants to show that they are listening and working alongside the community, public comment should not be limited, or at the very least extended.
I'd also like to highlight the brutal LAPD response to peaceful protestors in front of the Getty House on 12/6. The police rushed a peaceful crowd full of children, elderly, at risk individuals, and even pets. They attacked legal observers who had their backs turned to them. They arrested a woman who was a medic and only trying to ensure an elderly man would not be trampled. This kind of response cannot go unpunished.

Regarding item 3B on tomorrow’s agenda: The commission is voting to approve a one-month audit of Body-Worn Video (BWV) and Digital In-Car Video (DICV) that checks officers are activating cameras according to policy. We see this as a purely procedural audit that does not address that these tools are used for prosecution and surveillance of our communities. They have not stopped the violence of law enforcement, nor resulted in officer accountability.

In item 3E on “Photo Comparison Technology,” the commission will review a proposed policy for LAPD use of the LASD Mugshot Database, whose “Photo Comparison Technology” is actually facial recognition technology (FRT). This is unacceptable and cannot happen! LAPD has secretly been using this type of technology since 2004. Reject LAPD’s proposed “Use of Photo Comparison Technology” policy. Implement an outright ban on LAPD use of face recognition surveillance. Publicize and investigate LAPD’s past use of face recognition surveillance in order to understand how the community has been impacted.

For item 3F, Automatic Licence Plate Readers (ALPRs) - LAPD has been using ALPR since 2004, and now wants to cover its tracks with a policy. We reject the use of ALPRs because it is data mining and tracking of our communities. It was exposed through the CA state audit that LAPD is correlating names, address, birthdate and criminal records to license plates. This ultimately tracks our every movement and must stop!

Items 3H, I, and J are regarding Small Unmanned Aerial Systems (sUAS) aka Drones - Angelenos have spoken out against the use of drones and every policy that attempts to legitimize their use since 2013. We reject the acquisition of another drone that only expands the LAPD aerial fleet. We reject the expansion of the drones’ capabilities to break windows, and we also reject use of drones in the Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT). This is the mission creep Angelenos have been warning about. I reject drones!
I also reiterate my demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Morgan G.

From: Danielle Carne <danielle.carne@lacity.org>
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 8:21 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; shanemurphygoldsmith@gmail.com; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.wessen@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; tips@laist.com; cindy.chang@latimes.com; kevin.lector@latimes.com; richard.winton@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 12/08/2020

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing today to uplift the demands of Black Lives Matter

Regarding item 3B on tomorrow’s agenda: The commission is voting to approve a one-month audit of Body-Worn Video (BWV) and Digital In-Car Video (DICV) that checks officers are activating cameras according to policy. We see this as a purely procedural audit that does not address that these tools are used for prosecution and surveillance of our communities. They have not stopped the violence of law enforcement, nor resulted in officer accountability.

In item 3E on “Photo Comparison Technology,” the commission will review a proposed policy for LAPD use of the LASD Mugshot Database, whose “Photo Comparison Technology” is actually facial recognition technology (FRT). This is unacceptable and cannot happen! LAPD has secretly been using this type of technology since 2004.
Reject LAPD’s proposed “Use of Photo Comparison Technology” policy. Implement an outright ban on LAPD use of face recognition surveillance. Publicize and investigate LAPD’s past use of face recognition surveillance in order to understand how the community has been impacted.

For item 3F, Automatic Licence Plate Readers (ALPRs) - LAPD has been using ALPR since 2004, and now wants to cover its tracks with a policy. We reject the use of ALPRs because it is data mining and tracking of our communities. It was exposed through the CA state audit that LAPD is correlating names, address, birthdate and criminal records to license plates. This ultimately tracks our every movement and must stop!

Items 3H, I, and J are regarding Small Unmanned Aerial Systems (sUAS) aka Drones - Angelenos have spoken out against the use of drones and every policy that attempts to legitimize their use since 2013. We reject the acquisition of another drone that only expands the LAPD aerial fleet. We reject the expansion of the drones’ capabilities to break windows, and we also reject use of drones in the Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT). This is the mission creep Angelenos have been warning about. I reject drones!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Danielle Carne

From: Brittany D'Amico <bridget@dallamico.com>
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 8:37 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; shanemurphygoldsmith@gmail.com; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Sobotoff; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.wesson@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.lee@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; lapcfails@gmail.com; mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; tips@laist.com; cindy.chang@latimes.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; richard.winton@latimes.com;
ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Regarding item 3B on tomorrow’s agenda: The commission is voting to approve a one-month audit of Body-Worn Video (BWV) and Digital In-Car Video (DICV) that checks officers are activating cameras according to policy. We see this as a purely procedural audit that does not address that these tools are used for prosecution and surveillance of our communities. They have not stopped the violence of law enforcement, nor resulted in officer accountability.

In item 3E on “Photo Comparison Technology,” the commission will review a proposed policy for LAPD use of the LASD Mugshot Database, whose "Photo Comparison Technology" is actually facial recognition technology (FRT). This is unacceptable and cannot happen! LAPD has secretly been using this type of technology since 2004. Reject LAPD’s proposed “Use of Photo Comparison Technology” policy. Implement an outright ban on LAPD use of face recognition surveillance. Publicize and investigate LAPD’s past use of face recognition surveillance in order to understand how the community has been impacted.

For item 3F, Automatic Licence Plate Readers (ALPRs) - LAPD has been using ALPR since 2004, and now wants to cover its tracks with a policy. We reject the use of ALPRs because it is data mining and tracking of our communities. It was exposed through the CA state audit that LAPD is correlating names, address, birthdate and criminal records to license plates. This ultimately tracks our every movement and must stop!

Items 3H, I, and J are regarding Small Unmanned Aerial Systems (sUAS) aka Drones - Angelenos have spoken out against the use of drones and every policy that attempts to legitimize their use since 2013. We reject the acquisition of another drone that only expands the LAPD aerial fleet. We reject the expansion of the drones’ capabilities to break windows, and we also reject use of drones in the Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT). This is the mission creep Angelenos have been warning about. I reject drones!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.
Thank you,

Brittany D'Amico

From: Michele Wetteland <michele.wetteland@lacity.org>
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 8:53 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Mayor Helpdesk; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; shanemurphygoldsmith@gmail.com; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.wesson@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; Councilmember Rodriguez; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; Fails Lapc; Lou@LegacyLA.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; tips@laist.com; cindy.chang@latimes.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; richard.winton@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 12/08/2020

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Regarding item 3B on tomorrow's agenda: The commission is voting to approve a one-month audit of Body-Worn Video (BWV) and Digital In-Car Video (DICV) that checks officers are activating cameras according to policy. We see this as a purely procedural audit that does not address that these tools are used for prosecution and surveillance of Los Angeles communities. BWV and DICV have not stopped the violence of law enforcement, nor resulted in officer accountability.

In item 3E on "Photo Comparison Technology," the commission will review a proposed policy for LAPD use of the LASD Mugshot Database, whose "Photo Comparison Technology" is actually facial recognition technology (FRT). This is unacceptable and cannot happen! LAPD has secretly been using this type of technology since 2004. Please acknowledge this fact accurately and publicly in your commission meeting and reject LAPD's proposed "Use of Photo Comparison Technology" policy. Implement an outright ban on LAPD use of face recognition surveillance. Please publicize and investigate LAPD's past use of face recognition surveillance in order to understand how Angelenos have been impacted and traumatized by its use.
For item 3F, Automatic License Plate Readers (ALPRs) - LAPD has been using ALPR since 2004, and now wants to cover its tracks with a policy. We reject the use of ALPRs because it is data mining and tracking of individuals. It was exposed through the CA state audit that LAPD is correlating names, address, birthdate and criminal records to license plates. This ultimately tracks a person's every movement and must stop!

Items 3H, I, and J are regarding Small Unmanned Aerial Systems (sUAS) aka Drones - Angelenos have spoken out against the use of drones and every policy that attempts to legitimize their use since 2013. We reject the acquisition of another drone that only expands the LAPD aerial fleet. We reject the expansion of the drones' capabilities to break windows, and we also reject use of drones in the Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT). This is the mission creep Angelenos have been warning about. I reject our tax dollars being used for drones, further stripping constitutional rights and freedoms of those in Los Angeles.

I also request that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes and begin a transparency of who is in que. You are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long. Transparency and accountability are needed for the LAPC processes for virtual public comment. Your failure in this transparency is unacceptable and easily rectified.

Signed,

Michele Wetteland
pronouns: she/her

From: Mariana Da Silva <Email Address>
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 8:56 AM
To: Police Commission
Subject: Chief Moore must be fired NOW

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello,

I am a business owner and resident of LA County. Your commission should be ashamed of themselves. Chief Moore has failed to serve anyone but police. Your job as the commission is to administer that he protects the
citizens of our community. Blood is in your hands of many covered up deaths at the
hands of Chief Moore.

How many years will we continue to have a police chief that does not know how to
lead without aggression?

By now, I am certain you have seen the videos of your cops hitting protestors with
batons at Mayor Garcetti's home. Children among them.

Your commision is flawed if it cannot do its job and protect those in our community.

Change is coming and it must start with you, by firing Chief Moore, we will continue
to replace officials who fail our community, hopefully your police department can
straighten up.

FIRE CHIEF MOORE

--

MARIANA
Founder

From: Zach Sherwin <[redacted]>
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 9:02 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org;
Eileen Decker; shanemurphygoldsmit@gmail.com;.ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale
Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org;
councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org;
councilmember.wesson@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org;
councilmember.price@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org;
councilmember.lee@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org;
councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org;
paul.kreekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org;
Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; LAPC Fails; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel
Moore; tips@laist.com; cindy.chang@latimes.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com;
richard.winton@latimes.com; Queally, James; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 12/08/2020

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open
attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Regarding item 3B on tomorrow's agenda: The commission is voting to approve a
one-month audit of Body-Worn Video (BWV) and Digital In-Car Video (DICV) that checks
officers are activating cameras according to policy. We see this as a purely
procedural audit that does not address that these tools are used for prosecution and surveillance of our communities. They have not stopped the violence of law enforcement, nor resulted in officer accountability.

In item 3E on "Photo Comparison Technology," the commission will review a proposed policy for LAPD use of the LASD Mugshot Database, whose "Photo Comparison Technology" is actually facial recognition technology (FRT). This is unacceptable and cannot happen! LAPD has secretly been using this type of technology since 2004. Reject LAPD’s proposed “Use of Photo Comparison Technology” policy. Implement an outright ban on LAPD use of face recognition surveillance. Publicize and investigate LAPD’s past use of face recognition surveillance in order to understand how the community has been impacted.

For item 3F, Automatic Licence Plate Readers (ALPRs) - LAPD has been using ALPR since 2004, and now wants to cover its tracks with a policy. We reject the use of ALPRs because it is data mining and tracking of our communities. It was exposed through the CA state audit that LAPD is correlating names, address, birthdate and criminal records to license plates. This ultimately tracks our every movement and must stop!

Items 3H, I, and J are regarding Small Unmanned Aerial Systems (sUAS) aka Drones - Angelenos have spoken out against the use of drones and every policy that attempts to legitimize their use since 2013. We reject the acquisition of another drone that only expands the LAPD aerial fleet. We reject the expansion of the drones’ capabilities to break windows, and we also reject use of drones in the Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT). This is the mission creep Angelenos have been warning about. I reject drones!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Disgustedly,
Zach Sherwin

From: Sophie Neff <[email protected]>
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 9:05 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; shanemurphygoldsmith@gmail.com; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Fugueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.wesson@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Bluemenfield@lacity.org; Gilbert Cedillo; lapcfaill@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; tips@laist.com; cindy.chang@latimes.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; richard.winton@latimes.com;
james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: That Juicy Juicy Public Comment 12/08/2020

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello hello,

Tell LAPD to stop surveilling, tracking, and harassing our community members!

Item 3E: REJECT the LAPD's request to use facial recognition surveillance.

3H, I, and J: no more drones!! And certainly not drones capable of breaking windows aka traumatizing citizens and destroying property.

Please do not allow the LAPD to expand their surveillance capabilities (reject 3B and 3F as well!) As us Angelenos have stated time and time again, we must redistribute wealth and resources away from police and towards community care. That all starts here. Please do your jobs and listen to the people (which includes allowing us more time for public comment!!)

Sophie

--

Sophie Neff
she/her/hers

From: Thea Rodgers <thea.rogers@lacity.org>
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 9:10 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; shanemurphygoldsmith@gmail.com; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.wesson@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; tips@laist.com; cindy.chang@latimes.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; richard.winton@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 12/08/2020
ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners,

My name is Thea Rodgers and I'm writing from Los Angeles CD13.

Regarding item 3B on tomorrow's agenda: The commission is voting to approve a one-month audit of Body-Worn Video (BWV) and Digital In-Car Video (DICV) that checks officers are activating cameras according to policy. We see this as a purely procedural audit that does not address that these tools are used for prosecution and surveillance of our communities. They have not stopped the violence of law enforcement, nor resulted in officer accountability, as proven by cell phone videos of LAPD beating peaceful BLM protesters with their batons on Sunday in front of Mayor Garcetti's house.

In item 3E on "Photo Comparison Technology," the commission will review a proposed policy for LAPD use of the LASD Mugshot Database, whose "Photo Comparison Technology" is actually facial recognition technology (FRT). This is unacceptable and cannot happen! Reject LAPD's proposed "Use of Photo Comparison Technology" policy. Implement an outright ban on LAPD use of face recognition surveillance. Publicize and investigate LAPD's past use of face recognition surveillance in order to understand how the community has been impacted.

For item 3F, Automatic Licence Plate Readers (ALPRs) - LAPD has been using ALPR since 2004, and now wants to cover its tracks with a policy. We reject the use of ALPRs because it is data mining and tracking of our communities. It was exposed through the CA state audit that LAPD is correlating names, address, birthdate and criminal records to license plates. This ultimately tracks our every movement and must stop.

Items 3H, I, and J are regarding Small Unmanned Aerial Systems (sUAS) aka Drones - Angelenos have spoken out against the use of drones and every policy that attempts to legitimize their use since 2013. We reject the acquisition of another drone that only expands the LAPD aerial fleet. We reject the expansion of the drones' capabilities to break windows, and we also reject use of drones in the Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT). This is the mission creep Angelenos have been warning about. I reject drones!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Finally, my social media feeds have been flooded with images and videos of the LAPD brutalizing peaceful protesters on Sunday 6 December. It is appalling that this behavior has continued not only through the summer but through the entire history of the LAPD's reign and more importantly, through your terms on this commission. What
are you doing to stop this horrific pattern of police brutality in Los Angeles? If oversight is within your job description, your inaction condones this violence.

Signed,

--

Thea Rodgers

From: Margaret Starbuck <m.starbuck@lacity.org>
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 9:17 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; shanemurphygoldsmith@gmail.com; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.wesson@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; lpcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; tips@laist.com; cindy.chang@latimes.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; richard.winton@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 12/08/2020

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless YOU recognize the sender and KNOW the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Regarding item 3B on tomorrow’s agenda: The commission is voting to approve a one-month audit of Body-Worn Video (BWV) and Digital In-Car Video (DICV) that checks officers are activating cameras according to policy. We see this as a purely procedural audit that does not address that these tools are used for prosecution and surveillance of our communities. They have not stopped the violence of law enforcement, nor resulted in officer accountability.

In item 3E on “Photo Comparison Technology,” the commission will review a proposed policy for LAPD use of the LASD Mugshot Database, whose “Photo Comparison Technology” is actually facial recognition technology (FRT). Countless studies have documented that FRT exacerbates racial bias, it is also a massive invasion of privacy and a terrifying state surveillance tool used by fascist governments. This is unacceptable and cannot happen! LAPD has secretly been using this type of
technology since 2004. Reject LAPD’s proposed “Use of Photo Comparison Technology” policy. Implement an outright ban on LAPD use of face recognition surveillance. Publicize and investigate LAPD’s past use of face recognition surveillance in order to understand how the community has been impacted.

For item 3F, Automatic Licence Plate Readers (ALPRs) - LAPD has been using ALPR since 2004, and now wants to cover its tracks with a policy. We reject the use of ALPRs because it is data mining and tracking of our communities. It was exposed through the CA state audit that LAPD is correlating names, address, birthdate and criminal records to license plates. This ultimately tracks our every movement and must stop!

Items 3H, I, and J are regarding Small Unmanned Aerial Systems (sUAS) aka Drones - Angelenos have spoken out against the use of drones and every policy that attempts to legitimize their use since 2013. We reject the acquisition of another drone that only expands the LAPD aerial fleet. We reject the expansion of the drones’ capabilities to break windows, and we also reject use of drones in the Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT). This is the mission creep Angelenos have been warning about. Stop wasting money on robots that will only further dehumanize and terrorize Angelenos. I reject drones!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, this has been going on for too long.

Signed,

Margaret Starbuck

From: Alina Medelson <alina.medelson@lacity.org>
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 9:37 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; shanemurphygoldsmith@gmail.com; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.wesson@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; tips@laist.com; cindy.chang@latimes.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com;
ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Regarding item 3B on tomorrow’s agenda: The commission is voting to approve a one-month audit of Body-Worn Video (BWV) and Digital In-Car Video (DICV) that checks officers are activating cameras according to policy. We see this as a purely procedural audit that does not address that these tools are used for prosecution and surveillance of our communities. They have not stopped the violence of law enforcement, nor resulted in officer accountability.

In item 3E on “Photo Comparison Technology,” the commission will review a proposed policy for LAPD use of the LASD Mugshot Database, whose “Photo Comparison Technology” is actually facial recognition technology (FRT). This is unacceptable and cannot happen! LAPD has secretly been using this type of technology since 2004. Reject LAPD’s proposed “Use of Photo Comparison Technology” policy. Implement an outright ban on LAPD use of face recognition surveillance. Publicize and investigate LAPD’s past use of face recognition surveillance in order to understand how the community has been impacted.

For item 3F, Automatic Licence Plate Readers (ALPRs) - LAPD has been using ALPR since 2004, and now wants to cover its tracks with a policy. We reject the use of ALPRs because it is data mining and tracking of our communities. It was exposed through the CA state audit that LAPD is correlating names, address, birthdate and criminal records to license plates. This ultimately tracks our every movement and must stop!

Items 3H, I, and J are regarding Small Unmanned Aerial Systems (sUAS) aka Drones - Angelenos have spoken out against the use of drones and every policy that attempts to legitimize their use since 2013. We reject the acquisition of another drone that only expands the LAPD aerial fleet. We reject the expansion of the drones’ capabilities to break windows, and we also reject use of drones in the Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT). This is the mission creep Angelenos have been warning about. I reject drones!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,
Alina
Alina Medelson - they/them

Program Facilitator - [redacted]
From: Jessica Craven [<redacted>]
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 9:40 AM
To: Police Commission
Subject: Public Comment for December 8, 2020

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Commissioners:

I live in Mt. Washington, and am a long-time Los Angeles resident. I wanted to send a few comments for tomorrow’s agenda.

Item 3B: The commission is voting to approve a one-month audit of Body-Worn Video (BWV) and Digital In-Car Video (DICV) that checks to make sure that officers are activating cameras according to policy. We see this as a purely procedural audit that does not address that these tools are used for prosecution and surveillance of our communities. They have not stopped the violence of law enforcement, nor resulted in officer accountability.

Item 3E: on “Photo Comparison Technology,” the commission will review a proposed policy for LAPD use of the LASD Mugshot Database, whose “Photo Comparison Technology” is actually facial recognition technology (FRT). This is unacceptable and cannot happen! LAPD has secretly been using this type of technology since 2004. Please reject LAPD’s proposed “Use of Photo Comparison Technology” policy. Instead, please implement an outright ban on LAPD use of face recognition surveillance. Publicize and investigate LAPD’s past use of face recognition surveillance in order to understand how the community has been impacted.

Item 3F: Automatic License Plate Readers (ALPRs) - LAPD has been using ALPR since 2004, and now wants to cover its tracks with a policy. We reject the use of ALPRs because it is data mining and tracking of our communities. It was exposed through the CA state audit that LAPD is correlating names, address, birthdate and criminal records to license plates. This ultimately tracks our every movement and must stop!

Items 3H, I, and J are regarding Small Unmanned Aerial Systems (sUAS) aka Drones - Angelinos have spoken out against the use of drones and every policy that attempts to legitimize their use since 2013. We reject the acquisition of another drone that
only expands the LAPD aerial fleet. We reject the expansion of the drones’ capabilities to break windows, and we also reject use of drones in the Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT). This is the mission creep Angelenos have been warning about. I reject drones! I also reject the militarization of our police force.

Finally, please stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Jessica Craven
Los Angeles, CA 90065

Our work isn’t over. Hope is an ACTION.

From: Danielle Castrence <dcastrence@lacity.org>
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 9:40 AM
To: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Mayor Helpdesk; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; shanemurphygoldsmith@gmail.com; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.wesson@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; lacpfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; tips@laist.com; cindy.chang@latimes.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; richard.winton@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 12/08/2020

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Regarding item 3B on tomorrow’s agenda: The commission is voting to approve a one-month audit of Body-Worn Video (BWV) and Digital In-Car Video (DICV) that checks officers are activating cameras according to policy. We see this as a purely procedural audit that does not address that these tools are used for prosecution and surveillance of our communities. They have not stopped the violence of law enforcement, nor resulted in officer accountability.
In item 3E on "Photo Comparison Technology," the commission will review a proposed policy for LAPD use of the LASD Mugshot Database, whose "Photo Comparison Technology" is actually facial recognition technology (FRT). This is unacceptable and cannot happen! LAPD has secretly been using this type of technology since 2004. Reject LAPD's proposed "Use of Photo Comparison Technology" policy. Implement an outright ban on LAPD use of face recognition surveillance. Publicize and investigate LAPD's past use of face recognition surveillance in order to understand how the community has been impacted.

For item 3F, Automatic Licence Plate Readers (ALPRs) - LAPD has been using ALPR since 2004, and now wants to cover its tracks with a policy. We reject the use of ALPRs because it is data mining and tracking of our communities. It was exposed through the CA state audit that LAPD is correlating names, addresses, birthdates, and criminal records to license plates. This ultimately tracks our every movement and must stop!

Items 3H, I, and J are regarding Small Unmanned Aerial Systems (sUAS) aka Drones - Angelenos have spoken out against the use of drones and every policy that attempts to legitimize their use since 2013. We reject the acquisition of another drone that only expands the LAPD aerial fleet. We reject the expansion of the drones' capabilities to break windows, and we also reject the use of drones in the Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT). This is the mission creep Angelenos have been warning about. I reject drones!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Danielle Castrence

From: Madeline Seales <youremail@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 10:25 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; shanemurphygoldsmith@gmail.com; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soloroff; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.wesson@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; Councilmember.lee@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; tips@laist.com; cindy.chang@latimes.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; richard.winton@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 12/08/2020
ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Regarding item 3B on tomorrow’s agenda: The commission IS voting to approve a one-month audit of Body-Worn Video (BWV) and Digital In-Car Video (DICV) that checks officers are activating cameras according to policy. We see this as a purely procedural audit that does not address that these tools are used for prosecution and surveillance of our communities. They have not stopped the violence of law enforcement, nor resulted in officer accountability.

In item 3E on “Photo Comparison Technology,” the commission will review a proposed policy for LAPD use of the LASD Mugshot Database, whose “Photo Comparison Technology” is actually facial recognition technology (FRT). This is unacceptable and cannot happen! LAPD has secretly been using this type of technology since 2004. Reject LAPD’s proposed “Use of Photo Comparison Technology” policy. Implement an outright ban on LAPD use of face recognition surveillance. Publicize and investigate LAPD’s past use of face recognition surveillance in order to understand how the community has been impacted.

For item 3F, Automatic Licence Plate Readers (ALPRs) - LAPD has been using ALPR since 2004, and now wants to cover its tracks with a policy. We reject the use of ALPRs because it is data mining and tracking of our communities. It was exposed through the CA state audit that LAPD is correlating names, address, birthdate and criminal records to license plates. This ultimately tracks OUR every movement and must stop!

Items 3H, I, and J are regarding Small Unmanned Aerial Systems (sUAS) aka Drones - Angelenos have spoken out against the use of drones and every policy that attempts to legitimize their use since 2013. We reject the acquisition of another drone that only expands the LAPD aerial fleet. We reject the expansion of the drones’ capabilities to break windows, and we also reject use of drones in the Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT). This is the mission creep Angelenos have been warning about. I reject drones!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.
Signed,

Maddie Seales

From: Jewell Karinen <[redacted]>
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 10:33 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Mayor Helpdesk; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; shanemurphygoldsmith@gmail.com; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; Mitch O'Farrell; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.wesson@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; tips@laist.com; cindy.chang@latimes.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; richard.winton@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; Mayor Garcetti
Subject: Public Comment 12/08/2020

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Item 3B on 12/08/2020: The commission is voting to approve a one-month audit of Body-Worn Video (BWV) and Digital In-Car Video (DICV) that checks officers are activating cameras according to policy.

We see this as a purely procedural audit that does not address that these tools are used for prosecution and surveillance of our communities. They have not stopped the violence of law enforcement, nor resulted in officer accountability.

Item 3E on 12/08/2020: On “Photo Comparison Technology,” the commission will review a proposed policy for LAPD use of the LASD Mugshot Database, whose “Photo Comparison Technology” is actually facial recognition technology (FRT).

Our communities actively and vehemently oppose this "Photo Comparison Technology." LAPD has secretly been using this type of technology since 2004. Reject LAPD’s proposed “Use of Photo Comparison Technology” policy.

There must be an outright ban on LAPD use of face recognition surveillance. Publicize and investigate LAPD’s past use of face recognition surveillance in order to understand how the community has been impacted.

Item 3F on 12/08/2020: Automatic Licence Plate Readers (ALPRs) - LAPD has been using ALPR since 2004, and now wants to cover its tracks with a policy. We reject the use of ALPRs because it is data mining and tracking of our communities. It was exposed through the CA state audit that LAPD is correlating names, address, birthdate and criminal records to license plates. This ultimately tracks our every movement and
must stop!

Items 3Hon 12/08/2020 I, and J: Regarding Small Unmanned Aerial Systems (sUAS) aka Drones - Angelenos have spoken out against the use of drones and every policy that attempts to legitimize their use since 2013. We reject the acquisition of another drone that only expands the LAPD aerial fleet.

We reject the expansion of the drones' capabilities to break windows, and we also reject use of drones in the Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT). This is the mission creep Angelenos have been warning about. I reject drones!

I demand that you significantly expand the public comment period at your meetings. Despite your weekly exclamations (lies) to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

From: [redacted]
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 10:48 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; shanemurphygoldsmith@gmail.com; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.wesson@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@LegacyLA.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; tips@laist.com; cindy.chang@latimes.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; richard.winton@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org

Subject: Public Comment 12/08/2020

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Regarding item 3B on tomorrow's agenda: The commission is voting to approve a one-month audit of Body-Worn Video (BWV) and Digital In-Car Video (DICV) that checks officers are activating cameras according to policy. We see this as a purely procedural audit that does not address that these tools are used for prosecution and surveillance of our communities. They have not stopped the violence of law enforcement, nor resulted in officer accountability.

In item 3E on "Photo Comparison Technology," the commission will review a proposed policy for LAPD use of the LASD Mugshot Database, whose "Photo Comparison Technology" is actually facial recognition technology (FRT). This is unacceptable and cannot happen! LAPD has secretly been using this type of technology since 2004.
Reject LAPD’s proposed “Use of Photo Comparison Technology” policy. Implement an
outright ban on LAPD use of face recognition surveillance. Publicize and investigate
LAPD’s past use of face recognition surveillance in order to understand how the
community has been impacted.

For item 3F, Automatic Licence Plate Readers (ALPRs) - LAPD has been using ALPR
since 2004, and now wants to cover its tracks with a policy. We reject the use of
ALPRs because it is data mining and tracking of our communities. It was exposed
through the CA state audit that LAPD is correlating names, address, birthdate and
criminal records to license plates. This ultimately tracks our every movement and
must stop!

Items 3H, I, and J are regarding Small Unmanned Aerial Systems (sUAS) aka Drones -
Angelenos have spoken out against the use of drones and every policy that attempts
to legitimize their use since 2013. We reject the acquisition of another drone that
only expands the LAPD aerial fleet. We reject the expansion of the drones’
capabilities to break windows, and we also reject use of drones in the
Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT). This is the mission creep
Angelenos have been warning about. I reject drones!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to
forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are
silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and
for how long.

Signed,

Bryan Ziadie

From: Jessica Ferretti <jferretti@lacity.org>
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 10:59 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org;
Eileen Decker; shanemurphygoldsmith@gmail.com; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale
Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org;
councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org;
councilmember.wesson@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org;
councilmember.price@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org;
Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org;
councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org;
paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org;
Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank;
ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Commissioners,

Please consider these important statements since we are unable to attend the commission in person.

Regarding item 3B on tomorrow’s agenda:

The commission is voting to approve a one-month audit of Body-Worn Video (BWV) and Digital In-Car Video (DICV) that checks officers are activating cameras according to policy. We see this as a purely procedural audit that does not address that these tools are used for prosecution and surveillance of our communities. They have not stopped the violence of law enforcement, nor resulted in officer accountability.

In item 3E on “Photo Comparison Technology,” the commission will review a proposed policy for LAPD use of the LASD Mugshot Database, whose “Photo Comparison Technology” is actually facial recognition technology (FRT). This is unacceptable and cannot happen! LAPD has secretly been using this type of technology since 2004. Reject LAPD’s proposed “Use of Photo Comparison Technology” policy. Implement an outright ban on LAPD use of face recognition surveillance. Publicize and investigate LAPD’s past use of face recognition surveillance in order to understand how the community has been impacted.

For item 3F, Automatic Licence Plate Readers (ALPRs) – LAPD has been using ALPR since 2004, and now wants to cover its tracks with a policy. We reject the use of ALPRs because it is data mining and tracking of our communities. It was exposed through the CA state audit that LAPD is correlating names, address, birthdate and criminal records to license plates. This ultimately tracks our every movement and must stop!

Items 3H, I, and J are regarding Small Unmanned Aerial Systems (sUAS) aka Drones - Angelenos have spoken out against the use of drones and every policy that attempts to legitimize their use since 2013. We reject the acquisition of another drone that
only expands the LAPD aerial fleet. We reject the expansion of the drones’ capabilities to break windows, and we also reject use of drones in the Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT). This is the mission creep Angelenos have been warning about. I reject drones!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Thank you,

Jessica Ferretti

From: Taylor Fulton <example@example.com>
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 11:02 AM
To: Police Commission
Subject: Public comment: 12/8/20

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear members of the Police Commission,

I am writing today because I am deeply disturbed by videos I saw over the weekend of police brutality occurring at a peaceful protest at Mayor Garcetti’s house. This is deeply reminiscent of the police violence that was seen over the summer at Black Lives Matter protests, and police escalation of violence should never occur. Protestors at Garcetti’s house are protected under the 1st amendment, and protestors were completely unarmed. Additionally, Jamie Penn, a transgender woman, was arrested, placed into solitary confinement for 3+ hours, and didn’t receive a phone call despite asking before being released. This is another kind of violence that is unacceptable.

This should never happen again in Los Angeles. I demand that the members of the commission take action to discipline the officers that escalated all aforementioned forms of violence this weekend.

Sincerely concerned,
Taylor Fulton
Los Angeles Resident
From: Rebecca Veith <>
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 11:07 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Mayor Helpdesk; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; shanemurphygoldsmith@gmail.com; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.wesson@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; tips@laist.com; cindy.chang@latimes.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; richard.winton@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; Mayor Garcetti
Subject: Public Comment 12/08/2020

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

To the commissioners,

I am taking the time to write a public comment that I hope you take the time to read and acknowledge in regards to item 38 on tomorrow’s agenda:

The commission is voting to approve a one-month audit of Body-Worn Video (BWV) and Digital In-Car Video (DICV) that checks officers are activating cameras according to policy. We see this as a purely procedural audit that does not address that these tools are used for prosecution and surveillance of our communities. They have not stopped the violence of law enforcement, nor resulted in officer accountability. Why are we continuing to invest in things that do not work?

In item 3E on “Photo Comparison Technology,” the commission will review a proposed policy for LAPD use of the LASD Mugshot Database, whose “Photo Comparison Technology” is actually facial recognition technology (FRT). This is unacceptable and cannot happen! LAPD has secretly been using this type of technology since 2004. Reject LAPD’s proposed “Use of Photo Comparison Technology” policy. Implement an outright ban on LAPD use of face recognition surveillance. Publicize and investigate LAPD’s past use of face recognition surveillance in order to understand how the community has been impacted.

For item 3F, Automatic Licence Plate Readers (ALPRs) - LAPD has been using ALPR since 2004, and now wants to cover its tracks with a policy. We reject the use of ALPRs because it is data mining and tracking of our communities. It was exposed through the CA state audit that LAPD is correlating names, address, birthdate and criminal records to license plates. This ultimately tracks our every movement and must stop! It should worry you.

Items 3H, I, and J are regarding Small Unmanned Aerial Systems (sUAS) aka Drones - Angelenos have spoken out against the use of drones and every policy that attempts
to legitimize their use since 2013. We reject the acquisition of another drone that only expands the LAPD aerial fleet. We reject the expansion of the drones’ capabilities to break windows, and we also reject use of drones in the Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT). This is the mission creep Angelenos have been warning about. I reject drones!

And lastly, I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,
Rebecca Veith

From: Erin Ashby <redacted>
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 11:08 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; shanemurphyoldsmit@gmail.com; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.wesson@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; tips@laist.com; cindy.chang@latimes.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; richard.winton@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 12/08/2020

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Regarding item 3B on tomorrow’s agenda: The commission is voting to approve a one-month audit of Body-Worn Video (BWV) and Digital In-Car Video (DICV) that checks officers are activating cameras according to policy. We see this as a purely procedural audit that does not address that these tools are used for prosecution and surveillance of our communities. They have not stopped the violence of law enforcement, nor resulted in officer accountability.

In item 3E on “Photo Comparison Technology,” the commission will review a proposed policy for LAPD use of the LASD Mugshot Database, whose “Photo Comparison Technology” is actually facial recognition technology (FRT). This is unacceptable and cannot happen! LAPD has secretly been using this type of technology since 2004.
Reject LAPD’s proposed “Use of Photo Comparison Technology” policy. Implement an outright ban on LAPD use of face recognition surveillance. Publicize and investigate LAPD’s past use of face recognition surveillance in order to understand how the community has been impacted.

For item 3F, Automatic Licence Plate Readers (ALPRs) - LAPD has been using ALPR since 2004, and now wants to cover its tracks with a policy. We reject the use of ALPRs because it is data mining and tracking of our communities. It was exposed through the CA state audit that LAPD is correlating names, address, birthdate and criminal records to license plates. This ultimately tracks our every movement and must stop!

Items 3H, I, and J are regarding Small Unmanned Aerial Systems (sUAS) aka Drones – Angelenos have spoken out against the use of drones and every policy that attempts to legitimize their use since 2013. We reject the acquisition of another drone that only expands the LAPD aerial fleet. We reject the expansion of the drones’ capabilities to break windows, and we also reject use of drones in the Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT). This is the mission creep Angelenos have been warning about. I reject drones!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Erin Ashby

From: Hannah Gibson  
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 11:13 AM  
To: Police Commission  
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; shanemurphygoldsmith@gmail.com; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Sboroff; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.wesson@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; Councilmember.lee@lacity.org; Councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; paul.kreślorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; tips@laist.com; cindy.chang@latimes.com; kevin.ector@latimes.com; richard.winton@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment for Police Commission Meeting 12/08/2020

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Regarding item 3B - approving a one-month audit of Body-Worn Video (BWV) and Digital In-Car Video (DICV):
I see this as a purely procedural audit that does not address that these tools are used for prosecution and surveillance of our communities. They have not stopped the violence of law enforcement, nor resulted in officer accountability.

Regarding item 3E - Photo Comparison Technology:
The LASD Mugshot Database's "Photo Comparison Technology" is actually facial recognition technology (FRT). This is unacceptable and cannot happen! LAPD has secretly been using this type of technology since 2004. Reject LAPD's proposed "Use of Photo Comparison Technology" policy. Implement an outright ban on LAPD use of face recognition surveillance. Publicize and investigate LAPD's past use of face recognition surveillance in order to understand how the community has been impacted.

Regarding item 3F - Automatic Licence Plate Readers (ALPRs):
LAPD has been using ALPR since 2004, and now wants to cover its tracks with a policy. I reject the use of ALPRs because it is data mining and tracking of our communities. It was exposed through the CA state audit that LAPD is correlating names, address, birthdate and criminal records to license plates. This ultimately tracks our every movement and must stop!

Regarding items 3H, I, and J - Small Unmanned Aerial Systems (sUAS) aka Drones: Angelenos have spoken out against the use of drones and every policy that attempts to legitimize their use since 2013. I reject the acquisition of another drone that only expands the LAPD aerial fleet. I reject the expansion of the drones' capabilities to break windows, and also reject use of drones in the Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT). This is the mission creep Angelenos have been warning about. I reject drones!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,
Hannah Gibson

90032

From: Elena Shuqem
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 11:20 AM
To: Councilmember Harris-Dawson; Police Commission; City of Los Angeles; City of
Los Angeles; City of Los Angeles; City of Los Angeles; Councilmember Rodriguez;
councilmember.buscalino@lacity.org; councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org;
councilmember.huizar@lacity.org; councilmember.lee@lacity.org;
councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org;
councilmember.wesson@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org
Subject: Mayor sanctioned police brutality

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open
attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

I am appalled that the Mayor has unleashed the police to brutality terrorize the
peaceful protesters yesterday in front of his house. Hiding behind police brutality
only amplies that he is not fit to be a servant of the people. He has lost my
confidence.

I hope that you watch the videos of the police violence and see what he had
sanctioned. Please speak up and condemn the Mayor and LAPD for these actions. It is
not acceptable.

Sincerely
Elena

Elena Shuquem, dba Elena Brocade
Circus / Variety Artist / Choreographer / Designer

From: Bethany Heykoop <[redacted]>
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 11:26 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: Michel Moore; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org;
Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org;
cindy.chang@latimes.com; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org;
councilmember.buscalino@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org;
councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org;
councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org;
councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.wesson@lacity.org; Dale Bonner;
ethics.commission@lacity.org; james.queally@latimes.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com;
lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@LegacyLA.org; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org;
mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Richard Tefank; Sandra
Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; Eileen Decker; paul.koretz@lacity.org;
paul.krekorian@lacity.org; richard.winton@latimes.com;
shane@murphygoldsmith@gmail.com; tips@laist.com
Subject: Public Comment 12/8/2020

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open
attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.
Commissioners:

Regarding item 3B on tomorrow’s agenda: The commission is voting to approve a one-month audit of Body-Worn Video (BWV) and Digital In-Car Video (DICV) that checks officers are activating cameras according to policy. We see this as a purely procedural audit that does not address that these tools are used for prosecution and surveillance of our communities. They have not stopped the violence of law enforcement, nor resulted in officer accountability.

In item 3E on “Photo Comparison Technology,” the commission will review a proposed policy for LAPD use of the LASD Mugshot Database, whose “Photo Comparison Technology” is actually facial recognition technology (FRT). This is unacceptable and cannot happen! LAPD has secretly been using this type of technology since 2004. Reject LAPD’s proposed “Use of Photo Comparison Technology” policy. Implement an outright ban on LAPD use of face recognition surveillance. Publicize and investigate LAPD’s past use of face recognition surveillance in order to understand how the community has been impacted.

For item 3F, Automatic Licence Plate Readers (ALPRs) - LAPD has been using ALPR since 2004, and now wants to cover its tracks with a policy. We reject the use of ALPRs because it is data mining and tracking of our communities. It was exposed through the CA state audit that LAPD is correlating names, address, birthdate and criminal records to license plates. This ultimately tracks our every movement and must stop!

Items 3H, I, and J are regarding Small Unmanned Aerial Systems (sUAS) aka Drones - Angelenos have spoken out against the use of drones and every policy that attempts to legitimize their use since 2013. We reject the acquisition of another drone that only expands the LAPD aerial fleet. We reject the expansion of the drones' capabilities to break windows, and we also reject use of drones in the Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT). This is the mission creep Angelenos have been warning about. I reject drones!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,  
Bethany
From: Lizabeth Belli <lizabeth.belli@lacity.org>
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 11:38 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; shanemurphygoldsmith@gmail.com; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Sobooff; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.wesson@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; lapcfalls@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; tips@laist.com; cindy.chang@latimes.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; richard.winton@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 12/08/2020

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Regarding item 3B on tomorrow’s agenda: The commission is voting to approve a one-month audit of Body-Worn Video (BWV) and Digital In-Car Video (DICV) that checks officers are activating cameras according to policy. We see this as a purely procedural audit that does not address that these tools are used for prosecution and surveillance of our communities. They have not stopped the violence of law enforcement, nor resulted in officer accountability.

In item 3E on “Photo Comparison Technology,” the commission will review a proposed policy for LAPD use of the LASD Mugshot Database, whose “Photo Comparison Technology” is actually facial recognition technology (FRT). This is unacceptable and cannot happen! LAPD has secretly been using this type of technology since 2004. Reject LAPD’s proposed “Use of Photo Comparison Technology” policy. Implement an outright ban on LAPD use of face recognition surveillance. Publicize and investigate LAPD’s past use of face recognition surveillance in order to understand how the community has been impacted.

For item 3F, Automatic Licence Plate Readers (ALPRs) - LAPD has been using ALPR since 2004, and now wants to cover its tracks with a policy. We reject the use of ALPRs because it is data mining and tracking of our communities. It was exposed through the CA state audit that LAPD is correlating names, address, birthdate and criminal records to license plates. This ultimately tracks our every movement and must stop!

Items 3H, I, and J are regarding Small Unmanned Aerial Systems (sUAS) aka Drones - Angelenos have spoken out against the use of drones and every policy that attempts to legitimize their use since 2013. We reject the acquisition of another drone that
only expands the LAPD aerial fleet. We reject the expansion of the drones’ capabilities to break windows, and we also reject use of drones in the Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT). This is the mission creep Angelenos have been warning about. I reject drones!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,
Lizabeth Belli

Lizabeth Belli (she/her)
mailto: Lizabeth.Belli@lacity.org
#CareFirst
#CareNotCops

From: Ruthie Holmes <ruthie.holmes@latimes.com>
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 11:44 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: Michel Moore; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; cindy.chang@latimes.com; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.wesson@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; ethics.commission@lacity.org; james.queally@latimes.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@LegacyLA.org; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Richard.Tefank; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; Eileen Deck; paul.koretz@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; richard.winton@latimes.com; shanemurphygoldsmith@gmail.com; tips@laist.com
Subject: Public Comment 12/08/2020

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Regarding item 3B on tomorrow’s agenda: The commission is voting to approve a one-month audit of Body-Worn Video (BWV) and Digital In-Car Video (DICV) that checks officers are activating cameras according to policy. We see this as a purely procedural audit that does not address that these tools are used for prosecution and surveillance of our communities. They have not stopped the violence of law
enforcement, nor resulted in officer accountability.

In item 3E on "Photo Comparison Technology," the commission will review a proposed policy for LAPD use of the LASD Mugshot Database, whose "Photo Comparison Technology" is actually facial recognition technology (FRT). This is unacceptable and cannot happen! LAPD has secretly been using this type of technology since 2004. Reject LAPD’s proposed “Use of Photo Comparison Technology” policy. Implement an outright ban on LAPD use of face recognition surveillance. Publicize and investigate LAPD’s past use of face recognition surveillance in order to understand how the community has been impacted.

For item 3F, Automatic Licence Plate Readers (ALPRs) - LAPD has been using ALPR since 2004, and now wants to cover its tracks with a policy. We reject the use of ALPRs because it is data mining and tracking of our communities. It was exposed through the CA state audit that LAPD is correlating names, address, birthdate and criminal records to license plates. This ultimately tracks our every movement and must stop!

Items 3H, I, and J are regarding Small Unmanned Aerial Systems (sUAS) aka Drones - Angelenos have spoken out against the use of drones and every policy that attempts to legitimize their use since 2013. We reject the acquisition of another drone that only expands the LAPD aerial fleet. We reject the expansion of the drones’ capabilities to break windows, and we also reject use of drones in the Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT). This is the mission creep Angelenos have been warning about. I reject drones!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Ruthie Holmes

Los Feliz resident

---

From: Cait Cibulsky <cibulskycait@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 11:45 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; shanemurphygoldsmith@gmail.com; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.wesson@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org;
ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

To the commissioners:

Regarding item 3B on tomorrow’s agenda: The commission is voting to approve a one-month audit of Body-Worn Video (BWV) and Digital In-Car Video (DICV) that checks officers are activating cameras according to policy. We see this as a purely procedural audit that does not address that these tools are used for prosecution and surveillance of our communities. They have not stopped the violence of law enforcement, nor resulted in officer accountability.

In item 3E on “Photo Comparison Technology,” the commission will review a proposed policy for LAPD use of the LASD Mugshot Database, whose “Photo Comparison Technology” is actually facial recognition technology (FRT). This is unacceptable and cannot happen! LAPD has secretly been using this type of technology since 2004. Reject LAPD’s proposed “Use of Photo Comparison Technology” policy. Implement an outright ban on LAPD use of face recognition surveillance. Publicize and investigate LAPD’s past use of face recognition surveillance in order to understand how the community has been impacted.

For item 3F, Automatic Licence Plate Readers (ALPRs) - LAPD has been using ALPR since 2004, and now wants to cover its tracks with a policy. We reject the use of ALPRs because it is data mining and tracking of our communities. It was exposed through the CA state audit that LAPD is correlating names, address, birthdate and criminal records to license plates. This ultimately tracks our every movement and must stop!

Items 3H, I, and J are regarding Small Unmanned Aerial Systems (sUAS) aka Drones - Angelenos have spoken out against the use of drones and every policy that attempts to legitimiz their use since 2013. We reject the acquisition of another drone that only expands the LAPD aerial fleet. We reject the expansion of the drones’ capabilities to break windows, and we also reject use of drones in the Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT). This is the mission creep Angelenos have been warning about. I reject drones!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Stand on the right side of history. Act in the interest of justice.
From: Benjamin Kersten <[email protected]>
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 11:46 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; shanemurphygoldsmith@gmail.com; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.wesson@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; tips@lait.com; cindy.chang@latimes.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; richard.winton@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 12/08/2020

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

I am writing with regard to item 3B on tomorrow’s agenda. The commission is voting to approve a one-month audit of Body-Worn Video (BWV) and Digital In-Car Video (DICV) that checks officers are activating cameras according to policy. This audit is purely procedural and does not address how these tools are used for prosecution and surveillance of our communities. They have neither stopped the violence of law enforcement nor resulted in officer accountability.

In item 3E one “Photo Comparison Technology,” the commission will review a proposed policy for LAPD use of the LASD Mugshot Database, the “Photo Comparison Technology” of which is actually facial recognition technology (FRT). This is unacceptable and cannot happen! LAPD has secretly been using this type of technology since 2004. Reject LAPD’s proposed “Use of Photo Comparison Technology” policy. Implement an outright ban on LAPD use of facial recognition surveillance. Publicize and investigate LAPD’s past use of face recognition surveillance in order to understand how the community has been impacted.

For item 3F, Automatic Licence Plate Readers (ALPRs) - LAPD has been using ALPR since 2004, and now wants to cover its tracks with a policy. We reject the use of ALPRs because it is data mining and tracking of our communities. It was exposed through the CA state audit that LAPD is correlating names, address, birthdate and criminal records to license plates. This ultimately tracks our every movement and must stop!

Items 3H, I, and J are regarding Small Unmanned Aerial Systems (sUAS) aka Drones - Angelenos have spoken out against the use of drones and every policy that attempts
to legitimize their use since 2013. We reject the acquisition of another drone that only expands the LAPD aerial fleet. We reject the expansion of the drones’ capabilities to break windows, and we also reject use of drones in the Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT). This is the mission creep Angelenos have been warning about. I reject drones!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,
Benjamin Kersten

From: Jaylynn Widmark <Jaylynn.Widmark@lacity.org>
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 11:50 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; shanemurphygoldsmith@gmail.com; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.wesson@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; tips@laist.com; cindy.chang@latimes.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; richard.winton@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 12/08/2020

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Regarding item 3B on tomorrow’s agenda: The commission is voting to approve a one-month audit of Body-Worn Video (BWV) and Digital In-Car Video (DICV) that checks officers are activating cameras according to policy. We see this as a purely procedural audit that does not address that these tools are used for prosecution and surveillance of our communities. They have not stopped the violence of law enforcement, nor resulted in officer accountability.

In item 3E on “Photo Comparison Technology,” the commission will review a proposed policy for LAPD use of the LASD Mugshot Database, whose “Photo Comparison Technology” is actually facial recognition technology (FRT). This is unacceptable and cannot happen! LAPD has secretly been using this type of technology since 2004. Reject LAPD’s proposed “Use of Photo Comparison Technology” policy. Implement an outright ban on LAPD use of face recognition surveillance. Publicize and investigate LAPD’s past use of face recognition surveillance in order to understand how the
community has been impacted.

For item 3F, Automatic Licence Plate Readers (ALPRs) - LAPD has been using ALPR since 2004, and now wants to cover its tracks with a policy. We reject the use of ALPRs because it is data mining and tracking of our communities. It was exposed through the CA state audit that LAPD is correlating names, address, birthdate and criminal records to license plates. This ultimately tracks our every movement and must stop!

Items 3H, I, and J are regarding Small Unmanned Aerial Systems (sUAS) aka Drones - Angelenos have spoken out against the use of drones and every policy that attempts to legitimize their use since 2013. We reject the acquisition of another drone that only expands the LAPD aerial fleet. We reject the expansion of the drones' capabilities to break windows, and we also reject use of drones in the Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT). This is the mission creep Angelenos have been warning about. I reject drones!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Jaylynn Widmark

Sent from my iPhone
From: Phoebe Neidhardt <phoebe.neidhardt@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 11:50 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; shanemurphygoldsmith@gmail.com; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.wesson@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; tips@laist.com; cindy.chang@latimes.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; richard.winton@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 12/08/2020

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open
attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Regarding item 3B, the commission is voting to approve a one-month audit of Body-Worn Video (BWV) and Digital In-Car Video (DICV) that checks officers are activating cameras according to policy. We see this as a purely procedural audit that does not address that these tools are used for prosecution and surveillance of our communities. They have not stopped the violence of law enforcement, nor resulted in officer accountability.

In item 3E on “Photo Comparison Technology,” the commission will review a proposed policy for LAPD use of the LASD Mugshot Database, whose "Photo Comparison Technology" is actually facial recognition technology (FRT). This is unacceptable and cannot happen! LAPD has secretly been using this type of technology since 2004. Reject LAPD’s proposed “Use of Photo Comparison Technology” policy. Implement an outright ban on LAPD use of face recognition surveillance. Publicize and investigate LAPD’s past use of face recognition surveillance in order to understand how the community has been impacted.

For item 3F, Automatic Licence Plate Readers (ALPRs) - LAPD has been using ALPR since 2004, and now wants to cover its tracks with a policy. We reject the use of ALPRs because it is data mining and tracking of our communities. It was exposed through the CA state audit that LAPD is correlating names, address, birthdate and criminal records to license plates. This ultimately tracks our every movement and must stop!

Items 3H, I, and J are regarding Small Unmanned Aerial Systems (sUAS) aka Drones - Angelenos have spoken out against the use of drones and every policy that attempts to legitimize their use since 2013. We reject the acquisition of another drone that only expands the LAPD aerial fleet. We reject the expansion of the drones’ capabilities to break windows, and we also reject use of drones in the Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT). This is the mission creep Angelenos have been warning about. I reject drones!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,
Attn: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Regarding item 3B on the agenda: The commission is voting to approve a one-month audit of Body-Worn Video (BWV) and Digital In-Car Video (DICV) that checks officers are activating cameras according to policy. We see this as a purely procedural audit that does not address that these tools are used for prosecution and surveillance of our communities. They have not stopped the violence of law enforcement, nor resulted in officer accountability.

In item 3E on “Photo Comparison Technology,” the commission will review a proposed policy for LAPD use of the LASD Mugshot Database, whose “Photo Comparison Technology” is actually facial recognition technology (FRT). This is unacceptable and cannot happen! LAPD has secretly been using this type of technology since 2004. Reject LAPD’s proposed “Use of Photo Comparison Technology” policy. Implement an outright ban on LAPD use of face recognition surveillance. Publicize and investigate LAPD’s past use of face recognition surveillance in order to understand how the community has been impacted.

For item 3F, Automatic Licence Plate Readers (ALPRs) - LAPD has been using ALPR since 2004, and now wants to cover its tracks with a policy. We reject the use of ALPRs because it is data mining and tracking of our communities. It was exposed through the CA state audit that LAPD is correlating names, address, birthdate and criminal records to license plates. This ultimately tracks our every movement and must stop!
Items 3H, I, and J are regarding Small Unmanned Aerial Systems (sUAS) aka Drones - Angelenos have spoken out against the use of drones and every policy that attempts to legitimize their use since 2013. We reject the acquisition of another drone that only expands the LAPD aerial fleet. We reject the expansion of the drones' capabilities to break windows, and we also reject use of drones in the Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT). This is the mission creep Angelenos have been warning about. I reject drones!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Nicole K

From: SHERRY VARON <sherry.varon@lacity.org>  
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 12:02 PM  
To: Police Commission  
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; shanemurphygoldsmith@gmail.com; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.wesson@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; tips@laist.com; cindy.chang@latimes.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; richard.winton@latimes.com  
Subject: Public Comment 12/08/2020  

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Regarding item 3B on tomorrow’s agenda: The commission is voting to approve a
one-month audit of Body-Worn Video (BWV) and Digital In-Car Video (DICV) that checks officers are activating cameras according to policy. We see this as a purely procedural audit that does not address that these tools are used for prosecution and surveillance of our communities. They have not stopped the violence of law enforcement, nor resulted in officer accountability.

In item 3E on “Photo Comparison Technology,” the commission will review a proposed policy for LAPD use of the LASD Mugshot Database, whose “Photo Comparison Technology” is actually facial recognition technology (FRT). This is unacceptable and cannot happen! LAPD has secretly been using this type of technology since 2004. Reject LAPD’s proposed “Use of Photo Comparison Technology” policy. Implement an outright ban on LAPD use of face recognition surveillance. Publicize and investigate LAPD’s past use of face recognition surveillance in order to understand how the community has been impacted.

For item 3F, Automatic Licence Plate Readers (ALPRs) - LAPD has been using ALPR since 2004, and now wants to cover its tracks with a policy. We reject the use of ALPRs because it is data mining and tracking of our communities. It was exposed through the CA state audit that LAPD is correlating names, address, birthdate and criminal records to license plates. This ultimately tracks our every movement and must stop!

Items 3H, I, and J are regarding Small Unmanned Aerial Systems (sUAS) aka Drones - Angelenos have spoken out against the use of drones and every policy that attempts to legitimizstheir use since 2013. We reject the acquisition of another drone that only expands the LAPD aerial fleet. We reject the expansion of the drones’ capabilities to break windows, and we also reject use of drones in the Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT). This is the mission creep Angelenos have been warning about. I reject drones!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,
Sherry Varon

From: Jule Gamache
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 12:02 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; shanemurphygoldsmith@gmail.com; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.wesson@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org;
councilmember.price@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; 
councilmember.lee@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; 
councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; 
paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; 
Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; 
Michel Moore; tips@laist.com; cindy.chang@latimes.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; 
richard.winton@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 12/08/2020

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open 
attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Regarding item 3B on tomorrow’s agenda: The commission is voting to approve a 
one-month audit of Body-Worn Video (BWV) and Digital In-Car Video (DICV) that checks 
officers are activating cameras according to policy. We see this as a purely 
procedural audit that does not address that these tools are used for prosecution and 
surveillance of our communities. They have not stopped the violence of law 
enforcement, nor resulted in officer accountability.

In item 3E on “Photo Comparison Technology,” the commission will review a proposed 
policy for LAPD use of the LASD Mugshot Database, whose “Photo Comparison 
Technology” is actually facial recognition technology (FRT). This is unacceptable 
and cannot happen! LAPD has secretly been using this type of technology since 2004. 
Reject LAPD’s proposed “Use of Photo Comparison Technology” policy. Implement an 
outright ban on LAPD use of face recognition surveillance. Publicize and investigate 
LAPD’s past use of face recognition surveillance in order to understand how the 
community has been impacted.

For item 3F, Automatic Licence Plate Readers (ALPRs) - LAPD has been using ALPR 
since 2004, and now wants to cover its tracks with a policy. We reject the use of 
ALPRs because it is data mining and tracking of our communities. It was exposed 
through the CA state audit that LAPD is correlating names, addresses, birthdates, 
and criminal records to license plates. This ultimately tracks our every movement 
and must stop!

Items 3H, I, and J are regarding Small Unmanned Aerial Systems (sUAS) aka Drones - 
Angelenos have spoken out against the use of drones and every policy that attempts 
to legitimize their use since 2013. We reject the acquisition of another drone that 
only expands the LAPD aerial fleet. We reject the expansion of the drones’ 
capabilities to break windows, and we also reject the use of drones in the 
Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT). This is the mission creep 
Angelenos have been warning about. I reject drones!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to 
fourty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are 
silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and 
for how long.
Signed,

Jule Gamache  
she/her/hers

From: Amelie Cherlin  
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 12:03 PM  
To: Police Commission  
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; shanemurphygoldsmith@gmail.com; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.wesson@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; Councilmember.lee@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; lacpfaile@gmail.com; lou@LegacyLA.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org  
Subject: Public Comment 12/08/2020

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Regarding item 3B on tomorrow’s agenda: The commission is voting to approve a one-month audit of Body-Worn Video (BWV) and Digital In-Car Video (DICV) that checks officers are activating cameras according to policy. We see this as a purely procedural audit that does not address that these tools are used for prosecution and surveillance of our communities. They have not stopped the violence of law enforcement, nor resulted in officer accountability.

In item 3E on “Photo Comparison Technology,” the commission will review a proposed policy for LAPD use of the LASD Mugshot Database, whose “Photo Comparison Technology” is actually facial recognition technology (FRT). This is unacceptable and cannot happen! LAPD has secretly been using this type of technology since 2004. Reject LAPD’s proposed “Use of Photo Comparison Technology” policy. Implement an outright ban on LAPD use of face recognition surveillance. Publicize and investigate LAPD’s past use of face recognition surveillance in order to understand how the community has been impacted.
For item 3F, Automatic License Plate Readers (ALPRs) - LAPD has been using ALPR since 2004, and now wants to cover its tracks with a policy. We reject the use of ALPRs because it is data mining and tracking of our communities. It was exposed through the CA state audit that LAPD is correlating names, address, birthdate and criminal records to license plates. This ultimately tracks our every movement and must stop!

Items 3H, I, and J are regarding Small Unmanned Aerial Systems (sUAS) aka Drones - Angelenos have spoken out against the use of drones and every policy that attempts to legitimize their use since 2013. We reject the acquisition of another drone that only expands the LAPD aerial fleet. We reject the expansion of the drones’ capabilities to break windows, and we also reject use of drones in the Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT). This is the mission creep Angelenos have been warning about. I reject drones!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,
Amelie Cherlin
Los Angeles

From: Celeste Kessler <*
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 12:06 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: Michel Moore; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; cindy.chang@latimes.com; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.wesson@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; ethics.commission@lacity.org; james.queally@latimes.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com;
ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless YOU recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

My name is Celeste Kessler and I am a resident of Los Angeles. I am writing in regarding item 3B on tomorrow's agenda: The commission is voting to approve a one-month audit of Body-Worn Video (B WV) and Digital In-Car Video (DICV) that checks officers are activating cameras according to policy. We see this as a purely procedural audit that does not address that these tools are used for prosecution and surveillance of our communities. They have not stopped the violence of law enforcement, nor resulted in officer accountability.

In item 3E on "Photo Comparison Technology," the commission will review a proposed policy for LAPD use of the LASD Mugshot Database, whose "Photo Comparison Technology" is actually facial recognition technology (FRT). This is unacceptable and cannot happen! LAPD has secretly been using this type of technology since 2004. Reject LAPD's proposed "Use of Photo Comparison Technology" policy. Implement an outright ban on LAPD use of face recognition surveillance. Publicize and investigate LAPD's past use of face recognition surveillance in order to understand how the community has been impacted.

For item 3F, Automatic Licence Plate Readers (ALPRs) - LAPD has been using ALPR since 2004, and now wants to cover its tracks with a policy. We reject the use of ALPRs because it is data mining and tracking of our communities. It was exposed through the CA state audit that LAPD is correlating names, address, birthdate and criminal records to license plates. This ultimately tracks our every movement and must stop!

Items 3H, I, and J are regarding Small Unmanned Aerial Systems (SUAS) aka Drones - Angelenos have spoken out against the use of drones and every policy that attempts
to legitimize their use since 2013. We reject the acquisition of another drone that only expands the LAPD aerial fleet. We reject the expansion of the drones' capabilities to break windows, and we also reject use of drones in the Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT). This is the mission creep Angelenos have been warning about. I reject drones!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Sincerely,

Celeste Kessler

From: Tiana McKenna
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 12:14 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; shanemurphygoldsmith@gmail.com; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.wesson@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; tips@laist.com; cindy.chang@latimes.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; richard.winton@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 12/8/20

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Regarding item 3B on tomorrow’s agenda: The commission is voting to approve a one-month audit of Body-Worn Video (BWV) and Digital In-Car Video (DICV) that checks officers are activating cameras according to policy. We see this as a purely procedural audit that does not address that these tools are used for prosecution and surveillance of our communities. They have not stopped the violence of law enforcement, nor resulted in officer accountability.
In item 3E on "Photo Comparison Technology," the commission will review a proposed policy for LAPD use of the LASD Mugshot Database, whose "Photo Comparison Technology" is actually facial recognition technology (FRT). This is unacceptable and cannot happen! LAPD has secretly been using this type of technology since 2004. Reject LAPD’s proposed "Use of Photo Comparison Technology" policy. Implement an outright ban on LAPD use of face recognition surveillance. Publicize and investigate LAPD’s past use of face recognition surveillance in order to understand how the community has been impacted.

For item 3F, Automatic Licence Plate Readers (ALPRs) - LAPD has been using ALPR since 2004, and now wants to cover its tracks with a policy. We reject the use of ALPRs because it is data mining and tracking of our communities. It was exposed through the CA state audit that LAPD is correlating names, address, birthdate and criminal records to license plates. This ultimately tracks our every movement and must stop!

Items 3H, I, and J are regarding Small Unmanned Aerial Systems (sUAS) aka Drones - Angelenos have spoken out against the use of drones and every policy that attempts to legitimize their use since 2013. We reject the acquisition of another drone that only expands the LAPD aerial fleet. We reject the expansion of the drones' capabilities to break windows, and we also reject use of drones in the Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT). This is the mission creep Angelenos have been warning about.

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long. Those perspectives should be central to your work.

Signed,
Tiana McKenna
Los Angeles 90032

From: LAPC Fails <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 12:15 PM
To: Morgan Gi
Cc: Police Commission; Michel Moore; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; cindy.chang@latimes.com; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.wesson@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; ethics.commission@lacity.org; james.queally@latimes.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; lou@legacyla.org; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Richard Tefank; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; Eileen Decker; paul.koretz@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Richard.winton@latimes.com; shanemurphygoldsmith@gmail.com; tips@laist.com
Subject: Re: Public Comment 12/08/2020
ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Morgan,

While the “citizens voice in police affairs” Police Commissioners that Eric Garcetti has appointed certainly try their hardest to not reflect the interests of the community, and in fact continue to limit how are able to provide public comment, we appreciate your voice and comments!

Thanks so much for writing! Please do not let the inaction and dismissive nature of our city officials deter you from speaking up and speaking out!

-LAPCFails

On Dec 7, 2020, at 7:42 AM, Morgan Gi <removed> wrote:

?

Good morning,

First and foremost, I’d like to request you not limit public comment. It is important you listen to ALL constituents who’d like to be heard. So many of us take time out of our own lives to speak, and often don’t get to. We feel silenced by the Commission, and if the Commission truly wants to show that they are listening and working alongside the community, public comment should not be limited, or at the very least extended.

I’d also like to highlight the brutal LAPD response to peaceful protestors in front of the Getty House on 12/6. The police rushed a peaceful crowd full of children, elderly, at risk individuals, and even pets. They attacked legal observers who had their backs turned to them. They arrested a woman who was a medic and only trying to ensure an elderly man would not be trampled. This kind of response cannot go unpunished.
Regarding item 3B on tomorrow’s agenda: The commission is voting to approve a one-month audit of Body-Worn Video (BWV) and Digital In-Car Video (DICV) that checks officers are activating cameras according to policy. We see this as a purely procedural audit that does not address that these tools are used for prosecution and surveillance of our communities. They have not stopped the violence of law enforcement, nor resulted in officer accountability.

In item 3E on “Photo Comparison Technology,” the commission will review a proposed policy for LAPD use of the LASD Mugshot Database, whose “Photo Comparison Technology” is actually facial recognition technology (FRT). This is unacceptable and cannot happen! LAPD has secretly been using this type of technology since 2004. Reject LAPD’s proposed “Use of Photo Comparison Technology” policy. Implement an outright ban on LAPD use of face recognition surveillance. Publicize and investigate LAPD’s past use of face recognition surveillance in order to understand how the community has been impacted.

For item 3F, Automatic Licence Plate Readers (ALPRs) - LAPD has been using ALPR since 2004, and now wants to cover its tracks with a policy. We reject the use of ALPRs because it is data mining and tracking of our communities. It was exposed through the CA state audit that LAPD is correlating names, address, birthdate and criminal records to license plates. This ultimately tracks our every movement and must stop!

Items 3H, I, and J are regarding Small Unmanned Aerial Systems (sUAS) aka Drones - Angelenos have spoken out against the use of drones and every policy that attempts to legitimize their use since 2013. We reject the acquisition of another drone that only expands the LAPD aerial fleet. We reject the expansion of the drones’ capabilities to break windows, and we also reject use of drones in the Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT). This is the mission creep Angelenos have been warning about. I reject drones!

I also reiterate my demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Morgan G.

From: LAPC Fails <lapcfails@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 12:15 PM
To: Samantha Lappin
Cc: Michel Moore; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; Mike.N.Feuer@lacity.org; Richard Tefank; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; cindy.chang@latimes.com; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.wesson@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; ethics.commission@lacity.org; kevin.rector@latimes.com; lou@legacyla.org; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; Eileen Decker; paul.koretz@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Police Commission; shanemurphygoldsmith@gmail.com; tips@laist.com
Subject: Re: Public Comment 12/08/2020

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Morgan,
While the “citizens voice in police affairs” Police Commissioners that Eric Garcetti has appointed certainly try their hardest to not reflect the interests of the community, and in fact continue to limit how are able to provide public comment, we appreciate your voice and comments!

Thanks so much for writing! Please do not let the inaction and dismissive nature of our city officials deter you from speaking up and speaking out!

-LAPCFails

On Mon, Dec 7, 2020 at 7:33 AM Samantha Lappin wrote:

Commissioners:

Regarding item 3B on tomorrow’s agenda: The commission is voting to approve a one-month audit of Body-Worn Video (BWoV) and Digital In-Car Video (DICV) that checks officers are activating cameras according to policy. We see this as a purely procedural audit that does not address that these tools are used for prosecution and surveillance of our communities. They have not stopped the violence of law enforcement, nor resulted in officer accountability.

In item 3E on “Photo Comparison Technology,” the commission will review a proposed policy for LAPD use of the LASD Mugshot Database, whose “Photo Comparison Technology” is actually facial recognition technology (FRT). This is unacceptable and cannot happen! LAPD has secretly been using this type of technology since 2004. Reject LAPD’s proposed “Use of Photo Comparison Technology” policy. Implement an outright ban on LAPD use of face recognition surveillance. Publicize and investigate LAPD’s past use of face recognition surveillance in order to understand how the
community has been impacted.

For item 3F, Automatic Licence Plate Readers (ALPRs) - LAPD has been using ALPR since 2004, and now wants to cover its tracks with a policy. We reject the use of ALPRs because it is data mining and tracking of our communities. It was exposed through the CA state audit that LAPD is correlating names, address, birthdate and criminal records to license plates. This ultimately tracks our every movement and must stop!

Items 3H, I, and J are regarding Small Unmanned Aerial Systems (sUAS) aka Drones - Angelenos have spoken out against the use of drones and every policy that attempts to legitimize their use since 2013. We reject the acquisition of another drone that only expands the LAPD aerial fleet. We reject the expansion of the drones’ capabilities to break windows, and we also reject use of drones in the Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT). This is the mission creep Angelenos have been warning about. I reject drones!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,
Samantha

Sammi Lappin
Communicator & Educator
she/her

From: LAPC Fails <[redacted]>
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 12:16 PM
To: Brittany D’Amico
Cc: Michel Moore; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; cindy.chang@latimes.com; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.wessor@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; ethics.commission@lacity.org; james.queally@latimes.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; lou@legacyla.org; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Richard Tefank; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Sobotoff; Eileen Decker; paul.koretz@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Police Commission; richard.winton@latimes.com; shanemurphygoldsmith@gmail.com; tips@laist.com
Subject: Re: Public Comment 12/08/2020

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.
Hi Morgan,
While the "citizens voice in police affairs" Police Commissioners that Eric Garcetti has appointed certainly try their hardest to not reflect the interests of the community, and in fact continue to limit how are able to provide public comment, we appreciate your voice and comments!

Thanks so much for writing! Please do not let the inaction and dismissive nature of our city officials deter you from speaking up and speaking out!

-LAPCFails

On Mon, Dec 7, 2020 at 8:36 AM Brittany D'Amico <mailto:Brittany.DAmico@lapd.lacity.org> wrote:

Commissioners:

Regarding item 3B on tomorrow’s agenda: The commission is voting to approve a one-month audit of Body-Worn Video (BWV) and Digital In-Car Video (DICV) that checks officers are activating cameras according to policy. We see this as a purely procedural audit that does not address that these tools are used for prosecution and surveillance of our communities. They have not stopped the violence of law enforcement, nor resulted in officer accountability.

In item 3E on “Photo Comparison Technology,” the commission will review a proposed policy for LAPD use of the LASD Mugshot Database, whose “Photo Comparison Technology” is actually facial recognition technology (FRT). This is unacceptable and cannot happen! LAPD has secretly been using this type of technology since 2004. Reject LAPD’s proposed “Use of Photo Comparison Technology” policy. Implement an outright ban on LAPD use of face recognition surveillance. Publicize and investigate LAPD’s past use of face recognition surveillance in order to understand how the community has been impacted.

For item 3F, Automatic Licence Plate Readers (ALPRs) – LAPD has been using ALPR since 2004, and now wants to cover its tracks with a policy. We reject the use of ALPRs because it is data mining and tracking of our communities. It was exposed through the CA state audit that LAPD is correlating names, address, birthdate and criminal records to license plates. This ultimately tracks our every movement and must stop!

Items 3H, I, and J are regarding Small Unmanned Aerial Systems (sUAS) aka Drones - Angelenos have spoken out against the use of drones and every policy that attempts to legitimate their use since 2013. We reject the acquisition of another
drone that only expands the LAPD aerial fleet. We reject the expansion of the
drones' capabilities to break windows, and we also reject use of drones in the
Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT). This is the mission creep
Angelenos have been warning about. I reject drones!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your
meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary,
you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your
meetings, and for how long.

Thank you,

Brittany D'Amico

From: LAPC Fails <>
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 12:16 PM
To: Michele Wetteland
Cc: Michel Moore; Councilmember Rodriguez; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org;
Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; Mayor Helpdesk;
anaguerro@lacity.org; cindy.chang@latimes.com; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org;
councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org;
councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org;
councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org;
councilmember.wesson@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; ethics.commission@lacity.org;
james.queally@latimes.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; lou@LegacyLA.org;
mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Richard Tefank; Sandra
Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; Eileen Decker; paul.koretz@lacity.org;
paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Police Commission; richard.winton@latimes.com;
shanemurphygoldsmith@gmail.com; tips@laist.com
Subject: Re: Public Comment 12/08/2020

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open
attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Michele,

While the "citizens voice in police affairs" Police Commissioners that Eric Garcetti
has appointed certainly try their hardest to not reflect the interests of the
community, and in fact continue to limit how are able to provide public comment, we
appreciate your voice and comments!

Thanks so much for writing! Please do not let the inaction and dismissive nature of
our city officials deter you from speaking up and speaking out!
On Mon, Dec 7, 2020 at 8:53 AM Michele Wetteland wrote:

Commissioners:

Regarding item 3B on tomorrow’s agenda: The commission is voting to approve a one-month audit of Body-Worn Video (BWV) and Digital In-Car Video (DICV) that checks officers are activating cameras according to policy. We see this as a purely procedural audit that does not address that these tools are used for prosecution and surveillance of Los Angeles communities. BWV and DICV have not stopped the violence of law enforcement, nor resulted in officer accountability.

In item 3E on “Photo Comparison Technology,” the commission will review a proposed policy for LAPD use of the LASD Mugshot Database, whose “Photo Comparison Technology” is actually facial recognition technology (FRT). This is unacceptable and cannot happen! LAPD has secretly been using this type of technology since 2004. Please acknowledge this fact accurately and publicly in your commission meeting and reject LAPD’s proposed “Use of Photo Comparison Technology” policy. Implement an outright ban on LAPD use of face recognition surveillance. Please publicize and investigate LAPD’s past use of face recognition surveillance in order to understand how Angelenos have been impacted and traumatized by its use.

For item 3F, Automatic License Plate Readers (ALPRs) - LAPD has been using ALPR since 2004, and now wants to cover its tracks with a policy. We reject the use of ALPRs because it is data mining and tracking of individuals. It was exposed through the CA state audit that LAPD is correlating names, address, birthdate and criminal records to license plates. This ultimately tracks a person’s every movement and must stop!

Items 3H, I, and J are regarding Small Unmanned Aerial Systems (sUAS) aka Drones - Angelenos have spoken out against the use of drones and every policy that attempts to legitimize their use since 2013. We reject the acquisition of another drone that only expands the LAPD aerial fleet. We reject the expansion of the drones’ capabilities to break windows, and we also reject use of drones in the Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT). This is the mission creep Angelenos have been warning about. I reject our tax dollars being used for drones, further stripping constitutional rights and freedoms of those in Los Angeles.

I also request that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes and begin a transparency of who is in queue. You are
silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long. Transparency and accountability are needed for the LAPC processes for virtual public comment. Your failure in this transparency is unacceptable and easily rectified.

Signed,

Michele Wetteland
pronouns: she/her

From: LAPC Fails <[redacted]>
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 12:16 PM
To: Danielle Carne
Cc: Michel Moore; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; cindy.chang@latimes.com; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriquez@lacity.org; councilmember.wesson@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; ethics.commission@lacity.org; james.queally@latimes.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; lou@legacyla.org; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Richard Tefank; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; Eileen Decker; paul.koretz@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Police Commission; richard.winton@latimes.com; shanemurphygoldsmith@gmail.com; tips@laist.com
Subject: Re: Public Comment 12/08/2020

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Danielle,
While the “citizens voice in police affairs” Police Commissioners that Eric Garcetti has appointed certainly try their hardest to not reflect the interests of the community, and in fact continue to limit how are able to provide public comment, we appreciate your voice and comments!

Thanks so much for writing! Please do not let the inaction and dismissive nature of our city officials deter you from speaking up and speaking out!

-LAPCFails

On Mon, Dec 7, 2020 at 8:21 AM Danielle Carne <[redacted]> wrote:
I am writing today to uplift the demands of Black Lives Matter

Regarding item 3B on tomorrow’s agenda: The commission is voting to approve a one-month audit of Body-Worn Video (BWV) and Digital In-Car Video (DICV) that checks officers are activating cameras according to policy. We see this as a purely procedural audit that does not address that these tools are used for prosecution and surveillance of our communities. They have not stopped the violence of law enforcement, nor resulted in officer accountability.

In item 3E on “Photo Comparison Technology,” the commission will review a proposed policy for LAPD use of the LASD Mugshot Database, whose “Photo Comparison Technology” is actually facial recognition technology (FRT). This is unacceptable and cannot happen! LAPD has secretly been using this type of technology since 2004. Reject LAPD’s proposed “Use of Photo Comparison Technology” policy. Implement an outright ban on LAPD use of face recognition surveillance. Publicize and investigate LAPD’s past use of face recognition surveillance in order to understand how the community has been impacted.

For item 3F, Automatic Licence Plate Readers (ALPRs) - LAPD has been using ALPR since 2004, and now wants to cover its tracks with a policy. We reject the use of ALPRs because it is data mining and tracking of our communities. It was exposed through the CA state audit that LAPD is correlating names, address, birthdate and criminal records to license plates. This ultimately tracks our every movement and must stop!

Items 3H, I, and J are regarding Small Unmanned Aerial Systems (sUAS) aka Drones - Angelenos have spoken out against the use of drones and every policy that attempts to legitimize their use since 2013. We reject the acquisition of another drone that only expands the LAPD aerial fleet. We reject the expansion of the drones’ capabilities to break windows, and we also reject use of drones in the Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT). This is the mission creep Angelenos have been warning about. I reject drones!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.
Signed,

Danielle Carne

From: LAPC Fails <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 12:16 PM
To: Zach Sherwin
Cc: Michel Moore; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; Queally, James; Richard Tefank; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; cindy.chang@latimes.com; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.wesson@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; ethics.commission@lacity.org; kevin.rector@latimes.com; lou@legacyla.org; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; Eileen Decker; paul.koretz@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Police Commission; richard.winton@latimes.com; shanemurphygoldsmith@gmail.com; tips@laist.com
Subject: Re: Public Comment 12/08/2020

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Zach,

While the “citizens voice in police affairs” Police Commissioners that Eric Garcetti has appointed certainly try their hardest to not reflect the interests of the community, and in fact continue to limit how are able to provide public comment, we appreciate your voice and comments!

Thanks so much for writing! Please do not let the inaction and dismissive nature of our city officials deter you from speaking up and speaking out!

-LAPCFails

On Mon, Dec 7, 2020 at 9:01 AM Zach Sherwin <[REDACTED] > wrote:

Commissioners:

Regarding item 3B on tomorrow’s agenda: The commission is voting to approve a one-month audit of Body-Worn Video (BWV) and Digital In-Car Video (DICV) that checks officers are activating cameras according to policy. We see this as a purely procedural audit that does not address that these tools are used for prosecution and surveillance of our communities. They have not stopped the violence of law enforcement, nor resulted in officer accountability.
In item 3E on “Photo Comparison Technology,” the commission will review a proposed policy for LAPD use of the LASD Mugshot Database, whose “Photo Comparison Technology” is actually facial recognition technology (FRT). This is unacceptable and cannot happen! LAPD has secretly been using this type of technology since 2004. Reject LAPD’s proposed “Use of Photo Comparison Technology” policy. Implement an outright ban on LAPD use of face recognition surveillance. Publicize and investigate LAPD’s past use of face recognition surveillance in order to understand how the community has been impacted.

For item 3F, Automatic Licence Plate Readers (ALPRs) - LAPD has been using ALPR since 2004, and now wants to cover its tracks with a policy. We reject the use of ALPRs because it is data mining and tracking of our communities. It was exposed through the CA state audit that LAPD is correlating names, address, birthdate and criminal records to license plates. This ultimately tracks our every movement and must stop!

Items 3H, I, and J are regarding Small Unmanned Aerial Systems (SUAS) aka Drones - Angelenos have spoken out against the use of drones and every policy that attempts to legitimize their use since 2013. We reject the acquisition of another drone that only expands the LAPD aerial fleet. We reject the expansion of the drones’ capabilities to break windows, and we also reject use of drones in the Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT). This is the mission creep Angelenos have been warning about. I reject drones!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Disgustedly,
Zach Sherwin

From: LAPC Fails <[redacted]>
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 12:17 PM
To: Sophie Neff
Cc: Michel Moore; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; Gilbert Cedillo; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; cindy.chang@latimes.com; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.wesson@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; ethics.commission@lacity.org; james.queally@latimes.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; lou@legacyla.org; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Richard Tefank; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Sobooff; Eileen Decker; paul.koretz@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Police Commission; richard.winton@latimes.com; shanemurphygoldsmith@gmail.com; tips@laist.com
Subject: Re: That Juicy Juicy Public Comment 12/08/2020
ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Sophie,
While the “citizens voice in police affairs” Police Commissioners that Eric Garcetti has appointed certainly try their hardest to not reflect the interests of the community, and in fact continue to limit how are able to provide public comment, we appreciate your voice and comments!

Thanks so much for writing! Please do not let the inaction and dismissive nature of our city officials deter you from speaking up and speaking out!

-LAPCFails

On Mon, Dec 7, 2020 at 9:04 AM Sophie Neff <mailto:__________________________________________________________> wrote:

Hello hello,

Tell LAPD to stop surveilling, tracking, and harassing our community members!

Item 3E: REJECT the LAPD's request to use facial recognition surveillance.

3H, I, and J: no more drones!! And certainly not drones capable of breaking windows aka traumatizing citizens and destroying property.

Please do not allow the LAPD to expand their surveillance capabilities (reject 3B and 3F as well!) As us Angelinos have stated time and time again, we must redistribute wealth and resources away from police and towards community care. That all starts here. Please do your jobs and listen to the people (which includes allowing us more time for public comment!!)

Sophie

--

Sophie Neff
she/her/hers

From:   LAPC Fails <mailto:__________________________________________>
Sent:   Monday, December 7, 2020 12:17 PM
To: Thea Rodgers
Cc: Michel Moore; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Councilmember.lee@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; cindy.chang@latimes.com; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.wesson@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; ethics.commission@lacity.org; james.queally@latimes.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; lou@legacyla.org; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; mke.n.feuer@lacity.org; Richard Tefank; Sandra-Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; Eileen Decker; paul.koretz@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Police Commission; richard.winton@latimes.com; shanemurphygoldsmith@gmail.com; tips@laist.com
Subject: Re: Public Comment 12/08/2020

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Thea,

While the “citizens voice in police affairs” Police Commissioners that Eric Garcetti has appointed certainly try their hardest to not reflect the interests of the community, and in fact continue to limit how are able to provide public comment, we appreciate your voice and comments!

Thanks so much for writing! Please do not let the inaction and dismissive nature of our city officials deter you from speaking up and speaking out!

-LAPCFails

On Mon, Dec 7, 2020 at 9:10 AM Thea Rodgers <mailto:thea.rogers@lacity.org> wrote:

Commissioners,

My name is Thea Rodgers and I’m writing from Los Angeles CD13.

Regarding item 3B on tomorrow’s agenda: The commission is voting to approve a one-month audit of Body-Worn Video (BwV) and Digital In-Car Video (DICV) that checks officers are activating cameras according to policy. We see this as a purely procedural audit that does not address that these tools are used for prosecution and surveillance of our communities. They have not stopped the violence of law enforcement, nor resulted in officer accountability, as proven by cell phone videos of LAPD beating peaceful BLM protesters with their batons on Sunday in front of Mayor Garcetti’s house.

In item 3E on “Photo Comparison Technology,” the commission will review a proposed policy for LAPD use of the LASD Mugshot Database, whose "Photo Comparison
Technology” is actually facial recognition technology (FRT). This is unacceptable and cannot happen! Reject LAPD’s proposed “Use of Photo Comparison Technology” policy. Implement an outright ban on LAPD use of face recognition surveillance. Publicize and investigate LAPD’s past use of face recognition surveillance in order to understand how the community has been impacted.

For item 3F, Automatic Licence Plate Readers (ALPRs) - LAPD has been using ALPR since 2004, and now wants to cover its tracks with a policy. We reject the use of ALPRs because it is data mining and tracking of our communities. It was exposed through the CA state audit that LAPD is correlating names, address, birthdate and criminal records to license plates. This ultimately tracks our every movement and must stop.

Items 3H, I, and J are regarding Small Unmanned Aerial Systems (sUAS) aka Drones - Angelenos have spoken out against the use of drones and every policy that attempts to legitimize their use since 2013. We reject the acquisition of another drone that only expands the LAPD aerial fleet. We reject the expansion of the drones’ capabilities to break windows, and we also reject use of drones in the Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT). This is the mission creep Angelenos have been warning about. I reject drones!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Finally, my social media feeds have been flooded with images and videos of the LAPD brutalizing peaceful protesters on Sunday 6 December. It is appalling that this behavior has continued not only through the summer but through the entire history of the LAPD’s reign and more importantly, through your terms on this commission. What are you doing to stop this horrific pattern of police brutality in Los Angeles? If oversight is within your job description, your inaction condones this violence.

Signed,
--
Thea Rodgers

From: LAPC Fails <LAPC Fails>
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 12:17 PM
To: Margaret Starbuck
Cc: Michel Moore; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; cindy.chang@latimes.com; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org;
Hi Margaret,
While the "citizens voice in police affairs" Police Commissioners that Eric Garcetti has appointed certainly try their hardest to not reflect the interests of the community, and in fact continue to limit how are able to provide public comment, we appreciate your voice and comments!

Thanks so much for writing! Please do not let the inaction and dismissive nature of our city officials deter you from speaking up and speaking out!

-LAPCFails

On Mon, Dec 7, 2020 at 9:17 AM Margaret Starbuck wrote:

Commissioners:

Regarding item 3B on tomorrow's agenda: The commission is voting to approve a one-month audit of Body-Worn Video (BWV) and Digital In-Car Video (DICV) that checks officers are activating cameras according to policy. We see this as a purely procedural audit that does not address that these tools are used for prosecution and surveillance of our communities. They have not stopped the violence of law enforcement, nor resulted in officer accountability.

In item 3E on "Photo Comparison Technology," the commission will review a proposed policy for LAPD use of the LASD Mugshot Database, whose "Photo Comparison Technology" is actually facial recognition technology (FRT). Countless studies have documented that FRT exacerbates racial bias, it is also a massive invasion of privacy and a terrifying state surveillance tool used by fascist governments. This is unacceptable and cannot happen! LAPD has secretly been using this type of technology since 2004. Reject LAPD's proposed "Use of Photo Comparison Technology"
policy. Implement an outright ban on LAPD use of face recognition surveillance. Publicize and investigate LAPD's past use of face recognition surveillance in order to understand how the community has been impacted.

For item 3F, Automatic Licence Plate Readers (ALPRs) - LAPD has been using ALPR since 2004, and now wants to cover its tracks with a policy. We reject the use of ALPRs because it is data mining and tracking of our communities. It was exposed through the CA state audit that LAPD is correlating names, address, birthdate and criminal records to license plates. This ultimately tracks our every movement and must stop!

Items 3H, I, and J are regarding Small Unmanned Aerial Systems (sUAS) aka Drones - Angelenos have spoken out against the use of drones and every policy that attempts to legitimize their use since 2013. We reject the acquisition of another drone that only expands the LAPD aerial fleet. We reject the expansion of the drones' capabilities to break windows, and we also reject use of drones in the Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT). This is the mission creep Angelenos have been warning about. Stop wasting money on robots that will only further dehumanize and terrorize Angelenos. I reject drones!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, this has been going on for too long.

Signed,

Margaret Starbuck

From: LAPC Fails <LAPC Fails>
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 12:18 PM
To: Alina Medelson
Cc: Michel Moore; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; cindy.chang@latimes.com; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.wesson@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; ethics.commission@lacity.org; james.queally@latimes.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; lou@legacyla.org; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Richard Tefank; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; Eileen Decker; paul.koretz@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Police Commission; richard.winton@latimes.com; shanemurphygoldsmith@gmail.com; tips@laist.com
Subject: Re: Public Comment 12/08/2020

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Alina,
While the “citizens voice in police affairs” Police Commissioners that Eric Garcetti has appointed certainly try their hardest to not reflect the interests of the community, and in fact continue to limit how are able to provide public comment, we appreciate your voice and comments!

Thanks so much for writing! Please do not let the inaction and dismissive nature of our city officials deter YOU from speaking up and speaking out!

-LAPCFails

On Mon, Dec 7, 2020 at 9:37 AM Alina Medelson wrote:

Commissioners:

Regarding item 3B on tomorrow’s agenda: The commission is voting to approve a one-month audit of Body-Worn Video (BWV) and Digital In-Car Video (DICV) that checks officers are activating cameras according to policy. We see this as a purely procedural audit that does not address that these tools are used for prosecution and surveillance of our communities. They have not stopped the violence of law enforcement, nor resulted in officer accountability.

In item 3E on “Photo Comparison Technology,” the commission will review a proposed policy for LAPD use of LASD Mugshot Database, whose “Photo Comparison Technology” is actually facial recognition technology (FRT). This is unacceptable and cannot happen! LAPD has secretly been using this type of technology since 2004. Reject LAPD’s proposed “Use of Photo Comparison Technology” policy. Implement an outright ban on LAPD use of face recognition surveillance. Publicize and investigate LAPD’s past use of face recognition surveillance in order to understand how the community has been impacted.

For item 3F, Automatic Licence Plate Readers (ALPRs) - LAPD has been using ALPR since 2004, and now wants to cover its tracks with a policy. We reject the use of ALPRs because it is data mining and tracking of our communities. It was exposed through the CA state audit that LAPD is correlating names, address, birthdate and criminal records to license plates. This ultimately tracks our every movement and must stop!

Items 3H, I, and J are regarding Small Unmanned Aerial Systems (sUAS) aka Drones - Angelenos have spoken out against the use of drones and every policy that attempts to legitimize their use since 2013. We reject the acquisition of another drone that only expands the LAPD aerial fleet. We reject the expansion of the
drones’ capabilities to break windows, and we also reject use of drones in the
Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT). This is the mission creep
Angelenos have been warning about. I reject drones!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your
meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary,
you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your
meetings, and for how long.

Signed,
Alina

--

--

Alina Medelson - they/them
Program Facilitator - LAVLU

From: LAPC Fails <redacted>
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 12:18 PM
To: Jessica Craven
Cc: Police Commission
Subject: Re: Public Comment for December 8, 2020

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open
attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Jessica,
While the “citizens voice in police affairs” Police Commissioners that Eric Garcetti
has appointed certainly try their hardest to not reflect the interests of the
community, and in fact continue to limit how are able to provide public comment, we
appreciate your voice and comments!

Thanks so much for writing! Please do not let the inaction and dismissive nature of
our city officials deter you from speaking up and speaking out!

-LAPCFails

On Mon, Dec 7, 2020 at 9:39 AM Jessica Craven <redacted>
<mailto:redacted> wrote:

Dear Commissioners:
I live in Mt. Washington, and am a long-time Los Angeles resident. I wanted to send a few comments for tomorrow's agenda.

Item 3B: The commission is voting to approve a one-month audit of Body-Worn Video (BWV) and Digital In-Car Video (DICV) that checks to make sure that officers are activating cameras according to policy. We see this as a purely procedural audit that does not address that these tools are used for prosecution and surveillance of our communities. They have not stopped the violence of law enforcement, nor resulted in officer accountability.

Item 3E: on “Photo Comparison Technology,” the commission will review a proposed policy for LAPD use of the LASD Mugshot Database, whose “Photo Comparison Technology” is actually facial recognition technology (FRT). This is unacceptable and cannot happen! LAPD has secretly been using this type of technology since 2004. Please reject LAPD’s proposed “Use of Photo Comparison Technology” policy. Instead, please implement an outright ban on LAPD use of face recognition surveillance. Publicize and investigate LAPD’s past use of face recognition surveillance in order to understand how the community has been impacted.

Item 3F: Automatic License Plate Readers (ALPRs) - LAPD has been using ALPR since 2004, and now wants to cover its tracks with a policy. We reject the use of ALPRs because it is data mining and tracking of our communities. It was exposed through the CA state audit that LAPD is correlating names, address, birthdate and criminal records to license plates. This ultimately tracks our every movement and must stop!

Items 3H, I, and J are regarding Small Unmanned Aerial Systems (sUAS) aka Drones - Angelenos have spoken out against the use of drones and every policy that attempts to legitimize their use since 2013. We reject the acquisition of another drone that only expands the LAPD aerial fleet. We reject the expansion of the drones' capabilities to break windows, and we also reject use of drones in the Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT). This is the mission creep Angelenos have been warning about. I reject drones! I also reject the militarization of our police force.

Finally, please stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,
Jessica Craven  
Los Angeles, CA 90065  

Our work isn't over. Hope is an ACTION.

From: LAPC Fails  
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 12:19 PM  
To: Madeline Seales  
Cc: Michel Moore; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; cindy.chang@latimes.com; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.wesson@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; ethics.commission@lacity.org; james.queally@latimes.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; lou@legacyla.org; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Richard Tefank; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; Eileen Decker; paul.koretz@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Police Commission; richard.winton@latimes.com; shanemurphygoldsmith@gmail.com; tips@laist.com  
Subject: Re: Public Comment 12/08/2020

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Madeline,

While the “citizens voice in police affairs” Police Commissioners that Eric Garcetti has appointed certainly try their hardest to not reflect the interests of the community, and in fact continue to limit how are able to provide public comment, we appreciate your voice and comments!

Thanks so much for writing! Please do not let the inaction and dismissive nature of our city officials deter you from speaking up and speaking out!

-LAPCFails

On Mon, Dec 7, 2020 at 10:25 AM Madeline Seales wrote:

Commissioners:

Regarding item 3B on tomorrow's agenda: The commission is voting to approve a one-month audit of Body-Worn Video (BWV) and Digital In-Car Video (DICV) that checks officers are activating cameras according to policy. We see this as a purely procedural audit that does not address that these tools are used for prosecution and surveillance of our communities. They have not stopped the violence of law
enforcement, nor resulted in officer accountability.

In item 3E on “Photo Comparison Technology,” the commission will review a proposed policy for LAPD use of the LASD Mugshot Database, whose “Photo Comparison Technology” is actually facial recognition technology (FRT). This is unacceptable and cannot happen! LAPD has secretly been using this type of technology since 2004. Reject LAPD’s proposed “Use of Photo Comparison Technology” policy. Implement an outright ban on LAPD use of face recognition surveillance. Publicize and investigate LAPD’s past use of face recognition surveillance in order to understand how the community has been impacted.

For item 3F, Automatic Licence Plate Readers (ALPRs) - LAPD has been using ALPR since 2004, and now wants to cover its tracks with a policy. We reject the use of ALPRs because it is data mining and tracking of our communities. It was exposed through the CA state audit that LAPD is correlating names, address, birthdate and criminal records to license plates. This ultimately tracks our every movement and must stop!

Items 3H, I, and J are regarding Small Unmanned Aerial Systems (sUAS) aka Drones - Angelenos have spoken out against the use of drones and every policy that attempts to legitimize their use since 2013. We reject the acquisition of another drone that only expands the LAPD aerial fleet. We reject the expansion of the drones’ capabilities to break windows, and we also reject use of drones in the Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT). This is the mission creep Angelenos have been warning about. I reject drones!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Maddie Seales

From: LAPC Fails <E-mail_address>
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 12:19 PM
To: Jewell Karinen
Cc: Michel Moore; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; Mayor Garcetti; Mayor Helpdesk; Mitch O'Farrell; Police Commission; Richard Tefank; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; cindy.chang@latimes.com; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org;
Hi Jewell,

While the “citizens voice in police affairs” Police Commissioners that Eric Garcetti has appointed certainly try their hardest to not reflect the interests of the community, and in fact continue to limit how are able to provide public comment, we appreciate your voice and comments!

Thanks so much for writing! Please do not let the inaction and dismissive nature of our city officials deter you from speaking up and speaking out!

-LAPCFails

On Mon, Dec 7, 2020 at 10:33 AM Jewell Karinen wrote:

Item 3B on 12/08/2020: The commission is voting to approve a one-month audit of Body-Worn Video (BWV) and Digital In-Car Video (DICV) that checks officers are activating cameras according to policy.

We see this as a purely procedural audit that does not address that these tools are used for prosecution and surveillance of our communities. They have not stopped the violence of law enforcement, nor resulted in officer accountability.

Item 3E on 12/08/2020: On “Photo Comparison Technology,” the commission will review a proposed policy for LAPD use of the LASD Mugshot Database, whose “Photo Comparison Technology” is actually facial recognition technology (FRT).

Our communities actively and vehemently oppose this "Photo Comparison Technology." LAPD has secretly been using this type of technology since 2004. Reject LAPD's proposed “Use of Photo Comparison Technology” policy.

There must be an outright ban on LAPD use of face recognition surveillance. Publicize and investigate LAPD’s past use of face recognition surveillance in order to understand how the community has been impacted.

Item 3F on 12/08/2020: Automatic Licence Plate Readers (ALPRs) - LAPD has
been using ALPR since 2004, and now wants to cover its tracks with a policy. We reject the use of ALPRs because it is data mining and tracking of our communities. It was exposed through the CA state audit that LAPD is correlating names, address, birthdate and criminal records to license plates. This ultimately tracks our every movement and must stop!

Items 3Hon 12/08/2020 I, and J: Regarding Small Unmanned Aerial Systems (sUAS) aka Drones - Angelenos have spoken out against the use of drones and every policy that attempts to legitimize their use since 2013. We reject the acquisition of another drone that only expands the LAPD aerial fleet.

We reject the expansion of the drones’ capabilities to break windows, and we also reject use of drones in the Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT). This is the mission creep Angelenos have been warning about. I reject drones!

I demand that you significantly expand the public comment period at your meetings. Despite your weekly exclamations (lies) to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

From: LAPC Fails [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 12:19 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: Michel Moore; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; cindy.chang@latimes.com; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.wesson@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; ethics.commission@lacity.org; james.queally@latimes.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; lou@LegacyLA.org; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Richard Tefank; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; Eileen Decker; paul.koretz@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Police Commission; richard.winton@latimes.com; shanemurphygoldsmith@gmail.com; tips@laist.com
Subject: Re: Public Comment 12/08/2020

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Brian,
While the “citizens voice in police affairs” Police Commissioners that Eric Garcetti has appointed certainly try their hardest to not reflect the interests of the community, and in fact continue to limit how are able to provide public comment, we appreciate your voice and comments!

Thanks so much for writing! Please do not let the inaction and dismissive nature of our city officials deter you from speaking up and speaking out!
-LAPCbreaks

On Mon, Dec 7, 2020 at 10:48 AM <mailto:********> wrote:

Commissioners:

Regarding item 3B on tomorrow’s agenda: The commission is voting to approve a one-month audit of Body-Worn Video (BWV) and Digital In-Car Video (DICV) that checks officers are activating cameras according to policy. We see this as a purely procedural audit that does not address that these tools are used for prosecution and surveillance of our communities. They have not stopped the violence of law enforcement, nor resulted in officer accountability.

In item 3E on “Photo Comparison Technology,” the commission will review a proposed policy for LAPD use of the LASD Mugshot Database, whose “Photo Comparison Technology” is actually facial recognition technology (FRT). This is unacceptable and cannot happen! LAPD has secretly been using this type of technology since 2004. Re却 LAPD’s proposed “Use of Photo Comparison Technology” policy. Implement an outright ban on LAPD use of face recognition surveillance. Publicize and investigate LAPD’s past use of face recognition surveillance in order to understand how the community has been impacted.

For item 3F, Automatic Licence Plate Readers (ALPRs) - LAPD has been using ALPR since 2004, and now wants to cover its tracks with a policy. We reject the use of ALPRs because it is data mining and tracking of our communities. It was exposed through the CA state audit that LAPD is correlating names, address, birthdate and criminal records to license plates. This ultimately tracks our every movement and must stop!

Items 3H, I, and J are regarding Small Unmanned Aerial Systems (sUAS) aka Drones - Angelenos have spoken out against the use of drones and every policy that attempts to legitimize their use since 2013. We reject the acquisition of another drone that only expands the LAPD aerial fleet. We reject the expansion of the drones’ capabilities to break windows, and we also reject use of drones in the Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT). This is the mission creep Angelenos have been warning about. I reject drones!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.
Signed,

Bryan Ziadie

From: LAPC Fails <redacted>
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 12:19 PM
To: Jessica Ferretti
Cc: Michel Moore; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; cindy.chang@latimes.com; councilmember.bonis@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.wesson@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; ethics.commission@lacity.org; james.queally@latimes.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; lou@legacyla.org; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Richard Tefank; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; Eileen Decker; paul.koretz@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Police Commission; richard.winton@latimes.com; shamemurphygoldsmith@gmail.com; tips@laist.com
Subject: Re: Public Comment 12/08/2020

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Jessica,
While the “citizens voice in police affairs” Police Commissioners that Eric Garcetti has appointed certainly try their hardest to not reflect the interests of the community, and in fact continue to limit how are able to provide public comment, we appreciate your voice and comments!

Thanks so much for writing! Please do not let the inaction and dismissive nature of our city officials deter you from speaking up and speaking out!

-LAPCFails

On Mon, Dec 7, 2020 at 10:58 AM Jessica Ferretti <redacted> wrote:

Dear Commissioners,

Please consider these important statements since we are unable to attend the commission in person.
Regarding item 3B on tomorrow's agenda:

The commission is voting to approve a one-month audit of Body-Worn Video (BWV) and Digital In-Car Video (DICV) that checks officers are activating cameras according to policy. We see this as a purely procedural audit that does not address that these tools are used for prosecution and surveillance of our communities. They have not stopped the violence of law enforcement, nor resulted in officer accountability.

In item 3E on “Photo Comparison Technology,” the commission will review a proposed policy for LAPD use of the LASD Mugshot Database, whose "Photo Comparison Technology" is actually facial recognition technology (FRT). This is unacceptable and cannot happen! LAPD has secretly been using this type of technology since 2004. Reject LAPD’s proposed “Use of Photo Comparison Technology” policy. Implement an outright ban on LAPD use of face recognition surveillance. Publicize and investigate LAPD’s past use of face recognition surveillance in order to understand how the community has been impacted.

For item 3F, Automatic Licence Plate Readers (ALPRs) - LAPD has been using ALPR since 2004, and now wants to cover its tracks with a policy. We reject the use of ALPRs because it is data mining and tracking of our communities. It was exposed through the CA state audit that LAPD is correlating names, address, birthdate and criminal records to license plates. This ultimately tracks our every movement and must stop!

Items 3H, I, and J are regarding Small Unmanned Aerial Systems (sUAS) aka Drones - Angelenos have spoken out against the use of drones and every policy that attempts to legitimize their use since 2013. We reject the acquisition of another drone that only expands the LAPD aerial fleet. We reject the expansion of the drones' capabilities to break windows, and we also reject use of drones in the Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT). This is the mission creep Angelenos have been warning about. I reject drones!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.
Thank you,

Jessica Ferretti

From: LAPC Fails <xxxxx>
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 12:20 PM
To: Rebecca Veith
Cc: Michel Moore; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; Mayor Garcetti; Mayor Helpdesk; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; cindy.chang@latimes.com; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.kevin.deleon@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.wesson@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; ethics.commission@lacity.org; james.queally@latimes.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; lou@legacyla.org; mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Richard Tefank; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Sobotoff; Eileen Decker; paul.koretz@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Police Commission; richard.winton@latimes.com; shanemurphygoldsmith@gmail.com; tips@laist.com
Subject: Re: Public Comment 12/08/2020

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Rebecca,

While the "citizens voice in police affairs" Police Commissioners that Eric Garcetti has appointed certainly try their hardest to not reflect the interests of the community, and in fact continue to limit how are able to provide public comment, we appreciate your voice and comments!

Thanks so much for writing! Please do not let the inaction and dismissive nature of our city officials deter you from speaking up and speaking out!

-LAPC Fails

On Mon, Dec 7, 2020 at 11:07 AM Rebecca Veith <xxxxx> wrote:

To the commissioners,

I am taking the time to write a public comment that I hope you take the time to read and acknowledge in regards to item 3B on tomorrow’s agenda:

The commission is voting to approve a one-month audit of Body-Worn Video (BWV) and Digital In-Car Video (DICV) that checks officers are activating cameras
according to policy. We see this as a purely procedural audit that does not address that these tools are used for prosecution and surveillance of our communities. They have not stopped the violence of law enforcement, nor resulted in officer accountability. Why are we continuing to invest in things that do not work?

In item 3E on “Photo Comparison Technology,” the commission will review a proposed policy for LAPD use of the LASD Mugshot Database, whose “Photo Comparison Technology” is actually facial recognition technology (FRT). This is unacceptable and cannot happen! LAPD has secretly been using this type of technology since 2004. Reject LAPD’s proposed “Use of Photo Comparison Technology” policy. Implement an outright ban on LAPD use of face recognition surveillance. Publicize and investigate LAPD’s past use of face recognition surveillance in order to understand how the community has been impacted.

For item 3F, Automatic Licence Plate Readers (ALPRs) - LAPD has been using ALPR since 2004, and now wants to cover its tracks with a policy. We reject the use of ALPRs because it is data mining and tracking of our communities. It was exposed through the CA state audit that LAPD is correlating names, address, birthdate and criminal records to license plates. This ultimately tracks our every movement and must stop! It should worry you.

Items 3H, I, and J are regarding Small Unmanned Aerial Systems (sUAS) aka Drones - Angelenos have spoken out against the use of drones and every policy that attempts to legitimize their use since 2013. We reject the acquisition of another drone that only expands the LAPD aerial fleet. We reject the expansion of the drones’ capabilities to break windows, and we also reject use of drones in the Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT). This is the mission creep Angelenos have been warning about. I reject drones!

And lastly, I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,
Rebecca Veith

From: LAPC Fails <boyan@lacity.org>
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 12:20 PM
To: Hannah Gibson
Cc: Michel Moore; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; cindy.chang@latimes.com; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org;
Hi Hannah,

While the "citizens voice in police affairs" Police Commissioners that Eric Garcetti has appointed certainly try their hardest to not reflect the interests of the community, and in fact continue to limit how are able to provide public comment, we appreciate your voice and comments!

Thanks so much for writing! Please do not let the inaction and dismissive nature of our city officials deter you from speaking up and speaking out!

-LAPCFails

On Mon, Dec 7, 2020 at 11:13 AM Hannah Gibson <mailto:******@*********> wrote:

Commissioners:

Regarding item 3B - approving a one-month audit of Body-Worn Video (BWV) and Digital In-Car Video (DICV):
I see this as a purely procedural audit that does not address that these tools are used for prosecution and surveillance of our communities. They have not stopped the violence of law enforcement, nor resulted in officer accountability.

Regarding item 3E - Photo Comparison Technology:
The LASD Mugshot Database’s "Photo Comparison Technology" is actually facial recognition technology (FRT). This is unacceptable and cannot happen! LAPD has secretly been using this type of technology since 2004. Reject LAPD’s proposed “Use of Photo Comparison Technology” policy. Implement an outright ban on LAPD use of face recognition surveillance. Publicize and investigate LAPD’s past use of face recognition surveillance in order to understand how the community has been impacted.

Regarding item 3F- Automatic Licence Plate Readers (ALPRs):
LAPD has been using ALPR since 2004, and now wants to cover its tracks with a policy. I reject the use of ALPRs because it is data mining and tracking of our communities. It was exposed through the CA state audit that LAPD is correlating
names, address, birthdate and criminal records to license plates. This ultimately tracks our every movement and must stop!

Regarding items 3H, I, and J - Small Unmanned Aerial Systems (sUAS) aka Drones:
Angelenos have spoken out against the use of drones and every policy that attempts to legitimize their use since 2013. I reject the acquisition of another drone that only expands the LAPD aerial fleet. I reject the expansion of the drones’ capabilities to break windows, and also reject use of drones in the Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT). This is the mission creep Angelenos have been warning about. I reject drones!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,
Hannah Gibson

90032

From: LAPC Fails <blank>
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 12:20 PM
To: Erin Ashby
Cc: Michel Moore; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; cindy.chang@latimes.com; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.wesson@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; ethics.commission@lacity.org; james.queally@latimes.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; lou@legacyla.org; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Richard Tefank; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; Eileen Decker; paul.koretz@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Police Commission; richard.winton@latimes.com; shanemurphygoldsmith@gmail.com; tips@laist.com
Subject: Re: Public Comment 12/08/2020

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Erin,
While the “citizens voice in police affairs” Police Commissioners that Eric Garcetti has appointed certainly try their hardest to not reflect the interests of the community, and in fact continue to limit how are able to provide public comment, we appreciate your voice and comments!
Thanks so much for writing! Please do not let the inaction and dismissive nature of our city officials deter you from speaking up and speaking out!

-LAPCFails

On Mon, Dec 7, 2020 at 11:08 AM Erin Ashby wrote:

Commissioners:

Regarding item 3B on tomorrow’s agenda: The commission is voting to approve a one-month audit of Body-Worn Video (BWV) and Digital In-Car Video (DICV) that checks officers are activating cameras according to policy. We see this as a purely procedural audit that does not address that these tools are used for prosecution and surveillance of our communities. They have not stopped the violence of law enforcement, nor resulted in officer accountability.

In item 3E on “Photo Comparison Technology,” the commission will review a proposed policy for LAPD use of the LASD Mugshot Database, whose “Photo Comparison Technology” is actually facial recognition technology (FRT). This is unacceptable and cannot happen! LAPD has secretly been using this type of technology since 2004. Reject LAPD’s proposed “Use of Photo Comparison Technology” policy. Implement an outright ban on LAPD use of face recognition surveillance. Publicize and investigate LAPD’s past use of face recognition surveillance in order to understand how the community has been impacted.

For item 3F, Automatic Licence Plate Readers (ALPRs) - LAPD has been using ALPR since 2004, and now wants to cover its tracks with a policy. We reject the use of ALPRs because it is data mining and tracking of our communities. It was exposed through the CA state audit that LAPD is correlating names, address, birthdate and criminal records to license plates. This ultimately tracks our every movement and must stop!

Items 3H, I, and J are regarding Small Unmanned Aerial Systems (sUAS) aka Drones - Angelenos have spoken out against the use of drones and every policy that attempts to legitimize their use since 2013. We reject the acquisition of another drone that only expands the LAPD aerial fleet. We reject the expansion of the drones’ capabilities to break windows, and we also reject use of drones in the Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT). This is the mission creep Angelenos have been warning about. I reject drones!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary,
you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Erin Ashby

From: LAPC Fails <redacted>
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 12:21 PM
To: Bethany Heykoop
Cc: Michel Moore; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; cindy.chang@latimes.com; councilmember.boni@lacity.org; councilmember.buscalino@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.owarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.wesson@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; ethics.commission@lacity.org; james.queally@latimes.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; lou@LegacyLA.org; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Richard Tefank; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; Eileen Decker; paul.koretz@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Police Commission; richard.winton@latimes.com; shanemurphygoldsmith@gmail.com; tips@laist.com
Subject: Re: Public Comment 12/8/2020

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Bethany,

While the “citizens voice in police affairs” Police Commissioners that Eric Garcetti has appointed certainly try their hardest to not reflect the interests of the community, and in fact continue to limit how are able to provide public comment, we appreciate your voice and comments!

Thanks so much for writing! Please do not let the inaction and dismissive nature of our city officials deter you from speaking up and speaking out!

-LAPCFails

On Mon, Dec 7, 2020 at 11:25 AM Bethany Heykoop <redacted> wrote:

Commissioners:
Regarding item 3B on tomorrow’s agenda: The commission is voting to approve a one-month audit of Body-Worn Video (BWV) and Digital In-Car Video (DICV) that checks officers are activating cameras according to policy. We see this as a purely procedural audit that does not address that these tools are used for prosecution and surveillance of our communities. They have not stopped the violence of law enforcement, nor resulted in officer accountability.

In item 3E on “Photo Comparison Technology,” the commission will review a proposed policy for LAPD use of the LASD Mugshot Database, whose “Photo Comparison Technology” is actually facial recognition technology (FRT). This is unacceptable and cannot happen! LAPD has secretly been using this type of technology since 2004. Reject LAPD’s proposed “Use of Photo Comparison Technology” policy. Implement an outright ban on LAPD use of face recognition surveillance. Publicize and investigate LAPD’s past use of face recognition surveillance in order to understand how the community has been impacted.

For item 3F, Automatic Licence Plate Readers (ALPRs) - LAPD has been using ALPR since 2004, and now wants to cover its tracks with a policy. We reject the use of ALPRs because it is data mining and tracking of our communities. It was exposed through the CA state audit that LAPD is correlating names, address, birthdate and criminal records to license plates. This ultimately tracks our every movement and must stop!

Items 3H, I, and J are regarding Small Unmanned Aerial Systems (sUAS) aka Drones - Angelenos have spoken out against the use of drones and every policy that attempts to legitimize their use since 2013. We reject the acquisition of another drone that only expands the LAPD aerial fleet. We reject the expansion of the drones’ capabilities to break windows, and we also reject use of drones in the Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT). This is the mission creep Angelenos have been warning about. I reject drones!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Bethany

From:  LAPC Fails <[redacted]>
Sent:  Monday, December 7, 2020 12:21 PM
To:  Lizabeth Belli
Cc: Michel Moore; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; cindy.chang@latimes.com; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.wesson@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; ethics.commission@lacity.org; james.queally@latimes.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; lou@legacyla.org; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Richard Tefank; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; Eileen Decker; paul.koretz@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Police Commission; richard.winton@latimes.com; shanemurphygoldsmith@gmail.com; tips@laist.com
Subject: Re: Public Comment 12/08/2020

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Lizabeth,
While the “citizens voice in police affairs” Police Commissioners that Eric Garcetti has appointed certainly try their hardest to not reflect the interests of the community, and in fact continue to limit how are able to provide public comment, we appreciate your voice and comments!

Thanks so much for writing! Please do not let the inaction and dismissive nature of our city officials deter you from speaking up and speaking out!

-LAPCFails

On Mon, Dec 7, 2020 at 11:37 AM Lizabeth Belli <mailto:<email_address>> wrote:

Commissioners:

Regarding item 3B on tomorrow’s agenda: The commission is voting to approve a one-month audit of Body-Worn Video (BWV) and Digital In-Car Video (DICV) that checks officers are activating cameras according to policy. We see this as a purely procedural audit that does not address that these tools are used for prosecution and surveillance of our communities. They have not stopped the violence of law enforcement, nor resulted in officer accountability.

In item 3E on “Photo Comparison Technology,” the commission will review a proposed policy for LAPD use of the LASD Mugshot Database, whose “Photo Comparison Technology” is actually facial recognition technology (FRT). This is unacceptable and cannot happen! LAPD has secretly been using this type of technology since 2004. Reject LAPD’s proposed “Use of Photo Comparison Technology” policy. Implement an outright ban on LAPD use of face recognition surveillance. Publicize and investigate
LAPD’s past use of face recognition surveillance in order to understand how the community has been impacted.

For item 3F, Automatic Licence Plate Readers (ALPRs) - LAPD has been using ALPR since 2004, and now wants to cover its tracks with a policy. We reject the use of ALPRs because it is data mining and tracking of our communities. It was exposed through the CA state audit that LAPD is correlating names, address, birthdate and criminal records to license plates. This ultimately tracks our every movement and must stop!

Items 3H, I, and J are regarding Small Unmanned Aerial Systems (sUAS) aka Drones - Angelenos have spoken out against the use of drones and every policy that attempts to legitimize their use since 2013. We reject the acquisition of another drone that only expands the LAPD aerial fleet. We reject the expansion of the drones’ capabilities to break windows, and we also reject use of drones in the Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT). This is the mission creep Angelenos have been warning about. I reject drones!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,
Lizabeth Belli

Lizabeth Belli (she/her)
<mailto:belli.lizabeth@lagest.com>

#CareFirst
#CareNotCops

From: LAPC Falls <mailto:falls.lapc@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 12:21 PM
To: Ruthie Holmes
Cc: Michel Moore; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; cindy.chang@latimes.com; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.buscairo@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.wesson@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; ethics.commission@lacity.org; james.queally@latimes.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; lou@LegacyLA.org; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Richard Tefank; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; Eileen Decker; paul.koretz@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Police Commission;
Hi Ruthie,

While the "citizens voice in police affairs" Police Commissioners that Eric Garcetti has appointed certainly try their hardest to not reflect the interests of the community, and in fact continue to limit how are able to provide public comment, we appreciate your voice and comments!

Thanks so much for writing! Please do not let the inaction and dismissive nature of our city officials deter you from speaking up and speaking out!

-LAPCFails

On Mon, Dec 7, 2020 at 11:44 AM Ruthie Holmes <mailto:************> wrote:

Commissioners:

Regarding item 3B on tomorrow’s agenda: The commission is voting to approve a one-month audit of Body-Worn Video (BWV) and Digital In-Car Video (DICV) that checks officers are activating cameras according to policy. We see this as a purely procedural audit that does not address that these tools are used for prosecution and surveillance of our communities. They have not stopped the violence of law enforcement, nor resulted in officer accountability.

In item 3E on "Photo Comparison Technology," the commission will review a proposed policy for LAPD use of the LASD Mugshot Database, whose "Photo Comparison Technology" is actually facial recognition technology (FRT). This is unacceptable and cannot happen! LAPD has secretly been using this type of technology since 2004. Reject LAPD’s proposed “Use of Photo Comparison Technology” policy. Implement an outright ban on LAPD use of face recognition surveillance. Publicize and investigate LAPD’s past use of face recognition surveillance in order to understand how the community has been impacted.

For item 3F, Automatic Licence Plate Readers (ALPRs) - LAPD has been using ALPR since 2004, and now wants to cover its tracks with a policy. We reject the use
of ALPRs because it is data mining and tracking of our communities. It was exposed through the CA state audit that LAPD is correlating names, address, birthdate and criminal records to license plates. This ultimately tracks our every movement and must stop!

Items 3H, I, and J are regarding Small Unmanned Aerial Systems (sUAS) aka Drones - Angelenos have spoken out against the use of drones and every policy that attempts to legitimize their use since 2013. We reject the acquisition of another drone that only expands the LAPD aerial fleet. We reject the expansion of the drones' capabilities to break windows, and we also reject use of drones in the Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT). This is the mission creep Angelenos have been warning about. I reject drones!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,
Ruthie Holmes
Los Feliz resident

From:  LAPC Fails <[removed]>
Sent:  Monday, December 7, 2020 12:22 PM
To:  Cait Cibulsky
Cc:  Michel Moore; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; Mayor Garcetti; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; cindy.chang@latimes.com; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.wesson@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; ethics.commission@lacity.org; james.queally@latimes.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; lou@legacyla.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Richard Tefank; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; Eileen Decker; paul.koretz@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Police Commission; richard.winton@latimes.com; shanemurphygoldsmith@gmail.com; tips@laist.com
Subject:  Re: Public Comment 12/08/2020
ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Cait,
While the “citizens voice in police affairs” Police Commissioners that Eric Garcetti has appointed certainly try their hardest to not reflect the interests of the community, and in fact continue to limit how are able to provide public comment, we appreciate your voice and comments!

Thanks so much for writing! Please do not let the inaction and dismissive nature of our city officials deter you from speaking up and speaking out!

-LAPCFails

On Mon, Dec 7, 2020 at 11:45 AM Cait Cibulsky <mailto:__________________> wrote:

To the commissioners:

Regarding item 3B on tomorrow’s agenda: The commission is voting to approve a one-month audit of Body-Worn Video (BWV) and Digital In-Car Video (DICV) that checks officers are activating cameras according to policy. We see this as a purely procedural audit that does not address that these tools are used for prosecution and surveillance of our communities. They have not stopped the violence of law enforcement, nor resulted in officer accountability.

In item 3E on “Photo Comparison Technology,” the commission will review a proposed policy for LAPD use of the LASD Mugshot Database, whose “Photo Comparison Technology” is actually facial recognition technology (FRT). This is unacceptable and cannot happen! LAPD has secretly been using this type of technology since 2004. Reject LAPD’s proposed “Use of Photo Comparison Technology” policy. Implement an outright ban on LAPD use of face recognition surveillance. Publicize and investigate LAPD’s past use of face recognition surveillance in order to understand how the community has been impacted.

For item 3F, Automatic Licence Plate Readers (ALPRs) - LAPD has been using ALPR since 2004, and now wants to cover its tracks with a policy. We reject the use of ALPRs because it is data mining and tracking of our communities. It was exposed through the CA state audit that LAPD is correlating names, address, birthdate and criminal records to license plates. This ultimately tracks our every movement and must stop!

Items 3H, I, and J are regarding Small Unmanned Aerial Systems (sUAS) aka Drones - Angelenos have spoken out against the use of drones and every policy that attempts to legitimize their use since 2013. We reject the acquisition of another drone that only expands the LAPD aerial fleet. We reject the expansion of the drones’ capabilities to break windows, and we also reject use of drones in the Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT). This is the mission creep
Angelenos have been warning about. I reject drones!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Stand on the right side of history. Act in the interest of justice.

From your constituent Cait Cibulsky, an LAUSD teacher.

From: LAPC Fails
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 12:22 PM
To: Jaylynn Widmark
Cc: Michel Moore; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Councilmember.lee@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; cindy.chang@latimes.com; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.buscalino@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.wesson@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; ethics.commission@lacity.org; james.queally@latimes.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; lou@legacyla.org; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Richard Tefank; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; Eileen Decker; paul.koretz@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Police Commission; richard.winton@latimes.com; shanemurphygoldsmith@gmail.com; tips@laist.com
Subject: Re: Public Comment 12/08/2020

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Jaylynn,

While the “citizens voice in police affairs” Police Commissioners that Eric Garcetti has appointed certainly try their hardest to not reflect the interests of the community, and in fact continue to limit how are able to provide public comment, we appreciate your voice and comments!

Thanks so much for writing! Please do not let the inaction and dismissive nature of our city officials deter you from speaking up and speaking out!

-LAPCFails

On Mon, Dec 7, 2020 at 11:59 AM Jaylynn Widmark <mailto:Jaylynn.Widmark@lacity.org> wrote:

Commissioners:
Regarding item 3B on tomorrow’s agenda: The commission is voting to approve a one-month audit of Body-Worn Video (BWV) and Digital In-Car Video (DICV) that checks officers are activating cameras according to policy. We see this as a purely procedural audit that does not address that these tools are used for prosecution and surveillance of our communities. They have not stopped the violence of law enforcement, nor resulted in officer accountability.

In item 3E on “Photo Comparison Technology,” the commission will review a proposed policy for LAPD use of the LASD Mugshot Database, whose “Photo Comparison Technology” is actually facial recognition technology (FRT). This is unacceptable and cannot happen! LAPD has secretly been using this type of technology since 2004. Reject LAPD’s proposed “Use of Photo Comparison Technology” policy. Implement an outright ban on LAPD use of face recognition surveillance. Publicize and investigate LAPD’s past use of face recognition surveillance in order to understand how the community has been impacted.

For item 3F, Automatic Licence Plate Readers (ALPRs) - LAPD has been using ALPR since 2004, and now wants to cover its tracks with a policy. We reject the use of ALPRs because it is data mining and tracking of our communities. It was exposed through the CA state audit that LAPD is correlating names, address, birthdate and criminal records to license plates. This ultimately tracks our every movement and must stop!

Items 3H, I, and J are regarding Small Unmanned Aerial Systems (sUAS) aka Drones - Angelenos have spoken out against the use of drones and every policy that attempts to legitimize their use since 2013. We reject the acquisition of another drone that only expands the LAPD aerial fleet. We reject the expansion of the drones’ capabilities to break windows, and we also reject use of drones in the Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT). This is the mission creep Angelenos have been warning about. I reject drones!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Jaylynn Widmark
From: LAPC Fails <redacted>
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 12:23 PM
To: Benjamin Kersten
Cc: Michel Moore; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; cindy.chang@latimes.com; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.wesson@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; ethics.commission@lacity.org; james.queally@latimes.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; lou@legacyla.org; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Richard Tefank; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; Eileen Decker; paul.koretz@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Police Commission; richard.winton@latimes.com; shanemurphygoldsmith@gmail.com; tips@laist.com
Subject: Re: Public Comment 12/08/2020

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Benjamin,

While the “citizens voice in police affairs” Police Commissioners that Eric Garcetti has appointed certainly try their hardest to not reflect the interests of the community, and in fact continue to limit how are able to provide public comment, we appreciate your voice and comments!

Thanks so much for writing! Please do not let the inaction and dismissive nature of our city officials deter you from speaking up and speaking out!

-LAPCFails

On Mon, Dec 7, 2020 at 11:46 AM Benjamin Kersten <redacted> wrote:

Commissioners:

I am writing with regard to item 3B on tomorrow’s agenda. The commission is voting to approve a one-month audit of Body-Worn Video (BWV) and Digital In-Car Video (DICV) that checks officers are activating cameras according to policy. This audit is purely procedural and does not address how these tools are used for prosecution and surveillance of our communities. They have neither stopped the violence of law enforcement nor resulted in officer accountability.
In item 3E one “Photo Comparison Technology,” the commission will review a proposed policy for LAPD use of the LASD Mugshot Database, the “Photo Comparison Technology” of which is actually facial recognition technology (FRT). This is unacceptable and cannot happen! LAPD has secretly been using this type of technology since 2004. Reject LAPD’s proposed “Use of Photo Comparison Technology” policy. Implement an outright ban on LAPD use of facial recognition surveillance. Publicize and investigate LAPD’s past use of face recognition surveillance in order to understand how the community has been impacted.

For item 3F, Automatic Licence Plate Readers (ALPRs) - LAPD has been using ALPR since 2004, and now wants to cover its tracks with a policy. We reject the use of ALPRs because it is data mining and tracking of our communities. It was exposed through the CA state audit that LAPD is correlating names, address, birthdate and criminal records to license plates. This ultimately tracks our every movement and must stop!

Items 3H, I, and J are regarding Small Unmanned Aerial Systems (sUAS) aka Drones - Angelenos have spoken out against the use of drones and every policy that attempts to legitimize their use since 2013. We reject the acquisition of another drone that only expands the LAPD aerial fleet. We reject the expansion of the drones’ capabilities to break windows, and we also reject use of drones in the Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT). This is the mission creep Angelenos have been warning about. I reject drones!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,
Benjamin Kersten

From: LAPC Fails <LAPC Fails>
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 12:23 PM
To: Phoebe Neidhardt
Cc: Michel Moore; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; cindy.chang@latimes.com; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.wesson@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; ethics.commission@lacity.org; james.queally@latimes.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; lou@legacyla.org; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Richard Tefank; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; Eileen Decker; paul.koretz@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Police Commission; richard.winton@latimes.com; shanemurphygoldsmith@gmail.com; tips@laist.com
Subject: Re: Public Comment 12/08/2020
ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Phoebe,
While the “citizens voice in police affairs” Police Commissioners that Eric Garcetti has appointed certainly try their hardest to not reflect the interests of the community, and in fact continue to limit how are able to provide public comment, we appreciate your voice and comments!

Thanks so much for writing! Please do not let the inaction and dismissive nature of our city officials deter you from speaking up and speaking out!

-LAPCFails

On Mon, Dec 7, 2020 at 11:50 AM Phoebe Neidhardt <mailto:phoebe.neidhardt@lapd.lacity.org> wrote:

Commissioners:

Regarding item 3B, the commission is voting to approve a one-month audit of Body-Worn Video (BWV) and Digital In-Car Video (DICV) that checks officers are activating cameras according to policy. We see this as a purely procedural audit that does not address that these tools are used for prosecution and surveillance of our communities. They have not stopped the violence of law enforcement, nor resulted in officer accountability.

In item 3E on “Photo Comparison Technology,” the commission will review a proposed policy for LAPD use of the LASD Mugshot Database, whose “Photo Comparison Technology” is actually facial recognition technology (FRT). This is unacceptable and cannot happen! LAPD has secretly been using this type of technology since 2004. Reject LAPD’s proposed “Use of Photo Comparison Technology” policy. Implement an outright ban on LAPD use of face recognition surveillance. Publicize and investigate LAPD’s past use of face recognition surveillance in order to understand how the community has been impacted.

For item 3F, Automatic Licence Plate Readers (ALPRs) – LAPD has been using ALPR since 2004, and now wants to cover its tracks with a policy. We reject the use of ALPRs because it is data mining and tracking of our communities. It was exposed through the CA state audit that LAPD is correlating names, address, birthdate and criminal records to license plates. This ultimately tracks our every movement and must stop!

Items 3H, I, and J are regarding Small Unmanned Aerial Systems (suAS) aka
Drones - Angelenos have spoken out against the use of drones and every policy that attempts to legitimize their use since 2013. We reject the acquisition of another drone that only expands the LAPD aerial fleet. We reject the expansion of the drones' capabilities to break windows, and we also reject use of drones in the Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT). This is the mission creep Angelenos have been warning about. I reject drones!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Phoebe Neidhardt

From: LAPC Fails <n@lacity.org>
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 12:23 PM
To: Nicole Kalmus
Cc: Michel Moore; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; cindy.chang@latimes.com; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.wesson@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; ethics.commission@lacity.org; james.queally@latimes.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; lou@legacyla.org; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Richard Tefank; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; Eileen Decker; paul.koretz@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Police Commission; richard.winton@latimes.com; shanemurphygoldsmith@gmail.com; tips@laist.com
Subject: Re: Public Comment 12/08/2020

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Nicole,
While the “citizens voice in police affairs” Police Commissioners that Eric Garcetti has appointed certainly try their hardest to not reflect the interests of the community, and in fact continue to limit how are able to provide public comment, we appreciate your voice and comments!

Thanks so much for writing! Please do not let the inaction and dismissive nature of our city officials deter you from speaking up and speaking out!

-LAPCFails
Commissioners:

Regarding item 3B on the agenda: The commission is voting to approve a one-month audit of Body-Worn Video (BWV) and Digital In-Car Video (DICV) that checks officers are activating cameras according to policy. We see this as a purely procedural audit that does not address that these tools are used for prosecution and surveillance of our communities. They have not stopped the violence of law enforcement, nor resulted in officer accountability.

In item 3E on "Photo Comparison Technology," the commission will review a proposed policy for LAPD use of the LASD Mugshot Database, whose "Photo Comparison Technology" is actually facial recognition technology (FRT). This is unacceptable and cannot happen! LAPD has secretly been using this type of technology since 2004. Reject LAPD's proposed "Use of Photo Comparison Technology" policy. Implement an outright ban on LAPD use of face recognition surveillance. Publicize and investigate LAPD’s past use of face recognition surveillance in order to understand how the community has been impacted.

For item 3F, Automatic Licence Plate Readers (ALPRs) - LAPD has been using ALPR since 2004, and now wants to cover its tracks with a policy. We reject the use of ALPRs because it is data mining and tracking of our communities. It was exposed through the CA state audit that LAPD is correlating names, address, birthdate and criminal records to license plates. This ultimately tracks our every movement and must stop!

Items 3H, I, and J are regarding Small Unmanned Aerial Systems (sUAS) aka Drones - Angelenos have spoken out against the use of drones and every policy that attempts to legitimize their use since 2013. We reject the acquisition of another drone that only expands the LAPD aerial fleet. We reject the expansion of the drones’ capabilities to break windows, and we also reject use of drones in the Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT). This is the mission creep Angelenos have been warning about. I reject drones!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.
Signed,

Nicole K

From: LAPC Fails <redacted>
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 12:23 PM
To: SHERRY VARON
Cc: Michel Moore; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; cindy.chang@latimes.com; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.wesson@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; ethics.commission@lacity.org; kevin.rector@latimes.com; lou@legacyla.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Richard Tefank; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; Eileen Decker; paul.koretz@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Police Commission; richard.winton@latimes.com; shanemurphygoldsmith@gmail.com; tips@laist.com
Subject: Re: : Public Comment 12/08/2020

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Sherry,

While the "citizens voice in police affairs" Police Commissioners that Eric Garcetti has appointed certainly try their hardest to not reflect the interests of the community, and in fact continue to limit how are able to provide public comment, we appreciate your voice and comments!

Thanks so much for writing! Please do not let the inaction and dismissive nature of our city officials deter you from speaking up and speaking out!

-LAPCFails

On Mon, Dec 7, 2020 at 12:02 PM SHERRY VARON <redacted> wrote:

Commissioners:
Regarding item 3B on tomorrow’s agenda: The commission is voting to approve a one-month audit of Body-Worn Video (BWV) and Digital In-Car Video (DICV) that checks officers are activating cameras according to policy. We see this as a purely procedural audit that does not address that these tools are used for prosecution and surveillance of our communities. They have not stopped the violence of law enforcement, nor resulted in officer accountability.

In item 3E on “Photo Comparison Technology,” the commission will review a proposed policy for LAPD use of the LASD Mugshot Database, whose “Photo Comparison Technology” is actually facial recognition technology (FRT). This is unacceptable and cannot happen! LAPD has secretly been using this type of technology since 2004. Reject LAPD’s proposed “Use of Photo Comparison Technology” policy. Implement an outright ban on LAPD use of face recognition surveillance. Publicize and investigate LAPD’s past use of face recognition surveillance in order to understand how the community has been impacted.

For item 3F, Automatic Licence Plate Readers (ALPRs) - LAPD has been using ALPR since 2004, and now wants to cover its tracks with a policy. We reject the use of ALPRs because it is data mining and tracking of our communities. It was exposed through the CA state audit that LAPD is correlating names, address, birthdate and criminal records to license plates. This ultimately tracks our every movement and must stop!

Items 3H, I, and J are regarding Small Unmanned Aerial Systems (sUAS) aka Drones - Angelenos have spoken out against the use of drones and every policy that attempts to legitimize their use since 2013. We reject the acquisition of another drone that only expands the LAPD aerial fleet. We reject the expansion of the drones’ capabilities to break windows, and we also reject use of drones in the Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT). This is the mission creep Angelenos have been warning about. I reject drones!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,
Sherry Varon

From: LAPC Fails <redacted>
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 12:24 PM
To: Jule Gamache
Cc: Michel Moore; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; cindy.chang@latimes.com; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org;
Hi Jule,

While the “citizens voice in police affairs” Police Commissioners that Eric Garcetti has appointed certainly try their hardest to not reflect the interests of the community, and in fact continue to limit how are able to provide public comment, we appreciate your voice and comments!

Thanks so much for writing! Please do not let the inaction and dismissive nature of our city officials deter you from speaking up and speaking out!

-LAPCFails

On Mon, Dec 7, 2020 at 12:02 PM Jule Gamache <mailto:************@gmail.com> wrote:

Commissioners:

Regarding item 3B on tomorrow’s agenda: The commission is voting to approve a one-month audit of Body-Worn Video (BWV) and Digital In-Car Video (DICV) that checks officers are activating cameras according to policy. We see this as a purely procedural audit that does not address that these tools are used for prosecution and surveillance of our communities. They have not stopped the violence of law enforcement, nor resulted in officer accountability.

In item 3E on “Photo Comparison Technology,” the commission will review a proposed policy for LAPD use of the LASD Mugshot Database, whose “Photo Comparison Technology” is actually facial recognition technology (FRT). This is unacceptable and cannot happen! LAPD has secretly been using this type of technology since 2004. Reject LAPD’s proposed “Use of Photo Comparison Technology” policy. Implement an outright ban on LAPD use of face recognition surveillance. Publicize and investigate LAPD’s past use of face recognition surveillance in order to understand how the community has been impacted.

For item 3F, Automatic Licence Plate Readers (ALPRs) - LAPD has been using ALPR since 2004, and now wants to cover its tracks with a policy. We reject the use
of ALPRs because it is data mining and tracking of our communities. It was exposed through the CA state audit that LAPD is correlating names, addresses, birthdates, and criminal records to license plates. This ultimately tracks our every movement and must stop!

Items 3H, I, and J are regarding Small Unmanned Aerial Systems (sUAS) aka Drones - Angelenos have spoken out against the use of drones and every policy that attempts to legitimize their use since 2013. We reject the acquisition of another drone that only expands the LAPD aerial fleet. We reject the expansion of the drones’ capabilities to break windows, and we also reject the use of drones in the Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT). This is the mission creep Angelenos have been warning about. I reject drones!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Jule Gamache
she/her/hers

From: LAPC Fails <[redacted]>
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 12:24 PM
To: Amelie Cherlin
Cc: Michel Moore; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; Councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; Councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; Councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; Councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; Councilmember.prize@lacity.org; Councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; Councilmember.wesson@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; ethics.commission@lacity.org; lou@LegacyLA.org; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Richard Tefank; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Sobooff; Eileen Decker; paul.koretz@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Police Commission; shanemurphygoldsmith@gmail.com
Subject: Re: Public Comment 12/08/2020

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Amelie,
While the “citizens voice in police affairs” Police Commissioners that Eric Garcetti has appointed certainly try their hardest to not reflect the interests of the community, and in fact continue to limit how are able to provide public comment, we appreciate your voice and comments!
Thanks so much for writing! Please do not let the inaction and dismissive nature of our city officials deter you from speaking up and speaking out!

-LAPCFails

On Mon, Dec 7, 2020 at 12:03 PM Amelie Cherlin wrote:

Commissioners:

Regarding item 3B on tomorrow’s agenda: The commission is voting to approve a one-month audit of Body-Worn Video (BWV) and Digital In-Car Video (DICV) that checks officers are activating cameras according to policy. We see this as a purely procedural audit that does not address that these tools are used for prosecution and surveillance of our communities. They have not stopped the violence of law enforcement, nor resulted in officer accountability.

In item 3E on “Photo Comparison Technology,” the commission will review a proposed policy for LAPD use of the LASD Mugshot Database, whose “Photo Comparison Technology” is actually facial recognition technology (FRT). This is unacceptable and cannot happen! LAPD has secretly been using this type of technology since 2004. Reject LAPD’s proposed “Use of Photo Comparison Technology” policy. Implement an outright ban on LAPD use of face recognition surveillance. Publicize and investigate LAPD’s past use of face recognition surveillance in order to understand how the community has been impacted.

For item 3F, Automatic License Plate Readers (ALPRs) - LAPD has been using ALPR since 2004, and now wants to cover its tracks with a policy. We reject the use of ALPRs because it is data mining and tracking of our communities. It was exposed through the CA state audit that LAPD is correlating names, address, birthdate and criminal records to license plates. This ultimately tracks our every movement and must stop!

Items 3H, I, and J are regarding Small Unmanned Aerial Systems (sUAS) aka Drones - Angelenos have spoken out against the use of drones and every policy that attempts to legitimize their use since 2013. We reject the acquisition of another drone that only expands the LAPD aerial fleet. We reject the expansion of the drones’ capabilities to break windows, and we also reject use of drones in the
Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT). This is the mission creep Angelenos have been warning about. I reject drones!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,
Amelie Cherlin
Los Angeles

From: LAPC Fails <reddacted>
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 12:24 PM
To: Celeste Kessler
Cc: Michel Moore; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org;
Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org;
cindy.chang@latimes.com; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org;
councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org;
councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org;
councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org;
councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.wesson@lacity.org; Dale Bonner;
etics.commission@lacity.org; james.queally@latimes.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com;
reou@LegacyLA.org; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org;
ike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Richard Tefank; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Sboroff;
Eileen Decker; paul.koretz@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Police Commission;
ichard.winton@latimes.com; shanemurphygoldsmith@gmail.com; tips@laist.com
Subject: Re: Public Comment 12/08/2020

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Celeste,
While the “citizens voice in police affairs” Police Commissioners that Eric Garcetti has appointed certainly try their hardest to not reflect the interests of the community, and in fact continue to limit how are able to provide public comment, we appreciate your voice and comments!
Thanks so much for writing! Please do not let the inaction and dismissive nature of our city officials deter you from speaking up and speaking out!

-LAPCFFails

On Mon, Dec 7, 2020 at 12:05 PM Celeste Kessler <mailto:celestekessler@gmail.com> wrote:

Commissioners:

My name is Celeste Kessler and I am a resident of Los Angeles. I am writing in regards to item 3B on tomorrow's agenda: The commission is voting to approve a one-month audit of Body-Worn Video (B/WV) and Digital In-Car Video (DICV) that checks officers are activating cameras according to policy. We see this as a purely procedural audit that does not address that these tools are used for prosecution and surveillance of our communities. They have not stopped the violence of law enforcement, nor resulted in officer accountability.

In item 3E on "Photo Comparison Technology," the commission will review a proposed policy for LAPD use of the LASD Mugshot Database, whose "Photo Comparison Technology" is actually facial recognition technology (FRT). This is unacceptable and cannot happen! LAPD has secretly been using this type of technology since 2004. Reject LAPD’s proposed “Use of Photo Comparison Technology” policy. Implement an outright ban on LAPD use of face recognition surveillance. Publicize and investigate LAPD’s past use of face recognition surveillance in order to understand how the community has been impacted.

For item 3F, Automatic Licence Plate Readers (ALPRs) - LAPD has been using ALPR since 2004, and now wants to cover its tracks with a policy. We reject the use of ALPRs because it is data mining and tracking of our communities. It was exposed through the CA state audit that LAPD is correlating names, address, birthdate and criminal records to license plates. This ultimately tracks our every movement and must stop!

Items 3H, I, and J are regarding Small Unmanned Aerial Systems (SUAS) aka Drones - Angeleninos have spoken out against the use of drones and every policy that attempts to legitimize their use since 2013. We reject the acquisition of another
drone that only expands the LAPD aerial fleet. We reject the expansion of the
drones' capabilities to break windows, and we also reject use of drones in the
Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT). This is the mission creep
Angelenos have been warning about. I reject drones!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your
meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary,
you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your
meetings, and for how long.

Sincerely,

Celeste Kessler

From: LAPC Fails <Discussion>
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 12:24 PM
To: Tiana McKenna
Cc: Michel Moore; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org;
Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org;
cindy.chang@latimes.com; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org;
councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org;
councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org;
councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org;
councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.wesson@lacity.org; Dale Bonner;
ethics.commission@lacity.org; james.queally@latimes.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com;
lou@legacyla.org; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org;
mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Richard Tefank; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Sboroff;
Eileen Decker; paul.koretz@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Police Commission;
richard.winton@latimes.com; shanemurphygoldsmith@gmail.com; tips@laist.com
Subject: Re: Public Comment 12/8/20

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open
attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Tiana,
While the "citizens voice in police affairs" Police Commissioners that Eric Garcetti
has appointed certainly try their hardest to not reflect the interests of the
community, and in fact continue to limit how are able to provide public comment, we
appreciate your voice and comments!

Thanks so much for writing! Please do not let the inaction and dismissive nature of
our city officials deter you from speaking up and speaking out!
On Mon, Dec 7, 2020 at 12:14 PM Tiana McKenna <mailto:______________> wrote:

Commissioners:

Regarding item 3B on tomorrow’s agenda: The commission is voting to approve a one-month audit of Body-Worn Video (BWV) and Digital In-Car Video (DICV) that checks officers are activating cameras according to policy. We see this as a purely procedural audit that does not address that these tools are used for prosecution and surveillance of our communities. They have not stopped the violence of law enforcement, nor resulted in officer accountability.

In item 3E on “Photo Comparison Technology,” the commission will review a proposed policy for LAPD use of the LASD Mugshot Database, whose “Photo Comparison Technology” is actually facial recognition technology (FRT). This is unacceptable and cannot happen! LAPD has secretly been using this type of technology since 2004. Reject LAPD’s proposed “Use of Photo Comparison Technology” policy. Implement an outright ban on LAPD use of face recognition surveillance. Publicize and investigate LAPD’s past use of face recognition surveillance in order to understand how the community has been impacted.

For item 3F, Automatic Licence Plate Readers (ALPRs) - LAPD has been using ALPR since 2004, and now wants to cover its tracks with a policy. We reject the use of ALPRs because it is data mining and tracking of our communities. It was exposed through the CA state audit that LAPD is correlating names, address, birthdate and criminal records to license plates. This ultimately tracks our every movement and must stop!

Items 3H, I, and J are regarding Small Unmanned Aerial Systems (sUAS) aka Drones - Angelenos have spoken out against the use of drones and every policy that attempts to legitimize their use since 2013. We reject the acquisition of another drone that only expands the LAPD aerial fleet. We reject the expansion of the drones’ capabilities to break windows, and we also reject use of drones in the Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT). This is the mission creep Angelenos have been warning about.

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long. Those perspectives should be central to your work.

Signed,
Tiana McKenna
Los Angeles 90032

From: Erin Serfass <______________________________________________>
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 12:25 PM  
To: Police Commission  
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; shanemurphygoldsmith@gmail.com; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve SoboRoof; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.wesson@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; tips@laist.com; cindy.chang@latimes.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; richard.winton@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org  
Subject: Public Comment 12/08/2020

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Commissioners,

Regarding item 3B on tomorrow’s agenda: The commission is voting to approve a one-month audit of Body-Worn Video (BWV) and Digital In-Car Video (DICV) that checks officers are activating cameras according to policy. This is a purely procedural audit that does not address that these tools are used for prosecution and surveillance of our communities. They have not stopped the violence of law enforcement, nor resulted in officer accountability.

In item 3E on “Photo Comparison Technology,” the commission will review a proposed policy for LAPD use of the LASD Mugshot Database, whose “Photo Comparison Technology” is actually facial recognition technology (FRT). This is unacceptable and cannot happen. LAPD has secretly been using this type of technology since 2004. Reject LAPD’s proposed “Use of Photo Comparison Technology” policy and implement an outright ban on LAPD use of face recognition surveillance. Publicize and investigate LAPD’s past use of face recognition surveillance in order to understand how the community has been impacted.

For item 3F, Automatic Licence Plate Readers (ALPRs) - LAPD has been using ALPR since 2004, and now wants to cover its tracks with a policy. We reject the use of ALPRs because it is data mining and tracking of our communities. It was exposed through the CA state audit that LAPD is correlating names, address, birthdate and criminal records to license plates. This ultimately tracks our every movement and has to end.

Items 3H, I, and J are regarding Small Unmanned Aerial Systems (SUAS) aka Drones - Angelenos have spoken out against the use of drones and every policy that attempts to legitimize their use since 2013. We reject the acquisition of another drone that only expands the LAPD aerial fleet. We reject the expansion of the drones’ capabilities to break windows, and we also reject use of drones in the Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT).
I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Sincerely,
Erin Serfass

From: Malloy Moseley <removed>
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 12:28 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; shanemurphygoldsmith@gmail.com; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.wesson@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; tips@laist.com; cindy.chang@latimes.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; richard.winton@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: PUBLIC COMMENT SUBMISSION - 12/08/2020

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Esteemed commissioners,

Regarding item 3B on tomorrow’s agenda: The commission is voting to approve a one-month audit of Body-Worn Video (BWV) and Digital In-Car Video (DICV) that checks officers are activating cameras according to policy. We see this as a purely procedural audit that does not address that these tools are used for prosecution and surveillance of our communities. They have not stopped the violence of law enforcement, nor resulted in officer accountability.

In item 3E on “Photo Comparison Technology,” the commission intends to review a proposed policy for LAPD use of the LASD Mugshot Database, whose "Photo Comparison Technology" is actually facial recognition technology (FRT). This is absolutely unacceptable and cannot happen! LAPD has secretly been using this type of technology
since 2004. Reject LAPD’s proposed “Use of Photo Comparison Technology” policy. Implement an outright ban on LAPD use of face recognition surveillance. Publicize and investigate LAPD’s past use of face recognition surveillance in order to understand how the community has been impacted.

For item 3F, Automatic Licence Plate Readers (ALPRs) - LAPD has been using ALPR since 2004, and now wants to cover its tracks with a policy. We reject the use of ALPRs because it is data mining and tracking of our communities. It was exposed through the CA state audit that LAPD is correlating names, address, birthdate and criminal records to license plates. This ultimately tracks our every movement and must stop!

Items 3H, I, and J are regarding Small Unmanned Aerial Systems (sUAS) aka Drones - Angelenos have spoken out against the use of drones and every policy that attempts to legitimize their use since 2013. We reject the acquisition of another drone that only expands the LAPD aerial fleet. We reject the expansion of the drones’ capabilities to break windows, and we also reject use of drones in the Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT). This is the mission creep Angelenos have been warning about. I reject drones!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Forty-five minutes is shorter than the average episode of The Queen’s Gambit on Netflix. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Malloy Moseley

--

Malloy Moseley

From: Chris Riddle <[redacted]>
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 12:34 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Mayor Helpdesk; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; shanemurphygoldsmith@gmail.com; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaico@lacity.org; councilmember.wesson@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org;
ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Regarding item 3B on tomorrow’s agenda: The commission is voting to approve a one-month audit of Body-Worn Video (BWV) and Digital In-Car Video (DICV) that checks officers are activating cameras according to policy. We see this as a purely procedural audit that does not address that these tools are used for prosecution and surveillance of our communities. They have not stopped the violence of law enforcement, nor resulted in officer accountability.

In item 3E on “Photo Comparison Technology,” the commission will review a proposed policy for LAPD use of the LASD Mugshot Database, whose “Photo Comparison Technology” is actually facial recognition technology (FRT). This is unacceptable and cannot happen! LAPD has secretly been using this type of technology since 2004. Reject LAPD’s proposed “Use of Photo Comparison Technology” policy. Implement an outright ban on LAPD use of face recognition surveillance. Publicize and investigate LAPD’s past use of face recognition surveillance in order to understand how the community has been impacted.

For item 3F, Automatic Licence Plate Readers (ALPRs) - LAPD has been using ALPR since 2004, and now wants to cover its tracks with a policy. We reject the use of ALPRs because it is data mining and tracking of our communities. It was exposed through the CA state audit that LAPD is correlating names, address, birthdate and criminal records to license plates. This ultimately tracks our every movement and must stop!

Items 3H, I, and J are regarding Small Unmanned Aerial Systems (sUAS) aka Drones - Angelenos have spoken out against the use of drones and every policy that attempts to legitimize their use since 2013. We reject the acquisition of another drone that only expands the LAPD aerial fleet. We reject the expansion of the drones’ capabilities to break windows, and we also reject use of drones in the Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT). This is the mission creep Angelenos have been warning about. I reject drones!
I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Chris Riddle

From: Caroline Hoenemeyer
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 12:40 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; shanemurphygoldsmith@gmail.com; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.wesson@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; tips@laist.com; cindy.chang@latimes.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; richard.winton@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Regarding item 3B on tomorrow’s agenda: The commission is voting to approve a one-month audit of Body-Worn Video (BMW) and Digital In-Car Video (DICV) that checks officers are activating cameras according to policy. We see this as a purely procedural audit that does not address that these tools are used for prosecution and surveillance of our communities. They have not stopped the violence of law enforcement, nor resulted in officer accountability.
In item 3E on "Photo Comparison Technology," the commission will review a proposed policy for LAPD use of the LASD Mugshot Database, whose "Photo Comparison Technology" is actually facial recognition technology (FRT). This is unacceptable and cannot happen! LAPD has secretly been using this type of technology since 2004. Reject LAPD's proposed "Use of Photo Comparison Technology" policy. Implement an outright ban on LAPD use of face recognition surveillance. Publicize and investigate LAPD's past use of face recognition surveillance in order to understand how the community has been impacted.

For item 3F, Automatic Licence Plate Readers (ALPRs) - LAPD has been using ALPR since 2004, and now wants to cover its tracks with a policy. We reject the use of ALPRs because it is data mining and tracking of our communities. It was exposed through the CA state audit that LAPD is correlating names, address, birthdate and criminal records to license plates. This ultimately tracks our every movement and must stop!

Items 3H, I, and J are regarding Small Unmanned Aerial Systems (sUAS) aka Drones - Angelenos have spoken out against the use of drones and every policy that attempts to legitimize their use since 2013. We reject the acquisition of another drone that only expands the LAPD aerial fleet. We reject the expansion of the drones' capabilities to break windows, and we also reject use of drones in the Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT). This is the mission creep Angelenos have been warning about. I reject drones!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Best,

Caroline Hoenemeyer

From: Alexander Powell <alexander.powell@lacity.org>
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 12:44 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; shanemurphygoldsmith@gmail.com; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org;
ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello LAPC Commissioners:

I am writing my Public Comment for tomorrow’s meeting:

Regarding item 3B on tomorrow’s agenda: The commission is voting to approve a one-month audit of Body-Worn Video (BWV) and Digital In-Car Video (DICV) that checks officers are activating cameras according to policy. We see this as a purely procedural audit that does not address that these tools are used for prosecution and surveillance of our communities. They have not stopped the violence of law enforcement, nor resulted in officer accountability.

In item 3E on “Photo Comparison Technology,” the commission will review a proposed policy for LAPD use of the LASD Mugshot Database, whose “Photo Comparison Technology” is actually Facial recognition technology (FRT). This is unacceptable and cannot happen! LAPD has secretly been using this type of technology since 2004. Reject LAPD’s proposed “Use of Photo Comparison Technology” policy. Implement an outright ban on LAPD use of face recognition surveillance. Publicize and investigate LAPD’s past use of face recognition surveillance in order to understand how the community has been impacted.

For item 3F, Automatic Licence Plate Readers (ALPRs) - LAPD has been using ALPR since 2004, and now wants to cover its tracks with a policy. We reject the use of ALPRs because it is data mining and tracking of our communities. It was exposed through the CA state audit that LAPD is correlating names, address, birthdate and criminal records to license plates. This ultimately tracks our every movement and must stop!

Items 3H, I, and J are regarding Small Unmanned Aerial Systems (sUAS) aka Drones - Angelenos have spoken out against the use of drones and every policy that attempts to legitimize their use since 2013. We reject the acquisition of another drone that only expands the LAPD aerial fleet. We reject the expansion of the drones’ capabilities to break windows, and we also reject use of drones in the Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT). This is the mission creep Angelenos have been warning about. I reject drones!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to
forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,
Alex Powell

From: geneva <[redacted]>  
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 12:46 PM  
To: Police Commission  
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; shanemurphygoldsmith@gmail.com; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.wesson@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; lapcfalls@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; tips@laist.com; cindy.chang@latimes.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; richard.winton@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org

Subject: Public Comment 12/08/2020

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

1. Regarding item 3B on tomorrow’s agenda: The commission is voting to approve a one-month audit of Body-Worn Video (BWV) and Digital In-Car Video (DICV) that checks officers are activating cameras according to policy. We see this as a purely procedural audit that does not address these tools use for prosecution and surveillance of our communities. They have not stopped the violence of law enforcement, nor resulted in officer accountability.

2. In item 3E on “Photo Comparison Technology,” the commission will review a proposed policy for LAPD use of the LASD Mugshot Database, whose “Photo Comparison Technology” is actually facial recognition technology (FRT). This is unacceptable and cannot happen! LAPD has secretly been using this type of technology since 2004. Reject LAPD’s proposed “Use of Photo Comparison Technology” policy. Implement an outright ban on LAPD use of face recognition surveillance. Please publicize and investigate LAPD’s past use of face recognition surveillance to understand how the community has been impacted.

3. For item 3F, Automatic Licence Plate Readers (ALPRs) - LAPD has been using ALPR since 2004 and now wants to justify that usage and cover its tracks with policy. We reject the use of ALPRs because it is data mining and tracking of our communities. It was exposed through the CA state audit that LAPD is correlating names, addresses, birthdate, and criminal records to license plates. This ultimately
tracks our every movement and must stop!
4. Items 3H, I, and J are regarding Small Unmanned Aerial Systems (sUAS) aka Drones - Angelenos have spoken out against the use of drones and every policy that attempts to legitimize their use since 2013. We reject the acquisition of another drone that only expands the LAPD aerial fleet. We reject the expansion of the drones’ capabilities to break windows, and we also reject the use of drones in the Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT). This is the mission creep Angelenos have been warning about. I completely reject drone usage!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings and for how long. The more public comment time you give, the more possibility for a diverse amount of voices. Is your limiting of time fueled by your inability to handle public disapproval? Please know it is your job to serve the community concerns as they come to you, and the frustrations of community members come as a result of tedious work to protect our communities’ safety.

Thank you for your time.

Signed,

Geneva West

From: ashley brim
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 12:45 PM
To: Police Commission
Subject: Public Comment 12/08/2020

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

There are a number of very concerning surveillance items on your agenda for 12/8. I hope you will use your power as "the citizens' voice in public affairs" to take bold action to curtail broad spying efforts by the LAPD.

And as the citizens' voice, I also demand that you stop limiting public comment to 45 minutes at your meetings. How can you represent the people if you do not make
adequate space to hear from us?

ITEM 3B:
This item does not address that BWV and DICV are being used to surveil our communities and that their "intended use" - stopping violence of law enforcement by increasing officer accountability - has not come to fruition.

ITEM 3E:
Reject LAPD's proposed "Use of Photo Comparison Technology" policy. Implement an outright ban on LAPD use of face recognition surveillance. Publicize and investigate LAPD's past use of face recognition surveillance in order to understand how the community has been impacted.

ITEM 3F:
ALPRs are tools of data mining and mass surveillance in our communities. You must reject LAPD's proposal to make what they have been doing for years into a policy. Ban LAPD's use of ALPRs.

ITEMS 3H, I, J:
We reject the acquisition of another drone that only expands the LAPD aerial fleet. We reject the expansion of the drones' capabilities to break windows, and we also reject use of drones in the Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT). This is the mission creep Angelenos have been warning about.

Best,
Ashley Brim

Ashley Paige Brim // she/her/hers
Co-Producer / HOMELAND
Director / An Act of Terror
Fox Directing Lab 2018-19
HALF Initiative Directing Fellow 2017

From: Conrad Woolfe <conrad.woolfe@lacity.org>
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 1:03 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org;
ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

There are a number of issues on the agenda for tomorrow's meeting that must urgently be addressed.

We are concerned that Item 3B concerns a purely procedural and ultimately performative audit of Body-Worn Video and Digital In-Car Video footage. Any audit and review must address that these tools do not keep our community safe and in fact are used for prosecution and surveillance. WE MUST HOLD VIOLENT OFFICERS ACCOUNTABLE.

Regarding Item 3E, we must state plainly: FACIAL RECOGNITION TECHNOLOGY IS UNACCEPTABLE IN ANY FORM. In this item, the commission will review a proposed policy for LAPD use of the LASD Mugshot Database - that database uses "photo comparison technology" which is just FRT by another name. We cannot expand use of any of these technologies - we must instead OUTRIGHT BAN them.

For item 3F, Automatic Licence Plate Readers (ALPRs) - LAPD has been using ALPR since 2004, and now wants to cover its tracks with a policy. We reject the use of ALPRs because it is data mining and tracking of our communities. It was exposed through the CA state audit that LAPD is correlating names, address, birthdate and criminal records to license plates. This ultimately tracks our every movement and must stop!

Items 3H, I, and J are regarding Small Unmanned Aerial Systems (sUAS) aka Drones - Angelenos have spoken out against the use of drones and every policy that attempts to legitimize their use since 2013. We reject the acquisition of another drone that only expands the LAPD aerial fleet. We reject the expansion of the drones’ capabilities to break windows, and we also reject use of drones in the Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT). This is the mission creep Angelenos have been warning about. NO DRONES!!!

And finally, we demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary,
you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,
Conrad Woolfe
90210

From: Laura McPherson
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 1:16 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: Michel Moore; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Councilmember.lee@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; cindy.chang@latimes.com; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.wesson@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; ethics.commission@lacity.org; james.queally@latimes.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyLA.org; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Richard Tefank; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; Eileen Decker; paul.koretz@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; richard.winton@latimes.com; shanemurphygoldsmith@gmail.com; tips@laist.com
Subject: Public Comment 12/7/20

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Commissioners:

Regarding item 3B on tomorrow’s agenda: The commission is voting to approve a one-month audit of Body-Worn Video (BWV) and Digital In-Car Video (DICV) that checks officers are activating cameras according to policy. We see this as a purely procedural audit that does not address that these tools are used for prosecution and surveillance of our communities. They have not stopped the violence of law enforcement, nor resulted in officer accountability.

In item 3E on “Photo Comparison Technology,” the commission will review a proposed policy for LAPD use of the LASD Mugshot Database, whose “Photo Comparison Technology” is actually facial recognition technology (FRT). This is unacceptable and cannot happen! LAPD has secretly been using this type of technology since 2004. Reject LAPD's proposed “Use of Photo Comparison Technology” policy. Implement an outright ban on LAPD use of face recognition surveillance. Publicize and investigate LAPD's past use of face recognition surveillance in order to understand how the community has been impacted.
For item 3F, Automatic Licence Plate Readers (ALPRs) - LAPD has been using ALPR since 2004, and now wants to cover its tracks with a policy. We reject the use of ALPRs because it is data mining and tracking of our communities. It was exposed through the CA state audit that LAPD is correlating names, address, birthdate and criminal records to license plates. This ultimately tracks our every movement and must stop!

Items 3H, I, and J are regarding Small Unmanned Aerial Systems (sUAS) aka Drones - Angelenos have spoken out against the use of drones and every policy that attempts to legitimize their use since 2013. We reject the acquisition of another drone that only expands the LAPD aerial fleet. We reject the expansion of the drones’ capabilities to break windows, and we also reject use of drones in the Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT). This is the mission Angelenos have been warning about. Have y’all ever seen Black Mirror? We will turn into a world of dark, uncaring apathy if drones take on this form of responsibility.

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Laura McPherson

From: Sarah Bowers <[redacted]>
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 1:31 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Mayor Helpdesk; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; shanemurphygoldsmith@gmail.com; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Sboroff; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.wesson@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 12/08/2020

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open
Dear Los Angeles Police Commissioners:

* Agenda Item 3B: Auditing BWV and DICV is just procedural and does nothing to keep our communities safe. In fact, BWVs and DICVs do the opposite. They’re used for prosecution and surveillance of our communities. The LAPD often edits the video footage to fit their "account" of what happened in violent incidents.

* Agenda Item 3C: Nope, nope, nope. Reject this proposed policy. LAPD has already been using this technology in secret since 2004. Facial recognition surveillance needs to be banned. I demand you instead publicize and investigate LAPD's past use of this surveillance.

* Agenda Item 3F: Again, the use of ALPRs is not acceptable. It’s more data mining and tracking of our communities. LAPD already uses this but just want to further ameliorate and excuse themselves in doing so with the cover of a policy. The CA State Audit shows that LAPD is correlating names, address, birthdate, and criminal records to license plates. This is basically just tracking everyone’s movements - it's more surveillance that needs to stop.

* Agenda Item 3H, I, and J: NO. People of Los Angeles have already spoken out against the use of drones since 2013. NO DRONES, NO EXPANDED DRONE CAPABILITIES, AND NO DRONES USED IN MDCITS. This is an enormous infringement on citizens' rights.

* PUBLIC COMMENT 1: I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

* PUBLIC COMMENT 2: I demand you investigate and hold accountable LAPD for violently attacking peaceful protestors for using their 1st Amendment rights by speaking out against Mayor Garcetti. LAPD had NO excuse for using violence against these protestors. By letting this slip by your committee is once again promoting community violence.

Sincerely,
Sarah Bowers

From: Veronica Shirley
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 1:32 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; shanemurphygoldsmith@gmail.com; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.wesson@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; tips@laist.com; cindy.chang@latimes.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; richard.winton@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 12/08/2020
ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Regarding item 3B on tomorrow’s agenda: The commission is voting to approve a one-month audit of Body-Worn Video (BWV) and Digital In-Car Video (DICV) that checks officers are activating cameras according to policy. We see this as a purely procedural audit that does not address that these tools are used for prosecution and surveillance of our communities. They have not stopped the violence of law enforcement, nor resulted in officer accountability.

In item 3E on “Photo Comparison Technology,” the commission will review a proposed policy for LAPD use of the LASD Mugshot Database, whose “Photo Comparison Technology” is actually facial recognition technology (FRT). This is unacceptable and cannot happen! LAPD has secretly been using this type of technology since 2004. Reject LAPD’s proposed “Use of Photo Comparison Technology” policy. Implement an outright ban on LAPD use of face recognition surveillance. Publicize and investigate LAPD’s past use of face recognition surveillance in order to understand how the community has been impacted.

For item 3F, Automatic Licence Plate Readers (ALPRs) - LAPD has been using ALPR since 2004, and now wants to cover its tracks with a policy. We reject the use of ALPRs because it is data mining and tracking of our communities. It was exposed through the CA state audit that LAPD is correlating names, address, birthdate and criminal records to license plates. This ultimately tracks our every movement and must stop!

Items 3H, I, and J are regarding Small Unmanned Aerial Systems (sUAS) aka Drones - Angelenos have spoken out against the use of drones and every policy that attempts to legitimize their use since 2013. We reject the acquisition of another drone that only expands the LAPD aerial fleet. We reject the expansion of the drones’ capabilities to break windows, and we also reject use of drones in the Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT). This is the mission creep Angelenos have been warning about. I reject drones!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,
Veronica

From: LAPC Fails <[redacted]>
ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Erin,

While the “citizens voice in police affairs” Police Commissioners that Eric Garcetti has appointed certainly try their hardest to not reflect the interests of the community, and in fact continue to limit how are able to provide public comment, we appreciate your voice and comments!

Thanks so much for writing! Please do not let the inaction and dismissive nature of our city officials deter you from speaking up and speaking out!

-LAPCFails

On Mon, Dec 7, 2020 at 12:25 PM Erin Serfass <mailto:erin.serfass@lapd.lacity.org> wrote:

Dear Commissioners,

Regarding item 3B on tomorrow’s agenda: The commission is voting to approve a one-month audit of Body-Worn Video (BWV) and Digital In-Car Video (DICV) that checks officers are activating cameras according to policy. This is a purely procedural audit that does not address that these tools are used for prosecution and surveillance of our communities. They have not stopped the violence of law enforcement, nor resulted in officer accountability.

In item 3E on “Photo Comparison Technology,” the commission will review a proposed policy for LAPD use of the LASD Mugshot Database, whose “Photo Comparison Technology” is actually facial recognition technology (FRT). This is unacceptable and cannot happen. LAPD has secretly been using this type of technology since 2004. Reject LAPD’s proposed “Use of Photo Comparison Technology” policy and implement an outright ban on LAPD use of face recognition surveillance. Publicize and investigate
LAPD’s past use of face recognition surveillance in order to understand how the community has been impacted.

For item 3F, Automatic Licence Plate Readers (ALPRs) - LAPD has been using ALPR since 2004, and now wants to cover its tracks with a policy. We reject the use of ALPRs because it is data mining and tracking of our communities. It was exposed through the CA state audit that LAPD is correlating names, address, birthdate and criminal records to license plates. This ultimately tracks our every movement and has to end.

Items 3H, I, and J are regarding Small Unmanned Aerial Systems (sUAS) aka Drones - Angelenos have spoken out against the use of drones and every policy that attempts to legitimize their use since 2013. We reject the acquisition of another drone that only expands the LAPD aerial fleet. We reject the expansion of the drones’ capabilities to break windows, and we also reject use of drones in the Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT).

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Sincerely,
Erin Serfass

From: LAPC Fails <[redacted]>
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 1:40 PM
To: Malloy Moseley
Cc: Michel Moore; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Councilmember.lee@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; cindy.chang@latimes.com; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.wesson@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; ethics.commission@lacity.org; james.queally@latimes.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; lou@legacyla.org; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Richard Tefank; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; Eileen Decker; paul.koretz@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Police Commission; richard.winton@latimes.com; shanemurphygoldsmith@gmail.com; tips@laist.com
Subject: Re: PUBLIC COMMENT SUBMISSION - 12/08/2020

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Malloy,
While the “citizens voice in police affairs” Police Commissioners that Eric Garcetti has appointed certainly try their hardest to not reflect the interests of the
community, and in fact continue to limit how are able to provide public comment, we appreciate your voice and comments!

Thanks so much for writing! Please do not let the inaction and dismissive nature of our city officials deter you from speaking up and speaking out!

-LAPCFails

On Mon, Dec 7, 2020 at 12:28 PM Malloy Moseley <mailto:marriedandme@hotmai...> wrote:

Esteemed commissioners,

Regarding item 3B on tomorrow’s agenda: The commission is voting to approve a one-month audit of Body-Worn Video (BWV) and Digital In-Car Video (DICV) that checks officers are activating cameras according to policy. We see this as a purely procedural audit that does not address that these tools are used for prosecution and surveillance of our communities. They have not stopped the violence of law enforcement, nor resulted in officer accountability.

In item 3E on “Photo Comparison Technology,” the commission intends to review a proposed policy for LAPD use of the LASD Mugshot Database, whose “Photo Comparison Technology” is actually facial recognition technology (FRT). This is absolutely unacceptable and cannot happen! LAPD has secretly been using this type of technology since 2004. Reject LAPD’s proposed “Use of Photo Comparison Technology” policy. Implement an outright ban on LAPD use of face recognition surveillance. Publicize and investigate LAPD’s past use of face recognition surveillance in order to understand how the community has been impacted.

For item 3F, Automatic Licence Plate Readers (ALPRs) - LAPD has been using ALPR since 2004, and now wants to cover its tracks with a policy. We reject the use of ALPRs because it is data mining and tracking of our communities. It was exposed through the CA state audit that LAPD is correlating names, address, birthdate and criminal records to license plates. This ultimately tracks our every movement and must stop!

Items 3H, I, and J are regarding Small Unmanned Aerial Systems (sUAS) aka Drones - Angelenos have spoken out against the use of drones and every policy that
attempts to legitimize their use since 2013. We reject the acquisition of another drone that only expands the LAPD aerial fleet. We reject the expansion of the drones’ capabilities to break windows, and we also reject use of drones in the Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT). This is the mission creep Angelenos have been warning about. I reject drones!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Forty-five minutes is shorter than the average episode of The Queen’s Gambit on Netflix. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Malloy Moseley

--

Malloy Moseley

From: LAPC Fails <laphotolobby@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 1:41 PM
To: Chris Riddle
Cc: Michel Moore; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Councilmember.lee@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; Mayor Garcetti; Mayor Helpdesk; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; cindy.chang@latimes.com; councilmember.boning@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.disseman@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.wesson@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; ethics.commission@lacity.org; james.queally@latimes.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; lou@LegacyLA.org; mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Richard Tefank; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Sobotoff; Eileen Decker; paul.koretz@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Police Commission; richard.winton@latimes.com; shanemurphygoldsmith@gmail.com; tips@laist.com
Subject: Re: Public Comment 12/08/2020

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Chris,

While the “citizens voice in police affairs” Police Commissioners that Eric Garcetti has appointed certainly try their hardest to not reflect the interests of the community, and in fact continue to limit how are able to provide public comment, we
appreciate your voice and comments!

Thanks so much for writing! Please do not let the inaction and dismissive nature of our city officials deter you from speaking up and speaking out!

-LAPCFails

On Mon, Dec 7, 2020 at 12:33 PM Chris Riddle <mailto:______________________> wrote:

Commissioners:

Regarding item 3B on tomorrow’s agenda: The commission is voting to approve a one-month audit of Body-Worn Video (BMV) and Digital In-Car Video (DICV) that checks officers are activating cameras according to policy. We see this as a purely procedural audit that does not address that these tools are used for prosecution and surveillance of our communities. They have not stopped the violence of law enforcement, nor resulted in officer accountability.

In item 3E on “Photo Comparison Technology,” the commission will review a proposed policy for LAPD use of the LASD Mugshot Database, whose “Photo Comparison Technology” is actually facial recognition technology (FRT). This is unacceptable and cannot happen! LAPD has secretly been using this type of technology since 2004. Reject LAPD’s proposed “Use of Photo Comparison Technology” policy. Implement an outright ban on LAPD use of face recognition surveillance. Publicize and investigate LAPD’s past use of face recognition surveillance in order to understand how the community has been impacted.

For item 3F, Automatic Licence Plate Readers (ALPRs) - LAPD has been using ALPR since 2004, and now wants to cover its tracks with a policy. We reject the use of ALPRs because it is data mining and tracking of our communities. It was exposed through the CA state audit that LAPD is correlating names, address, birthdate and criminal records to license plates. This ultimately tracks our every movement and must stop!

Items 3H, I, and J are regarding Small Unmanned Aerial Systems (sUAS) aka Drones - Angelenos have spoken out against the use of drones and every policy that attempts to legitimize their use since 2013. We reject the acquisition of another drone that only expands the LAPD aerial fleet. We reject the expansion of the drones’ capabilities to break windows, and we also reject use of drones in the Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT). This is the mission creep Angelenos have been warning about. I reject drones!
I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Chris Riddle

From: LAPC Fails <mailto:xxxxxxx>
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 1:44 PM
To: Caroline Hoemeyer
Cc: Michel Moore; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; cindy.chang@latimes.com; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.harrisdawson@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.wesson@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; ethics.commission@lacity.org; james.queally@latimes.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; lou@legacyla.org; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Richard Tefank; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Sboroff; Eileen Decker; paul.koretz@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Police Commission; richard.winton@latimes.com; shanemurphygoldsmith@gmail.com; tips@laist.com
Subject: Re: Public Comment 12/08/2020

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Caroline,

While the “citizens voice in police affairs” Police Commissioners that Eric Garcetti has appointed certainly try their hardest to not reflect the interests of the community, and in fact continue to limit how are able to provide public comment, we appreciate your voice and comments!

Thanks so much for writing! Please do not let the inaction and dismissive nature of our city officials deter you from speaking up and speaking out!

-LAPCFails
Commissioners:

Regarding item 3B on tomorrow’s agenda: The commission is voting to approve a one-month audit of Body-Worn Video (BWV) and Digital In-Car Video (DICV) that checks officers are activating cameras according to policy. We see this as a purely procedural audit that does not address that these tools are used for prosecution and surveillance of our communities. They have not stopped the violence of law enforcement, nor resulted in officer accountability.

In item 3E on “Photo Comparison Technology,” the commission will review a proposed policy for LAPD use of the LASD Mugshot Database, whose “Photo Comparison Technology” is actually facial recognition technology (FRT). This is unacceptable and cannot happen! LAPD has secretly been using this type of technology since 2004. Reject LAPD’s proposed “Use of Photo Comparison Technology” policy. Implement an outright ban on LAPD use of face recognition surveillance. Publicize and investigate LAPD’s past use of face recognition surveillance in order to understand how the community has been impacted.

For item 3F, Automatic Licence Plate Readers (ALPRs) - LAPD has been using ALPR since 2004, and now wants to cover its tracks with a policy. We reject the use of ALPRs because it is data mining and tracking of our communities. It was exposed through the CA state audit that LAPD is correlating names, address, birthdate and criminal records to license plates. This ultimately tracks our every movement and must stop!

Items 3H, I, and J are regarding Small Unmanned Aerial Systems (sUAS) aka Drones - Angelenos have spoken out against the use of drones and every policy that attempts to legitimize their use since 2013. We reject the acquisition of another drone that only expands the LAPD aerial fleet. We reject the expansion of the drones’ capabilities to break windows, and we also reject use of drones in the Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT). This is the mission creep Angelenos have been warning about. I reject drones!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.
Best,

Caroline Hoenemeyer

From: LAPCFails <redacted>
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 1:45 PM
To: Alexander Powell
Cc: Michel Moore; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; cindy.chang@latimes.com; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.wesson@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; ethics.commission@lacity.org; james.queally@latimes.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; lou@legacyla.org; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Richard Tefank; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; Eileen Decker; paul.koretz@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Police Commission; richard.winton@latimes.com; shanemurphygoldsmith@gmail.com; tips@laist.com
Subject: Re: Sending in my Public Comment for the LAPC meeting on December Eighth, Two Thousand and Twenty

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Alex,
While the “citizens voice in police affairs” Police Commissioners that Eric Garcetti has appointed certainly try their hardest to not reflect the interests of the community, and in fact continue to limit how are able to provide public comment, we appreciate your voice and comments!

Thanks so much for writing! Please do not let the inaction and dismissive nature of our city officials deter you from speaking up and speaking out!

-LAPCFails

On Mon, Dec 7, 2020 at 12:44 PM Alexander Powell <redacted> wrote:

Hello LAPC Commissioners:

I am writing my Public Comment for tomorrow's meeting:
Regarding item 3B on tomorrow’s agenda: The commission is voting to approve a one-month audit of Body-Worn Video (BWV) and Digital In-Car Video (DICV) that checks officers are activating cameras according to policy. We see this as a purely procedural audit that does not address that these tools are used for prosecution and surveillance of our communities. They have not stopped the violence of law enforcement, nor resulted in officer accountability.

In item 3E on “Photo Comparison Technology,” the commission will review a proposed policy for LAPD use of the LASD Mugshot Database, whose “Photo Comparison Technology” is actually facial recognition technology (FRT). This is unacceptable and cannot happen! LAPD has secretly been using this type of technology since 2004. Reject LAPD’s proposed “Use of Photo Comparison Technology” policy. Implement an outright ban on LAPD use of face recognition surveillance. Publicize and investigate LAPD’s past use of face recognition surveillance in order to understand how the community has been impacted.

For item 3F, Automatic Licence Plate Readers (ALPRs) - LAPD has been using ALPR since 2004, and now wants to cover its tracks with a policy. We reject the use of ALPRs because it is data mining and tracking of our communities. It was exposed through the CA state audit that LAPD is correlating names, address, birthdate and criminal records to license plates. This ultimately tracks our every movement and must stop!

Items 3H, I, and J are regarding Small Unmanned Aerial Systems (sUAS) aka Drones - Angelenos have spoken out against the use of drones and every policy that attempts to legitimize their use since 2013. We reject the acquisition of another drone that only expands the LAPD aerial fleet. We reject the expansion of the drones’ capabilities to break windows, and we also reject use of drones in the Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT). This is the mission creep Angelenos have been warning about. I reject drones!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,
Alex Powell

From: LAPC Fails <lapc.fails@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 1:45 PM
To: geneva
Cc: Michel Moore; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Councilmember.lee@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; cindy.chang@latimes.com; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.wesson@lacity.org; Dale Bonner;
ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Geneva,
While the “citizens voice in police affairs” Police Commissioners that Eric Garcetti has appointed certainly try their hardest to not reflect the interests of the community, and in fact continue to limit how are able to provide public comment, we appreciate your voice and comments!

Thanks so much for writing! Please do not let the inaction and dismissive nature of our city officials deter you from speaking up and speaking out!

-LAPCFails

On Mon, Dec 7, 2020 at 12:45 PM geneva <geneva@lacity.org> wrote:

Commissioners:

1. Regarding item 3B on tomorrow’s agenda: The commission is voting to approve a one-month audit of Body-Worn Video (BWV) and Digital In-Car Video (DICV) that checks officers are activating cameras according to policy. We see this as a purely procedural audit that does not address these tools use for prosecution and surveillance of our communities. They have not stopped the violence of law enforcement, nor resulted in officer accountability.

2. In item 3E on “Photo Comparison Technology,” the commission will review a proposed policy for LAPD use of the LASD Mugshot Database, whose “Photo Comparison Technology” is actually facial recognition technology (FRT). This is unacceptable and cannot happen! LAPD has secretly been using this type of technology since 2004. Reject LAPD’s proposed “Use of Photo Comparison Technology” policy. Implement an outright ban on LAPD use of face recognition surveillance. Please publicize and investigate LAPD’s past use of face recognition surveillance to understand how the community has been impacted.

3. For item 3F, Automatic Licence Plate Readers (ALPRs) - LAPD has been using ALPR since 2004 and now wants to justify that usage and cover its tracks with policy. We reject the use of ALPRs because it is data mining and tracking of our communities. It was exposed through the CA state audit that LAPD is correlating
names, addresses, birthdate, and criminal records to license plates. This ultimately tracks our every movement and must stop!

4. Items 3H, I, and J are regarding Small Unmanned Aerial Systems (sUAS) aka Drones - Angelenos have spoken out against the use of drones and every policy that attempts to legitimize their use since 2013. We reject the acquisition of another drone that only expands the LAPD aerial fleet. We reject the expansion of the drones’ capabilities to break windows, and we also reject the use of drones in the Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT). This is the mission creep Angelenos have been warning about. I completely reject drone usage!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings and for how long. The more public comment time you give, the more possibility for a diverse amount of voices. Is your limiting of time fueled by your inability to handle public disapproval? Please know it is your job to serve the community concerns as they come to you, and the frustrations of community members come as a result of tedious work to protect our communities’ safety.

Thank you for your time.

Signed,

Geneva West

From: LAPC Fails <[redacted]>
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 1:45 PM
To: ashley brim
Cc: Police Commission
Subject: Re: Public Comment 12/08/2020

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Ashley,

While the “citizens voice in police affairs” Police Commissioners that Eric Garcetti has appointed certainly try their hardest to not reflect the interests of the community, and in fact continue to limit how are able to provide public comment, we appreciate your voice and comments!

Thanks so much for writing! Please do not let the inaction and dismissive nature of
our city officials deter you from speaking up and speaking out!

-LAPCFails

On Mon, Dec 7, 2020 at 12:45 PM ashley brim <mailto:>
> wrote:

Commissioners:

There are a number of very concerning surveillance items on your agenda for 12/8. I hope you will use your power as "the citizens' voice in public affairs" to take bold action to curtail broad spying efforts by the LAPD.

And as the citizens' voice, I also demand that you stop limiting public comment to 45 minutes at your meetings. How can you represent the people if you do not make adequate space to hear from us?

ITEM 3B:
This item does not address that BwV and DICV are being used to surveil our communities and that their "intended use" - stopping violence of law enforcement by increasing officer accountability - has not come to fruition.

ITEM 3E:
Reject LAPD’s proposed “Use of Photo Comparison Technology” policy. Implement an outright ban on LAPD use of face recognition surveillance. Publicize and investigate LAPD’s past use of face recognition surveillance in order to understand how the community has been impacted.

ITEM 3F:
ALPRs are tools of data mining and mass surveillance in our communities. You must reject LAPD's proposal to make what they have been doing for years into a policy. Ban LAPD's use of ALPRs.

ITEMS 3H, I, J:
We reject the acquisition of another drone that only expands the LAPD aerial fleet. We reject the expansion of the drones' capabilities to break windows, and we also reject use of drones in the Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT). This is the mission creep Angelenos have been warning about.

Best,
Ashley Brim

--

Ashley Paige Brim // she/her/hers
Co-Producer / HOMELAND
Director / An Act of Terror
Fox Directing Lab 2018-19
HALF Initiative Directing Fellow 2017
YES on J!

From: LAPC Fails <[redacted]>
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 1:46 PM
To: Conrad Woolfe
Cc: Michel Moore; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; Mayor Garcetti; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; cindy.chang@latimes.com; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.wesson@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; ethics.commission@lacity.org; james.queally@latimes.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; lou@legacyla.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Richard Tefank; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; Eileen Decker; paul.koretz@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Police Commission; richard.winton@latimes.com; tips@laist.com
Subject: Re: PUBLIC COMMENT 12/8/20

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Conrad,
While the “citizens voice in police affairs” Police Commissioners that Eric Garcetti has appointed certainly try their hardest to not reflect the interests of the community, and in fact continue to limit how are able to provide public comment, we appreciate your voice and comments!

Thanks so much for writing! Please do not let the inaction and dismissive nature of our city officials deter you from speaking up and speaking out!

-LAPCFails

On Mon, Dec 7, 2020 at 1:03 PM Conrad Woolfe <[redacted]>
<mailto:[redacted]>> wrote:

Commissioners:
There are a number of issues on the agenda for tomorrow's meeting that must urgently be addressed.

We are concerned that Item 3B concerns a purely procedural and ultimately performative audit of Body-Worn Video and Digital In-Car Video footage. Any audit and review must address that these tools do not keep our community safe and in fact are used for prosecution and surveillance. WE MUST HOLD VIOLENT OFFICERS ACCOUNTABLE.

Regarding Item 3E, we must state plainly: FACIAL RECOGNITION TECHNOLOGY IS UNACCEPTABLE IN ANY FORM. In this item, the commission will review a proposed policy for LAPD use of the LASD Mugshot Database - that database uses "photo comparison technology" which is just FRT by another name. We cannot expand use of any of these technologies - we must instead OUTRIGHT BAN them.

For item 3F, Automatic Licence Plate Readers (ALPRs) - LAPD has been using ALPR since 2004, and now wants to cover its tracks with a policy. We reject the use of ALPRs because it is data mining and tracking of our communities. It was exposed through the CA state audit that LAPD is correlating names, address, birthdate and criminal records to license plates. This ultimately tracks our every movement and must stop!

Items 3H, I, and J are regarding Small Unmanned Aerial Systems (sUAS) aka Drones - Angelenos have spoken out against the use of drones and every policy that attempts to legitimize their use since 2013. We reject the acquisition of another drone that only expands the LAPD aerial fleet. We reject the expansion of the drones’ capabilities to break windows, and we also reject use of drones in the Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT). This is the mission creep Angelenos have been warning about. NO DRONES!!!

And finally, we demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,
Conrad Woolfe
90210

From: LAPC Fails <lacity.org>
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 1:46 PM
To: Laura McPherson
Cc: Michel Moore; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; cindy.chang@latimes.com; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org;
councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org;
Hi Laura,

While the "citizens voice in police affairs" Police Commissioners that Eric Garcetti has appointed certainly try their hardest to not reflect the interests of the community, and in fact continue to limit how are able to provide public comment, we appreciate your voice and comments!

Thanks so much for writing! Please do not let the inaction and dismissive nature of our city officials deter you from speaking up and speaking out!

-LAPCFails

On Mon, Dec 7, 2020 at 1:16 PM Laura McPherson <mailto:******@******.******> wrote:

Dear Commissioners:

Regarding item 3B on tomorrow’s agenda: The commission is voting to approve a one-month audit of Body-Worn Video (BWV) and Digital In-Car Video (DICV) that checks officers are activating cameras according to policy. We see this as a purely procedural audit that does not address that these tools are used for prosecution and surveillance of our communities. They have not stopped the violence of law enforcement, nor resulted in officer accountability.

In item 3E on “Photo Comparison Technology,” the commission will review a proposed policy for LAPD use of the LASD Mugshot Database, whose “Photo Comparison Technology” is actually facial recognition technology (FRT). This is unacceptable and cannot happen! LAPD has secretly been using this type of technology since 2004. Reject LAPD’s proposed “Use of Photo Comparison Technology” policy. Implement an outright ban on LAPD use of face recognition surveillance. Publicize and investigate LAPD’s past use of face recognition surveillance in order to understand how the community has been impacted.
For item 3F, Automatic Licence Plate Readers (ALPRs) - LAPD has been using ALPR since 2004, and now wants to cover its tracks with a policy. We reject the use of ALPRs because it is data mining and tracking of our communities. It was exposed through the CA state audit that LAPD is correlating names, address, birthdate and criminal records to license plates. This ultimately tracks our every movement and must stop!

Items 3H, I, and J are regarding Small Unmanned Aerial Systems (sUAS) aka Drones - Angelenos have spoken out against the use of drones and every policy that attempts to legitimize their use since 2013. We reject the acquisition of another drone that only expands the LAPD aerial fleet. We reject the expansion of the drones’ capabilities to break windows, and we also reject use of drones in the Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT). This is the mission Angelenos have been warning about. Have y’all ever seen Black Mirror? We will turn into a world of dark, uncaring apathy if drones take on this form of responsibility.

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Laura McPherson
ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Veronica,

While the "citizens voice in police affairs" Police Commissioners that Eric Garcetti has appointed certainly try their hardest to not reflect the interests of the community, and in fact continue to limit how are able to provide public comment, we appreciate your voice and comments!

Thanks so much for writing! Please do not let the inaction and dismissive nature of our city officials deter you from speaking up and speaking out!

-LAPCFails

On Mon, Dec 7, 2020 at 1:32 PM Veronica Shirley wrote:

Commissioners:

Regarding item 3B on tomorrow’s agenda: The commission is voting to approve a one-month audit of Body-Worn Video (BWV) and Digital In-Car Video (DICV) that checks officers are activating cameras according to policy. We see this as a purely procedural audit that does not address that these tools are used for prosecution and surveillance of our communities. They have not stopped the violence of law enforcement, nor resulted in officer accountability.

In item 3E on “Photo Comparison Technology,” the commission will review a proposed policy for LAPD use of the LASD Mugshot Database, whose “Photo Comparison Technology” is actually facial recognition technology (FRT). This is unacceptable and cannot happen! LAPD has secretly been using this type of technology since 2004. Reject LAPD’s proposed “Use of Photo Comparison Technology” policy. Implement an outright ban on LAPD use of face recognition surveillance. Publicize and investigate LAPD’s past use of face recognition surveillance in order to understand how the community has been impacted.

For item 3F, Automatic Licence Plate Readers (ALPRs) - LAPD has been using ALPR since 2004, and now wants to cover its tracks with a policy. We reject the use of ALPRs because it is data mining and tracking of our communities. It was exposed through the CA state audit that LAPD is correlating names, address, birthdate and criminal records to license plates. This ultimately tracks our every movement and must stop!

Items 3H, I, and J are regarding Small Unmanned Aerial Systems (sUAS) aka Drones - Angelenos have spoken out against the use of drones and every policy that
attempts to legitimize their use since 2013. We reject the acquisition of another drone that only expands the LAPD aerial fleet. We reject the expansion of the drones’ capabilities to break windows, and we also reject use of drones in the Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT). This is the mission creep Angelenos have been warning about. I reject drones!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,
Veronica

From: LAPC Fails <mailto:LAPC Fails>
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 1:47 PM
To: Sarah Bowers
Cc: Michel Moore; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org;
Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; Mayor Helpdesk; Police Commission; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org;
councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org;
councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org;
councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org;
councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.wesson@lacity.org; Dale Bonner;
ethics.commission@lacity.org; lou@legacyla.org; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org;
mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Richard Tefank; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff;
Eileen Decker; paul.koretz@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org;
shanemurphygoldsmith@gmail.com
Subject: Re: Public Comment 12/08/2020

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Sarah,
While the “citizens voice in police affairs” Police Commissioners that Eric Garcetti has appointed certainly try their hardest to not reflect the interests of the community, and in fact continue to limit how are able to provide public comment, we appreciate your voice and comments!

Thanks so much for writing! Please do not let the inaction and dismissive nature of our city officials deter you from speaking up and speaking out!

-LAPCFails

On Mon, Dec 7, 2020 at 1:30 PM Sarah Bowers <mailto:Sandra Bowers> wrote:
Dear Los Angeles Police Commissioners:

* Agenda Item 3B: Auditing BWV and DICV is just procedural and does nothing to keep our communities safe. In fact, BWVs and DICVs do the opposite. They’re used for prosecution and surveillance of our communities. The LAPD often edits the video footage to fit their "account" of what happened in violent incidents.

* Agenda Item 3C: Nope, nope, nope. Reject this proposed policy. LAPD has already been using this technology in secret since 2004. Facial recognition surveillance needs to be banned. I demand you instead publicize and investigate LAPD's past use of this surveillance.

* Agenda Item 3F: Again, the use of ALPRs is not acceptable. It's more data mining and tracking of our communities. LAPD already uses this but just want to further ameliorate and excuse themselves in doing so with the cover of a policy. The CA State Audit shows that LAPD is correlating names, address, birthdate, and criminal records to license plates. This is basically just tracking everyone's movements - it's more surveillance that needs to stop.

* Agenda Item 3H, I, and J: NO. People of Los Angeles have already spoken out against the use of drones since 2013. NO DRONES, NO EXPANDED DRONE CAPABILITIES, AND NO DRONES USED IN MDCITs. This is an enormous infringement on citizens' rights.

* PUBLIC COMMENT 1: I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

* PUBLIC COMMENT 2: I demand you investigate and hold accountable LAPD for violently attacking peaceful protestors for using their 1st Amendment rights by speaking out against Mayor Garcetti. LAPD had NO excuse for using violence against these protestors. By letting this slip by your committee is once again promoting community violence.

Sincerely,

Sarah Bowers

From: Cornelia Clarke <cornelialec@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 2:07 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; shanemurphygoldsmith@gmail.com; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.wesson@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank;
ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Regarding item 3B on tomorrow's agenda: The commission is voting to approve a one-month audit of Body-Worn Video (BWV) and Digital In-Car Video (DICV) that checks officers are activating cameras according to policy. We see this as a purely procedural audit that does not address that these tools are used for prosecution and surveillance of our communities. They have not stopped the violence of law enforcement, nor resulted in officer accountability.

In item 3E on “Photo Comparison Technology,” the commission will review a proposed policy for LAPD use of the LASD Mugshot Database, whose “Photo Comparison Technology” is actually facial recognition technology (FRT). This is unacceptable and cannot happen! LAPD has secretly been using this type of technology since 2004. Reject LAPD’s proposed “Use of Photo Comparison Technology” policy. Implement an outright ban on LAPD use of face recognition surveillance. Publicize and investigate LAPD’s past use of face recognition surveillance in order to understand how the community has been impacted.

For item 3F, Automatic Licence Plate Readers (ALPRs) - LAPD has been using ALPR since 2004, and now wants to cover its tracks with a policy. We reject the use of ALPRs because it is data mining and tracking of our communities. It was exposed through the CA state audit that LAPD is correlating names, address, birthdate and criminal records to license plates. This ultimately tracks our every movement and must stop!

Items 3H, I, and J are regarding Small Unmanned Aerial Systems (sUAS) aka Drones - Angelenos have spoken out against the use of drones and every policy that attempts to legitimize their use since 2013. We reject the acquisition of another drone that only expands the LAPD aerial fleet. We reject the expansion of the drones’ capabilities to break windows, and we also reject use of drones in the Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT). This is the mission creep Angelenos have been warning about. I reject drones!
I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,
Cornelia Clarke

From: Audrey Georg <audrey.georg@lacounty.gov>
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 2:14 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacounty.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacounty.org; ana.guerrero@lacounty.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacounty.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacounty.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacounty.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacounty.org; councilmember.wesson@lacounty.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacounty.org; councilmember.price@lacounty.org; paul.koretz@lacounty.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacounty.org; councilmember.bonin@lacounty.org; councilmember.martinez@lacounty.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacounty.org; paul.krekorian@lacounty.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacounty.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacounty.org; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@LegacyLA.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; richard.winton@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacounty.org
Subject: Public Comment 12/08/2020 (item #3B)

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

The commission is voting to approve a one-month audit of Body-Worn Video (BWV) and Digital In-Car Video (DICV) that checks officers are activating cameras according to policy. We see this as a purely procedural audit that does not address that hard and fast fact that that equipment enables and tried to mask the racist targeting of Black and Brown communities when used as a surveillance tool by LAPD. They have not stopped the violence of law enforcement, nor resulted in officer accountability.

Signed,
Audrey Georg

"If you are neutral in situations of injustice, you have chosen the side of the
oppressor.” Desmond Tutu

From: Audrey Georg <audrey.georg@lacounty.ca.gov>
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 2:19 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacounty.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacounty.org; ana.guerrero@lacounty.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacounty.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacounty.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacounty.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacounty.org; councilmember.wesson@lacounty.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacounty.org; councilmember.price@lacounty.org; paul.koretz@lacounty.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacounty.org; councilmember.bonin@lacounty.org; councilmember.martinez@lacounty.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacounty.org; paul.krekorian@lacounty.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacounty.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacounty.org; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@LegacyLA.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; richard.winton@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacounty.org
Subject: Re: Public Comment 12/08/2020 (item #3E)

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

How stupid do you think the community is to fall for the ruse of LAPD using a different name for Facial Recognition Technology, calling it “Photo Comparison Technology,” will blind us to the fact that LAPD has secretly and deviously been using this type of technology for years – even while engaging in a blatant misinformation and lying campaign to try and hide that fact.

Now the commission will review a proposed policy for LAPD use of the LASD Mugshot Database, whose "Photo Comparison Technology" is actually facial recognition technology (FRT).

This is unacceptable and cannot happen and we demand that you reject LAPD’s proposed “Use of Photo Comparison Technology” policy. Implement an outright ban on LAPD use of face recognition surveillance. Publicize and investigate LAPD’s past use of face recognition surveillance in order to understand how the community has been impacted.

Signed,

Audrey Georg
"If you are neutral in situations of injustice, you have chosen the side of the oppressor." Desmond Tutu

From: Joel Morgan <[redacted]>
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 2:20 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; shanemurphygoldsmith@gmail.com; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.wesson@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; lappcfail@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; tips@laiist.com; cindy.chang@latimes.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; richard.winton@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 12/08/2020

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Regarding item 3B on tomorrow’s agenda: The commission is voting to approve a one-month audit of Body-Worn Video (BWV) and Digital In-Car Video (DICV) that checks officers are activating cameras according to policy. We see this as a purely procedural audit that does not address that these tools are used for prosecution and surveillance of our communities. They have not stopped the violence of law enforcement, nor resulted in officer accountability.

In item 3E on “Photo Comparison Technology,” the commission will review a proposed policy for LAPD use of the LASD Mugshot Database, whose “Photo Comparison Technology” is actually facial recognition technology (FRT). This is unacceptable and cannot happen! LAPD has secretly been using this type of technology since 2004. Reject LAPD’s proposed “Use of Photo Comparison Technology” policy. Implement an outright ban on LAPD use of face recognition surveillance. Publicize and investigate LAPD’s past use of face recognition surveillance in order to understand how the community has been impacted.

For item 3F, Automatic Licence Plate Readers (ALPRs) - LAPD has been using ALPR since 2004, and now wants to cover its tracks with a policy. We reject the use of ALPRs because it is data mining and tracking our communities. It was exposed through the CA state audit that LAPD is correlating names, address, birthdate and criminal
records to license plates. This ultimately tracks our every movement and must stop!

Items 3H, I, and J are regarding Small Unmanned Aerial Systems (sUAS) aka Drones - Angelenos have spoken out against the use of drones and every policy that attempts to legitimize their use since 2013. We reject the acquisition of another drone that only expands the LAPD aerial fleet. We reject the expansion of the drones' capabilities to break windows, and we also reject use of drones in the Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT). This is the mission creep Angelenos have been warning about. I reject drones!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

The police are a public health disaster! #defundthepolice

Signed,
- Joel Morgan
Resident, CD1
Member, Los Angeles Tenants Union
Member, Unite Here Local 11

From: Amanda Horn <amanda.horn@example.com>
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 2:23 PM
To: Photo Mug Shot; Eileen Decker; Lizabeth Rhodes; Police Commission
Subject: Urgent - PCT

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Commissioners Decker, Bonner, Calanche, and Soboheroff,

Photo Comparison Technology (PCT) is a good investigative tool and proven technology that helps develop investigations. The audit procedures that you want to implement are an undue burden. Furthermore, having multiple department entries is a waste of time. Creating such a hinderance and burden with so many audit procedures is a set up for failure and it will discourage officers from utilizing this important tool. You need to help LAPD increase efficiency and effectiveness, not set them up with more road blocks that ultimately prevents competent and thorough investigations. The Department and the Police Commission need to do the job of protecting the victim's and stop protecting criminal offenders; especially in times of decreased funding and personnel shortages. Now is not the time to take away useful technology tools. We have had over 300 murders this year. Think about the victims.

If 1000 people get arrested and one of them ends up being innocent, that does not negate the other 999 guilty individuals nor the fact that there are still 1000 victims. But LAPD Police Commission President Eileen Decker and Director of the Office of Constitutional Policing and Policy Liz Rhodes will laser focus on that ONE
wrongly accused creating policy that serves them instead of the 1000 victims. Long after they are gone from LAPD and the Commission, the reform measures that Eileen Decker and Liz Rhodes are implementing will hinder LAPD police work in helping victims for years to come. Their legacy will be that they caved into BLM protestor demands in 2020 and were overzealous in passing extreme and damaging reform measures within the LAPD. The reform measures that Liz Rhodes and Eileen Decker have been shoving down the throats of public safety interest and the LAPD are biased, pro criminal, anti-police, anti-victim, and a grave injustice to the citizens of Los Angeles.

We urge you Commissioners to not vote in favor of any measures that will negatively impact PCT or that will create more “red tape” for officers using this important crime fighting tool.

Sincerely,
Amanda Horn

From: Kelsey Vidic
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 2:28 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; shanemurphygoldsmith@gmail.com; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.wesson@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; tips@laist.com; cindy.chang@latimes.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; richard.winton@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 12/08/2020

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless YOU recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Regarding item 3B on tomorrow’s agenda: The commission is voting to approve a one-month audit of Body-Worn Video (BWV) and Digital In-Car Video (DICV) that checks officers are activating cameras according to policy. We see this as a purely procedural audit that does not address that these tools are used for prosecution and surveillance of our communities. They have not stopped the violence of law enforcement, nor resulted in officer accountability.

In item 3E on “Photo Comparison Technology,” the commission will review a proposed
policy for LAPD use of the LASD Mugshot Database, whose “Photo Comparison Technology” is actually facial recognition technology (FRT). This is unacceptable and cannot happen! LAPD has secretly been using this type of technology since 2004. Reject LAPD’s proposed “Use of Photo Comparison Technology” policy. Implement an outright ban on LAPD use of face recognition surveillance. Publicize and investigate LAPD’s past use of face recognition surveillance in order to understand how the community has been impacted.

For item 3F, Automatic Licence Plate Readers (ALPRs) - LAPD has been using ALPR since 2004, and now wants to cover its tracks with a policy. We reject the use of ALPRs because it is data mining and tracking of our communities. It was exposed through the CA state audit that LAPD is correlating names, address, birthdate and criminal records to license plates. This ultimately tracks our every movement and must stop!

Items 3H, I, and J are regarding Small Unmanned Aerial Systems (sUAS) aka Drones - Angelenos have spoken out against the use of drones and every policy that attempts to legitimize their use since 2013. We reject the acquisition of another drone that only expands the LAPD aerial fleet. We reject the expansion of the drones’ capabilities to break windows, and we also reject use of drones in the Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT). This is the mission creep Angelenos have been warning about. I reject drones!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

--

Kelsey Vidic
Costume Shop Designer & Manager
Department of Dance
California State University, Long Beach

MFA, Costume Design
The University of Texas at Austin

From: Kim Weaver <kweaver@calstate.edu>
ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Commissioners Decker, Bonner, Calanche, and Soboroff,

Photo Comparison Technology (PCT) is a good investigative tool and proven technology that helps develop investigations. The audit procedures that you want to implement are an undue burden. Furthermore, having multiple department entries is a waste of time. Creating such a hinderance and burden with so many audit procedures is a set up for failure and it will discourage officers from utilizing this important tool.

You need to help LAPD increase efficiency and effectiveness, not set them up with more road blocks that ultimately prevents competent and thorough investigations. The Department and the Police Commission need to do the job of protecting the victim's and stop protecting criminal offenders; especially in times of decreased funding and personnel shortages. Now is not the time to take away useful technology tools. We have had over 300 murders this year. Think about the victims.

If 1000 people get arrested and one of them ends up being innocent, that does not negate the other 999 guilty individuals nor the fact that there are still 1000 victims. But LAPD Police Commission President Eileen Decker and Director of the Office of Constitutional Policing and Policy Liz Rhodes will laser focus on that ONE wrongly accused creating policy that serves them instead of the 1000 victims. Long after they are gone from LAPD and the Commission, the reform measures that Eileen Decker and Liz Rhodes are implementing will hinder LAPD police work in helping victims for years to come. Their legacy will be that they caved into BLM protestor demands in 2020 and were overzealous in passing extreme and damaging reform measures within the LAPD. The reform measures that Liz Rhodes and Eileen Decker have been shoving down the throats of public safety interest and the LAPD are biased, pro criminal, anti-police, anti-victim, and a grave injustice to the citizens of Los Angeles.

We urge you Commissioners to not vote in favor of any measures that will negatively impact PCT or that will create more “red tape” for officers using this important crime fighting tool.

Sincerely,

Kimberlea C. Weaver

From: Collin Lapinsky <redacted>
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 2:52 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; shanemurphygoldsmith@gmail.com; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.wesson@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org;
ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Regarding tomorrow's agenda:

Item 3B

A procedural audit of Body-Worn Video (BWV) and Digital In-Car Video (DICV) does nothing to stop the mounting surveillance and violence against our communities. Neither does it work toward holding officers accountable for their conduct.

Item 3E

"Photo Comparison Technology" is a fancy phrasing to hide the use of facial recognition technology (FRT). There should be outright ban on LAPD use of face recognition surveillance. This is unacceptable.

Item 3F

Automatic Licence Plate Readers (ALPRs) incur the same injustices on citizens - building databases solely for the purposes of tracking and prosecuting undeserving citizens on bases of suspicion. An early piece of LAPD's "shoot first, ask questions later" approach.

Items 3H, I, and J

LAPD's aerial fleet has been a subject of criticism from Angelenos since their first implementation. Drones have no place in the mission of LAPD other than its unspoken mission of TERRORIZING our communities.

A resolute NO to any and all drones!
Collin Lapinsky

Constituent of LA's 10th District

From: Audrey Georg <audrey.georg@lacity.org>
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 3:03 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.wesson@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@LegacyLA.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; richard.winton@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Re: Public Comment 12/08/2020 (item #3F)

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

For item 3F, Automatic Licence Plate Readers (ALPRs) - LAPD has been using ALPR since 2004, and now wants to cover its tracks with a policy. We reject the use of ALPRs because it is data mining and tracking of our communities. It was exposed through the CA state audit that LAPD is correlating names, address, birthdate and criminal records to license plates. This ultimately tracks our every movement and must stop!

Signed,

Audrey Georg
“If you are neutral in situations of injustice, you have chosen the side of the oppressor.” Desmond Tutu

On Monday, December 7, 2020, 2:19:16 PM PST, Audrey Georg wrote:

Commissioners:

How stupid do you think the community is to fall for the ruse of LAPD using a different name for Facial Recognition Technology, calling it “Photo Comparison Technology,” will blind us to the fact that LAPD has secretly and deviously been using this type of technology for years - even while engaging in a blatant misinformation and lying campaign to try and hide that fact.

Now the commission will review a proposed policy for LAPD use of the LASD Mugshot Database, whose “Photo Comparison Technology” is actually facial recognition technology (FRT).

This is unacceptable and cannot happen and we demand that you reject LAPD’s proposed “Use of Photo Comparison Technology” policy. Implement an outright ban on LAPD use of face recognition surveillance. Publicize and investigate LAPD’s past use of face recognition surveillance in order to understand how the community has been impacted.

Signed,

    Audrey Georg

“If you are neutral in situations of injustice, you have chosen the side of the oppressor.” Desmond Tutu
From: Akhil Gopal <akhil.gopal@lacounty.gov>
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 3:16 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; shane.murphy.goldsmith@gmail.com; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; councilmember.kevin.deleon@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.wesson@lacity.org; councilmember.harrisdawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; lapcfalls@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; tips@laist.com; cindy.chang@latimes.com; kevin.lector@latimes.com; richard.winton@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 12/08/2020

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

This is an email regarding item 3B on tomorrow's agenda: The commission is voting to approve a one-month audit of Body-Worn Video (BWV) and Digital In-Car Video (DICV) that checks officers are activating cameras according to policy. This entirely insufficient, procedural audit does not address that these tools are used for prosecution and surveillance of our communities. They have not stopped the violence of law enforcement, nor resulted in officer accountability.

In item 3E on "Photo Comparison Technology," the commission will review a proposed policy for LAPD use of the LASD Mugshot Database, whose "Photo Comparison Technology" is actually facial recognition technology (FRT). It is outrageous that LA's police commission would want to legitimize the use of this technology with a policy! LAPD has secretly been using this type of technology since 2004. Reject LAPD's proposed "Use of Photo Comparison Technology" policy. You must implement an outright ban on LAPD use of face recognition surveillance. Publicize and investigate LAPD's past use of face recognition surveillance in order to understand how the community has been impacted.

For item 3F, Automatic Licence Plate Readers (ALPRs) - LAPD has been using ALPR since 2004, and now wants to cover its tracks with a policy. We reject the use of ALPRs because it is data mining and tracking of our communities. A CA state audit exposed that LAPD is correlating names, addresses, birthdates and criminal records to license plates. This ultimately tracks our every movement and must stop!

Items 3H, I, and J are regarding Small Unmanned Aerial Systems (sUAS) aka Drones - Angelenos have spoken out against the use of drones and every policy that attempts to legitimize their use since 2013. We reject the acquisition of another drone that only expands the LAPD aerial fleet. We reject the expansion of the drones' capabilities to break windows, and we also reject use of drones in the
Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT). This is the mission creep Angelenos have been warning about. I reject drones!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Akhil Gopal

From: Stevie Anderson <removed>
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 3:24 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; shanemurphygoldsmith@gmail.com; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.wesson@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; Councilmember.lee@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; tips@laist.com; cindy.chang@latimes.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; richard.winton@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 12/08/2020

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Regarding item 3B on tomorrow’s agenda: The commission is voting to approve a one-month audit of Body-Worn Video (BWV) and Digital In-Car Video (DICV) that checks officers are activating cameras according to policy. We see this as a purely procedural audit that does not address that these tools are used for prosecution and surveillance of our communities. They have not stopped the violence of law enforcement, nor resulted in officer accountability.

In item 3E on “Photo Comparison Technology,” the commission will review a proposed policy for LAPD use of the LASD Mugshot Database, whose “Photo Comparison Technology” is actually facial recognition technology (FRT). This is unacceptable and cannot happen! LAPD has secretly been using this type of technology since 2004. Reject LAPD’s proposed “Use of Photo Comparison Technology” policy. Implement an outright ban on LAPD use of face recognition surveillance. Publicize and investigate LAPD’s past use of face recognition surveillance in order to understand how the
community has been impacted.

For item 3F, Automatic Licence Plate Readers (ALPRs) - LAPD has been using ALPR since 2004, and now wants to cover its tracks with a policy. We reject the use of ALPRs because it is data mining and tracking of our communities. It was exposed through the CA state audit that LAPD is correlating names, address, birthdate and criminal records to license plates. This ultimately tracks our every movement and must stop!

Items 3H, I, and J are regarding Small Unmanned Aerial Systems (sUAS) aka Drones - Angelenos have spoken out against the use of drones and every policy that attempts to legitimize their use since 2013. We reject the acquisition of another drone that only expands the LAPD aerial fleet. We reject the expansion of the drones’ capabilities to break windows, and we also reject use of drones in the Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT). This is the mission creep Angelenos have been warning about. I reject drones!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Stevie Anderson

????????????????  ?????????????????
TV Development & Casting

From: Maureen Silva <[redacted]>
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 3:29 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org;
Eileen Decker; shanemurphygoldsmith@gmail.com; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.wesson@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; tips@laist.com; cindy.chang@latimes.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; richard.winton@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org

Subject: Public Comment 12/08/2020

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Regarding item 3B on tomorrow’s agenda: The commission is voting to approve a one-month audit of Body-Worn Video (BWV) and Digital In-Car Video (DICV) that checks officers are activating cameras according to policy. We see this as a purely procedural audit that does not address that these tools are used for prosecution and surveillance of our communities. They have not stopped the violence of law enforcement, nor resulted in officer accountability.

In item 3E on “Photo Comparison Technology,” the commission will review a proposed policy for LAPD use of the LASD Mugshot Database, whose “Photo Comparison Technology” is actually facial recognition technology (FRT). This is unacceptable and cannot happen! LAPD has secretly been using this type of technology since 2004. Reject LAPD’s proposed “Use of Photo Comparison Technology” policy. Implement an outright ban on LAPD use of face recognition surveillance. Publicize and investigate LAPD’s past use of face recognition surveillance in order to understand how the community has been impacted.

For item 3F, Automatic Licence Plate Readers (ALPRs) - LAPD has been using ALPR since 2004, and now wants to cover its tracks with a policy. We reject the use of ALPRs because it is data mining and tracking of our communities. It was exposed through the CA state audit that LAPD is correlating names, address, birthdate and criminal records to license plates. This ultimately tracks our every movement and must stop!

Items 3H, I, and J are regarding Small Unmanned Aerial Systems (sUAS) aka Drones - Angelenos have spoken out against the use of drones and every policy that attempts to legitimize their use since 2013. We reject the acquisition of another drone that only expands the LAPD aerial fleet. We reject the expansion of the drones’ capabilities to break windows, and we also reject use of drones in the Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT). This is the mission creep Angelenos have been warning about. I reject drones!
I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Maureen Silva

From: Jason C. Chin <jasonchin@lacity.org>
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 3:30 PM
To: Police Commission
Subject: reject facial recognition tech for LAPD

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

it is absolutely absurd to even consider approving more money, more drones, and more surveillance technology for LAPD when LA is fumbling its response to COVID, to its homelessness crisis

--

Jason C. Chin, M.A.
Doctoral student
Department of Psychology
UCLA

From: Audrey Georg <georg@lacity.org>
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 3:34 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.wesson@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@LegacyLA.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; richard.winton@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Re: Public Comment 12/08/2020 (item #3H, I & J)

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open
attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

These agenda items relate to Small Unmanned Aerial Systems (sUAS) aka Drones. As you know very well, Angelenos who understand about mission creep and the harms caused by surveillance have spoken out against the use of drones and every policy that attempts to legitimize their use since 2013. We reject the acquisition of another drone that only expands the LAPD aerial fleet. We reject the expansion of the drones' capabilities to break windows, and we also reject use of drones in the Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT). This is the mission creep Angelenos have been warning about. I reject drones!

As a reminder of why community member are sure about mission creep becoming an eventuality when any type of surveillance is used without any accountability and transparency, even Steve Soboroff noted, when the LAT first published its expose article, that there's a link between drones and facial recognition technology. Even before the LAT article, SLAPDSC had alerted and informed us that the LAPD has been using facial recognition tools (nearly 30,000 times through a regional database maintained by LASD) during the past 8 or 9 months alone. This means that hundreds of officers have been running images of "suspects" from surveillance cameras and other sources against a massive database of mug shots. The cops using this have included the Safe LA Task force formed this past June to conduct investigations for significant crimes during the protests and demonstrations. The LAPD has consistently lied and denied having records related to facial recognition and at times has denied using the technology at all.

Thus, you must understand why we want you to acknowledge that mission creep is a guaranteed phenomena that will happen if you proceed with drones, as well as with Facial Recognition.

And, since the truth only gets revealed when scandals are exposed publicly and when successive rounds of public records request are filed by persistent truth seekers, we demand that you Commissioners stop placating us with the ruse of promulgating and enacting ineffective policies that actually serve to legitimize this blatant violation of civil and privacy rights.

Signed,

Audrey Georg
“If you are neutral in situations of injustice, you have chosen the side of the oppressor.”  Desmond Tutu

From:  Charley Casler  
Sent:  Monday, December 7, 2020 3:39 PM  
To:  LAPC Fails  
Cc:  Michel Moore; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; cindy.chang@latimes.com; Dale Bonner; ethics.commission@lacity.org; kevin.rector@latimes.com; lou@legacyla.org; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Richard Tefank; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; Eileen Decker; Police Commission; shanemurphysgoldsmith@gmail.com; tips@laist.com  
Subject:  Re: Public Comment 11/24/2020  

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

I didn't hear back! Thank you for letting me know and for all of the work that you do. And I'm disgusted and disappointed, though not surprised, to see the LAPD beating protesters outside the Mayor's house the past couple of weeks - I'm furious that my tax dollars are funding that.

On Fri, Nov 27, 2020 at 4:25 PM LAPC Fails  wrote:

    Hi Charley,

    It's a shame that the "citizens voice in police affairs" Commission seems to only value some public comments that are submitted, so in case you didn't get an email back from the Commission strictly because of the content of your comments, we wanted to say "Thank you for your comments."

    -LAPCFAILS

On Mon, Nov 23, 2020 at 1:13 PM Charley Casler  wrote:

    Hi,

    My name is Charley Casler and I'm a resident of downtown Los Angeles who supports the movement to defund the police and believes we need to continue the work of reimagining L.A. and investing in our communities.
And I agree with the Stop LAPD Spying Coalition that we need to Defund Surveillance BEFORE the Bullet Hits the Body. While the city has been making drastic cuts to city department budgets, they’ve exempted LAPD, the most murderous police department in the US. Despite the Mayor’s claims, LA City did NOT defund $150 M OR reinvest in communities of color. Mass surveillance creates the conditions that endanger Black, Brown, unhoused peoples.

LAPD spends $65 M on technology -- more than the size of some whole city departments. This surveillance tech is used to target, harass, and control our communities. We need to defund the police and invest in life-affirming, not life-threatening, services. Our community has not accepted this, and LAPD, LAPC, and the LA city are trying to stealthily pass their fabricated and delusional budget without giving the public time or space to express their outrage.

Our demands:

1. We demand a freeze on approval of any funding requests from the LAPD until they can account for the $1.7 B of their base budget.
2. The police commission must NOT vote on the budget this week.
3. The police commission must give at least two weeks for public comment on the budget, and make this budget a participatory process.
4. There must be an immediate end to “pretextual stops,” which are how LAPD use unrelated excuses to racially profile and target Black and Brown people.”

Thank you for your consideration,
Charley

From: Charley Casler <charley.casler@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 3:39 PM
To: LAPC Fails
Cc: Michel Moore; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; cindy.chang@latimes.com; Dale Bonner; ethics.commission@lacity.org; kevin.rector@latimes.com; lou@legacyla.org; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Richard Tefank; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; Eileen Decker; Police Commission; shanemurphygoldsmith@gmail.com; tips@laist.com
Subject: Re: Public Comment 11/24/2020

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

I didn’t hear back! Thank you for letting me know and for all of the work that you do. And I’m disgusted and disappointed, though not surprised, to see the LAPD
beating protesters outside the Mayor's house the past couple of weeks - I'm furious that my tax dollars are funding that.

On Fri, Nov 27, 2020 at 4:25 PM LAPC Fails <mailto:...............................................> wrote:

Hi Charley,

It's a shame that the "citizens voice in police affairs" Commission seems to only value some public comments that are submitted, so in case you didn't get an email back from the Commission strictly because of the content of your comments, we wanted to say "Thank you for your comments."

-LAPCFAILS

On Mon, Nov 23, 2020 at 1:13 PM Charley Casler <mailto:...............................................> wrote:

Hi,

My name is Charley Casler and I'm a resident of downtown Los Angeles who supports the movement to defund the police and believes we need to continue the work of reimagining L.A. and investing in our communities.

And I agree with the Stop LAPD Spying Coalition that we need to Defund Surveillance BEFORE the Bullet Hits the Body. While the city has been making drastic cuts to city department budgets, they've exempted LAPD, the most murderous police department in the US. Despite the Mayor's claims, LA City did NOT defund $150 M OR reinvest in communities of color. Mass surveillance creates the conditions that endanger Black, Brown, unhoused peoples.

LAPD spends $65 M on technology -- more than the size of some whole city departments. This surveillance tech is used to target, harass, and control our communities. We need to defund the police and invest in life-affirming, not life-threatening, services. Our community has not accepted this, and LAPD, LAPC, and the LA city are trying to stealthily pass their fabricated and delusional budget without giving the public time or space to express their outrage.

Our demands:

1. We demand a freeze on approval of any funding requests from
the LAPD until they can account for the $1.7 B of their base budget.
2. The police commission must NOT vote on the budget this week.
3. The police commission must give at least two weeks for public comment on the budget, and make this budget a participatory process.
4. There must be an immediate end to "pretextual stops," which are how LAPD use unrelated excuses to racially profile and target Black and Brown people."

Thank you for your consideration,
Charley

From: Allie Hirsch <Allie.Hirsch@lacity.org>
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 3:40 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; shanemurphygoldsmith@gmail.com; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.wesson@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; tips@laist.com; cindy.chang@latimes.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; richard.winton@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 12/08/2020

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Commissioners:

Regarding item 3B on tomorrow's agenda: The commission is voting to approve a one-month audit of Body-Worn Video (BWV) and Digital In-Car Video (DICV) that checks officers are activating cameras according to policy. We see this as a purely procedural audit that does not address that these tools are used for prosecution and surveillance of our communities. They have not stopped the violence of law enforcement, nor resulted in officer accountability.

In item 3E on "Photo Comparison Technology," the commission will review a proposed policy for LAPD use of the LASD Mugshot Database, whose "Photo Comparison Technology" is actually facial recognition technology (FRT). This is unacceptable and cannot happen! LAPD has secretly been using this type of technology since 2004. Please reject LAPD's proposed "Use of Photo Comparison Technology" policy. Implement an outright ban on LAPD use of face recognition surveillance. Publicize and investigate LAPD's past use of face recognition surveillance in order to understand how the community has been impacted.
For item 3F, Automatic Licence Plate Readers (ALPRs) - LAPD has been using ALPR since 2004, and now wants to cover its tracks with a policy. We reject the use of ALPRs because it is data mining and tracking of our communities. It was exposed through the CA state audit that LAPD is correlating names, address, birthdate and criminal records to license plates. This ultimately tracks our every movement and must stop!

Items 3H, I, and J are regarding Small Unmanned Aerial Systems (sUAS) aka Drones - Angelenos have spoken out against the use of drones and every policy that attempts to legitimize their use since 2013. We reject the acquisition of another drone that only expands the LAPD aerial fleet. We reject the expansion of the drones' capabilities to break windows, and we also reject use of drones in the Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT). This is the mission creep Angelenos have been warning about. I reject drones!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,
Allison Hirsch

From: Allie Hirsch <[redacted]>
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 3:40 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; shanemurphygoldsmith@gmail.com; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.wesson@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; Councilmember.lee@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; tips@laitst.com; cindy.chang@latimes.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; richard.winton@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 12/08/2020

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Commissioners:

Regarding item 3B on tomorrow’s agenda: The commission is voting to approve a one-month audit of Body-Worn Video (BWV) and Digital In-Car Video (DICV) that checks officers are activating cameras according to policy. We see this as a purely procedural audit that does not address that these tools are used for prosecution and surveillance of our communities. They have not stopped the violence of law
enforcement, nor resulted in officer accountability.

In item 3E on “Photo Comparison Technology,” the commission will review a proposed policy for LAPD use of the LASD Mugshot Database, whose “Photo Comparison Technology” is actually facial recognition technology (FRT). This is unacceptable and cannot happen! LAPD has secretly been using this type of technology since 2004. Please reject LAPD’s proposed “Use of Photo Comparison Technology” policy. Implement an outright ban on LAPD use of face recognition surveillance. Publicize and investigate LAPD’s past use of face recognition surveillance in order to understand how the community has been impacted.

For item 3F, Automatic Licence Plate Readers (ALPRs) - LAPD has been using ALPR since 2004, and now wants to cover its tracks with a policy. We reject the use of ALPRs because it is data mining and tracking of our communities. It was exposed through the CA state audit that LAPD is correlating names, address, birthdate and criminal records to license plates. This ultimately tracks our every movement and must stop!

Items 3H, I, and J are regarding Small Unmanned Aerial Systems (sUAS) aka Drones - Angelenos have spoken out against the use of drones and every policy that attempts to legitimize their use since 2013. We reject the acquisition of another drone that only expands the LAPD aerial fleet. We reject the expansion of the drones’ capabilities to break windows, and we also reject use of drones in the Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT). This is the mission creep Angelenos have been warning about. I reject drones!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,
Allison Hirsch

From: honor nezzo <[redacted]>
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 3:40 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; shanemurphygoldsmith@gmail.com; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.wesson@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.lee@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; tips@laist.com; cindy.chang@latimes.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; richard.winton@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: My public comment 12/08/2020
ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello Commissioners,

Regarding item 3B on tomorrow’s agenda: The commission is voting to approve a one-month audit of Body-Worn Video (BWV) and Digital In-Car Video (DICV) that checks officers are activating cameras according to policy. We see this as a purely procedural audit that does not address that these tools are used for prosecution and surveillance of our communities. They have not stopped the violence of law enforcement, nor resulted in officer accountability.

In item 3E on “Photo Comparison Technology,” the commission will review a proposed policy for LAPD use of the LASD Mugshot Database, whose “Photo Comparison Technology” is actually facial recognition technology (FRT). This is unacceptable and cannot happen! LAPD has secretly been using this type of technology since 2004. Reject LAPD’s proposed “Use of Photo Comparison Technology” policy. Implement an outright ban on LAPD use of face recognition surveillance. Publicize and investigate LAPD’s past use of face recognition surveillance in order to understand how the community has been impacted.

For item 3F, Automatic Licence Plate Readers (ALPRs) - LAPD has been using ALPR since 2004, and now wants to cover its tracks with a policy. We reject the use of ALPRs because it is data mining and tracking of our communities. It was exposed through the CA state audit that LAPD is correlating names, address, birthdate and criminal records to license plates. This ultimately tracks our every movement and must stop!

Items 3H, I, and J are regarding Small Unmanned Aerial Systems (sUAS) aka Drones - Angelenos have spoken out against the use of drones and every policy that attempts to legitimize their use since 2013. We reject the acquisition of another drone that only expands the LAPD aerial fleet. We reject the expansion of the drones' capabilities to break windows, and we also reject use of drones in the Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT). This is the mission creep Angelenos have been warning about. I reject drones!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.
Signed,

Honor Nezzo
From: Audrey Georg
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 3:40 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.wesson@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@LegacyLA.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; tips@laist.com; kevin.ector@latimes.com; richard.winton@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Re: General Public Comment 12/08/2020

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

I demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

I also demand that the LAPD officers cease and desist from using violent tactics and arrests against protesters who are not threatening violence against them. And I call upon the Commissioners to come out strongly to advocating for an end to this abuse.

Signed,

Audrey Georg

“If you are neutral in situations of injustice, you have chosen the side of the oppressor.” Desmond Tutu

From: Xochitl Acebedo
Regarding item 3B on tomorrow’s agenda: The commission is voting to approve a one-month audit of Body-Worn Video (BWV) and Digital In-Car Video (DICV) that checks officers are activating cameras according to policy. We see this as a purely procedural audit that does not address that these tools are used for prosecution and surveillance of our communities. They have not stopped the violence of law enforcement, nor resulted in officer accountability.

In item 3E on “Photo Comparison Technology,” the commission will review a proposed policy for LAPD use of the LASD Mugshot Database, whose “Photo Comparison Technology” is actually facial recognition technology (FRT). This is unacceptable and cannot happen! LAPD has secretly been using this type of technology since 2004. Reject LAPD’s proposed “Use of Photo Comparison Technology” policy. Implement an outright ban on LAPD use of face recognition surveillance. Publicize and investigate LAPD’s past use of face recognition surveillance in order to understand how the community has been impacted.

For item 3F, Automatic Licence Plate Readers (ALPRs) - LAPD has been using ALPR since 2004, and now wants to cover its tracks with a policy. We reject the use of ALPRs because it is data mining and tracking of our communities. It was exposed through the CA state audit that LAPD is correlating names, address, birthdate and criminal records to license plates. This ultimately tracks our every movement and must stop!

Items 3H, I, and J are regarding Small Unmanned Aerial Systems (sUAS) aka Drones - Angelenos have spoken out against the use of drones and every policy that attempts to legitimize their use since 2013. We reject the acquisition of another drone that only expands the LAPD aerial fleet. We reject the expansion of the drones’ capabilities to break windows, and we also reject use of drones in the Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT). This is the mission creep Angelenos have been warning about. I reject drones!
I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Xochitl Acebedo

From: Rachel Rodriguez <redacted>
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 3:52 PM
To: Photo Mug Shot; Eileen Decker; Lizabeth Rhodes; Police Commission

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Commissioners Decker, Bonner, Calanche, and Sobochoff,

Photo Comparison Technology (PCT) is a good investigative tool and proven technology that helps develop investigations. The audit procedures that you want to implement are an undue burden. Furthermore, having multiple department entries is a waste of time. Creating such a hinderance and burden with so many audit procedures is a set up for failure and will discourage officers from utilizing this important tool. You need to help LAPD increase efficiency and effectiveness, not set them up with more roadblocks that ultimately prevents competent and thorough investigations. The Department and the Police Commission need to do the job of protecting the victim’s and stop protecting criminal offenders; especially in times of decreased funding and personnel shortages. Now is not the time to take away useful technology tools. We have had over 300 murders this year. Think about the victims.

If 1000 people get arrested and one of them ends up being innocent, that does not negate the other 999 guilty individuals nor the fact that there are still 1000 victims. But LAPD Police Commission President Eileen Decker and Director of the Office of Constitutional Policing and Policy Liz Rhodes will laser focus on that ONE wrongly accused creating policy that serves them instead of the 1000 victims. Long after they are gone from LAPD and the Commission, the reform measures that Eileen Decker and Liz Rhodes are implementing will hinder LAPD police work in helping victims for years to come. Their legacy will be that they caved into BLM protestor demands in 2020 and were overzealous in passing extreme and damaging reform measures.
within the LAPD. The reform measures that Liz Rhodes and Eileen Decker have been shoving down the throats of public safety interest and the LAPD are biased, pro criminal, anti-police, anti-victim, and a grave injustice to the citizens of Los Angeles.

We urge you Commissioners to not vote in favor of any measures that will negatively impact PCT or that will create more “red tape” for officers using this important crime fighting tool.

Sincerely,

Rachel Rodriguez

From: Sophie Wang <sophie.wang@lacity.org>
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 3:58 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; shanemurphygoldsmith@gmail.com; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.wesson@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@lagacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; tips@laist.com; cindy.chang@latimes.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; richard.winton@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 12/08/2020

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

I ask you to listen to the voice of Los Angeles's residents, and take action that has our best interests in mind - reject items 3B, E, F, H, I, and J.

3B - Body-Worn Video (BWV) and Digital In-Car Video (DICV) are being touted as forms of police accountability, but actually function as ways for police to collect more data, surveil the public, and criminalize our communities. Please don’t approve this purely procedural audit that makes it seem like the only problems are how they are used, not whether they are used to being with.

3E - Join other cities like San Francisco, Oakland, Portland, and Boston in banning the use of facial recognition technology like the "Photo Comparison Technology" - a city like LA should be setting the example, not resisting the right move for community safety.

3F - LAPD has been using ALPR since 2004, and now wants to cover its tracks with a
policy. We reject the use of ALPRs because it is data mining and tracking of our communities. It was exposed through the CA state audit that LAPD is correlating names, address, birthdate and criminal records to license plates. This ultimately tracks our every movement and must stop!

3H, I, and J - Angelinos have spoken out against the use of drones and every policy that attempts to legitimize their use since 2013. We reject the acquisition of another drone that only expands the LAPD aerial fleet. We reject the expansion of the drones’ capabilities to break windows, and we also reject use of drones in the Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT). This is the mission creep Angelinos have been warning about. Do not approve the reports and procedures, and absolutely do not approve the donation of another drone system!

I also demand that you stop the ridiculous practice of limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. You claim to be the voice of the public, the community’s accountability board over the police - how can you claim this when you won’t even hear what the public have to say? Expand public comment so that all who want to speak have time to speak. It’s the least you could do as a sham of community representation.

Signed,
Sophie Wang

From: Tiff Guerra <tiff@lacity.org>
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 4:12 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; shanemurphygoldsmith@gmail.com; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.wesson@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; LAPC Fails; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; tips@laist.com; cindy.chang@latimes.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; richard.winton@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public comment for LAPC meeting 12--8--2020

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi,

Submitting public comment on many items. This week when the agenda came out it was particularly apparent how poor the current system of public comment is, when there are so many items of high importance to the community and such a limited space for folks to talk. Then also going on this week outside of the agenda, was police violence outside Garcetti’s house, and the LAPD budget! Again, logging my objection
to your very very limited public comment, especially at this time.

Facial recognition technology (FRT) is on the agenda and Chief Moore can’t even say what it actually is. This fits with the pattern of LAPD not responding to CPRAs and journalist questions about LAPD’s use of FRT at this time, or in years past. The whole conversation between Soboroff and Moore at the September 22nd 2020 BOPC meeting was such a joke - regarding LAPD "misunderstanding" the question from the times about FRT. Did you also misunderstand the numerous PRAs that have been filed over the last few years regarding this, that you have found ways not to answer? They were very specific and you denied "any records matching that request" in many instances.

The point here is that LAPD has been deceptive about the use of FRT, but now wants to pass a policy for its use. No. We reject the use of FRT by LAPD, and demand an investigation to tell us where this has been used, and what the outcomes were. In 2004 the LAPD referred to this as "experimental." We demand to know which areas FRT has been used in, and if it is still being used (on CCTVs and on other devices).

We reject the use of ALPRs, which also have been used since 2004, but now you want to create a policy as it is actually against state law to use ALPRs without a policy. No. Shut down ALPR use and the tracking of folks. No to drones and Body-Worn-Video and DICV, which it used not for cops "accountability" but to gather evidence to use against the community... and never against cops (your own studies - or that of JSS - re. how BWV is used reveal this).

Stop harming and killing people with disabilities. Folks who are disabled are at particular risk from harm from LAPD, as they are more likely to be unhoused, and more likely to be seen as "suspicious" by police. LAPD also seems to under-report use of force against disabled folks. In 2016 your annual OUF report under-reported this, and 2019 appears to be the same, but the pattern of violence continued. Stop killing disabled folks. Stop killing people at all. LAPD fucking sucks at being in any situation with a person who is disabled and/or who has mental illness and limiting that contact should be a high priority to this board, if you indeed value people's lives.

#DefundThePolice and Ban FRT and ALPRs.

--

T Guerra
they/them/theirs

From: Clint Blakely <cblakely@lacity.org>
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 4:21 PM
To: Police Commission
Subject: Public Comment 12/08/2020
ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Regarding item 3B on tomorrow’s agenda: The commission is voting to approve a one-month audit of Body-Worn Video (BWV) and Digital In-Car Video (DICV) that checks officers are activating cameras according to policy. We see this as a purely procedural audit that does not address that these tools are used for prosecution and surveillance of our communities. They have not stopped the violence of law enforcement, nor resulted in officer accountability.

In item 3E on “Photo Comparison Technology,” the commission will review a proposed policy for LAPD use of the LASD Mugshot Database, whose “Photo Comparison Technology” is actually facial recognition technology (FRT). This is unacceptable and cannot happen! LAPD has secretly been using this type of technology since 2004. Reject LAPD’s proposed “Use of Photo Comparison Technology” policy. Implement an outright ban on LAPD use of face recognition surveillance. Publicize and investigate LAPD’s past use of face recognition surveillance in order to understand how the community has been impacted.

For item 3F, Automatic Licence Plate Readers (ALPRs) - LAPD has been using ALPR since 2004, and now wants to cover its tracks with a policy. We reject the use of ALPRs because it is data mining and tracking of our communities. It was exposed through the CA state audit that LAPD is correlating names, address, birthdate and criminal records to license plates. This ultimately tracks our every movement and must stop!

Items 3H, I, and J are regarding Small Unmanned Aerial Systems (sUAS) aka Drones - Angelenos have spoken out against the use of drones and every policy that attempts to legitimate their use since 2013. We reject the acquisition of another drone that only expands the LAPD aerial fleet. We reject the expansion of the drones’ capabilities to break windows, and we also reject use of drones in the Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT). This is the mission creep Angelenos have been warning about. I reject drones!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.
One more thing - it is an absolute disgrace and shame that LAPD exhibited unprovoked use of force against peaceful civilians in front of Garcetti's house. Chief Moore, step the fuck down.

Signed,

Clint Blakely (he/him/his)
Musician, Actor, Voiceover Artist
USC Thornton School of Music '18

From: Clint Blakely <cblakely@lacity.org>
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 4:22 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; shanemurphygoldsmith@gmail.com; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Sboroff; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.wesson@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; LAPC Fails; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; tips@laisl.com; cindy.chang@latimes.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; richard.winton@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 12/08/2020

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Regarding item 3B on tomorrow's agenda: The commission is voting to approve a one-month audit of Body-Worn Video (BWV) and Digital In-Car Video (DICV) that checks officers are activating cameras according to policy. We see this as a purely procedural audit that does not address that these tools are used for prosecution and surveillance of our communities. They have not stopped the violence of law enforcement, nor resulted in officer accountability.
In item 3E on “Photo Comparison Technology,” the commission will review a proposed policy for LAPD use of the LASD Mugshot Database, whose "Photo Comparison Technology" is actually facial recognition technology (FRT). This is unacceptable and cannot happen! LAPD has secretly been using this type of technology since 2004. Reject LAPD’s proposed “Use of Photo Comparison Technology” policy. Implement an outright ban on LAPD use of face recognition surveillance. Publicize and investigate LAPD’s past use of face recognition surveillance in order to understand how the community has been impacted.

For item 3F, Automatic Licence Plate Readers (ALPRs) - LAPD has been using ALPR since 2004, and now wants to cover its tracks with a policy. We reject the use of ALPRs because it is data mining and tracking of our communities. It was exposed through the CA state audit that LAPD is correlating names, address, birthdate and criminal records to license plates. This ultimately tracks our every movement and must stop!

Items 3H, I, and J are regarding Small Unmanned Aerial Systems (sUAS) aka Drones - Angelenos have spoken out against the use of drones and every policy that attempts to legitimize their use since 2013. We reject the acquisition of another drone that only expands the LAPD aerial fleet. We reject the expansion of the drones’ capabilities to break windows, and we also reject use of drones in the Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT). This is the mission creep Angelenos have been warning about. I reject drones!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

One more thing - it is an absolute disgrace and shame that LAPD exhibited unprovoked use of force against peaceful civilians in front of Garcetti's house. Chief Moore, step the fuck down.

Signed,

--

Clint Blakely (he/him/his)
Musician, Actor, Voiceover Artist

USC Thornton School of Music '18

From: Erin
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 4:41 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; shanemurphygoldsmith@gmail.com; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.wesson@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; tips@laist.com; cindy.chang@latimes.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; richard.winton@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 12/8/20

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

I want to share my comments about item 3B: This is an audit that does not address the issue that these tools are used to surveil our communities. As police violence rises, we know that law enforcement violence has not been deterred and officers have not been held accountable.

Regarding, item 3E on “Photo Comparison Technology,” the commission will review a proposed policy for LAPD use of the LASD Mugshot Database, whose “Photo Comparison Technology” is actually facial recognition technology (FRT). This is unacceptable and cannot happen! LAPD has secretly been using this type of technology since 2004. Reject LAPD’s proposed “Use of Photo Comparison Technology” policy. Implement an outright ban on LAPD use of face recognition surveillance. Publicize and investigate LAPD’s past use of face recognition surveillance in order to understand how the community has been impacted.

En cuanto a Item 3F, Automatic Licence Plate Readers (ALPRs) - LAPD has been using ALPR since 2004, and now wants to cover its tracks with a policy. I reject the use of ALPRs because it is data mining and tracking of our communities. It was exposed through the CA state audit that LAPD is correlating names, address, birthdate and
criminal records to license plates. This ultimately tracks our every movement and must stop!

Items 3H, I, and J are regarding Small Unmanned Aerial Systems (sUAS) aka Drones - I reject drones! Angelenos have spoken out against the use of drones and every policy that attempts to legitimize their use since 2013. I reject the acquisition of another drone that only expands the LAPD aerial fleet. I reject the expansion of the drones’ capabilities to break windows, and I also reject use of drones in the Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT). This is the mission creep Angelenos have been warning about.

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Sincerely and attentively,

Erin

From: Catherine Safley <catherine.safley@lacity.org>
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 4:57 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; shanemurphygoldsmith@gmail.com; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.wesson@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@LegacyLA.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; tips@laist.com; cindy.chang@latimes.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; richard.winton@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 12/08/2020

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Regarding item 3B on tomorrow's agenda: The commission is voting to approve a one-month audit of Body-Worn Video (BWV) and Digital In-Car Video (DICV) that checks
whether or not officers are activating cameras according to policy. This is a purely procedural audit that fails to address the fact that these tools are used for the prosecution and surveillance of our communities. They do nothing to stop the violence of law enforcement, and have not resulted in officer accountability. The issue that should be addressed and rectified is the ongoing failure to keep Angelenos safe from LAPD's oppressive violence, regardless of whether that violence is filmed or not.

In item 3E on “Photo Comparison Technology,” the commission will review a proposed policy for LAPD use of the LASD Mugshot Database, whose “Photo Comparison Technology” is actually facial recognition technology (FRT). This is unacceptable and must not happen! LAPD has secretly been using this type of technology since 2004, using it to target and criminalize poor, Black and Brown people in our communities. You must reject LAPD’s proposed “Use of Photo Comparison Technology” policy and implement an outright ban on LAPD use of face recognition surveillance. Publicize and investigate LAPD’s past use of face recognition surveillance in order to understand how the community has been impacted.

For item 3F, Automatic Licence Plate Readers (ALPRs) - LAPD has been using ALPR since 2004, and now wants to cover its tracks with a policy. We reject the use of ALPRs because it is data mining and is used to track our communities. The CA state audit revealed that LAPD is correlating names, address, birthdate and criminal records to license plates. This ultimately tracks our every movement and must stop!

Items 3H, I, and J are regarding Small Unmanned Aerial Systems (sUAS) aka Drones - Angelenos have spoken out against the use of drones and every policy that attempts to legitimize their use since 2013. We reject the acquisition of another drone and any expansion of the LAPD aerial fleet. We reject the expansion of the drones' capabilities to break windows, and we reject use of drones in the Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT). Angelenos have been warning about this escalation of surveillance. I reject drones!

I also demand once again that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Though you refuse to admit it, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by maintaining this time extreme time constraint. Participants should be able to speak openly and freely for as long as is need to make themselves heard.

Signed,

Catherine Safley
ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Regarding item 3B on tomorrow’s agenda: The commission is voting to approve a one-month audit of Body-Worn Video (BWV) and Digital In-Car Video (DICV) that checks officers are activating cameras according to policy. We see this as a purely procedural audit that does not address that these tools are used for prosecution and surveillance of our communities. They have not stopped the violence of law enforcement, nor resulted in officer accountability.

In item 3E on “Photo Comparison Technology,” the commission will review a proposed policy for LAPD use of the LASD Mugshot Database, whose "Photo Comparison Technology" is actually facial recognition technology (FRT). This is unacceptable and cannot happen! LAPD has secretly been using this type of technology since 2004. Reject LAPD’s proposed “Use of Photo Comparison Technology” policy. Implement an outright ban on LAPD use of face recognition surveillance. Publicize and investigate LAPD's past use of face recognition surveillance in order to understand how the community has been impacted.

For item 3F, Automatic Licence Plate Readers (ALPRs) - LAPD has been using ALPR since 2004, and now wants to cover its tracks with a policy. We reject the use of ALPRs because it is data mining and tracking of our communities. It was exposed through the CA state audit that LAPD is correlating names, address, birthdate and criminal records to license plates. This ultimately tracks our every movement and must stop!
Items 3H, I, and J are regarding Small Unmanned Aerial Systems (sUAS) aka Drones - Angelenos have spoken out against the use of drones and every policy that attempts to legitimize their use since 2013. We reject the acquisition of another drone that only expands the LAPD aerial fleet. We reject the expansion of the drones’ capabilities to break windows, and we also reject use of drones in the Multi-Disciplinary Collision Investigative Team (MDCIT). This is the mission creep Angelenos have been warning about. I reject drones!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Sincerely,

Dr. Karen Bowdre