ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

In the January 12, 2021 meeting of the Board of Police Commissioners, the Board voted in favor of LAPD's use of "Photo Comparison Technology" (also known as Facial Recognition Technology) despite over a thousand public comments in the span of several weeks rejecting LAPD use of facial recognition. Further, the Board completely failed to address community demands for more information regarding prior use of facial recognition in Los Angeles communities. I demand an immediate ban of LAPD's use of facial recognition technology and an investigation into how it has been used.

Regarding items 3A and 3B, linked to LAPD's Human Trafficking Task Force: Human trafficking narratives (frequently wrapped in such themes as moral values, national security, and the rescue and protection of the vulnerable) are often deployed by the state to legitimize the criminalization of sex work, increased policies and policing of immigrants, racial profiling, and heightened surveillance of sex workers and locations associated with sex work. Anti-trafficking task forces do not help communities but actually harm them, and contribute to adverse health outcomes for sex workers and self-identified victims of human trafficking. As reported weekly in BOPC public comment and in the recent community survey by LMU of Los Angeles residents, communities and individuals are demanding a divestment in policing and a defunding of LAPD, not a continuation of tactics and task forces that traumatize communities further.

Regarding items 3E and 3G: As in previous years, the Los Angeles Police Foundation is again making donations of cameras and video equipment to LAPD for the surveillance of communities and of "crowd control" in LA. LAPD has a history of using these tools of surveillance to track and gather information on LA residents, with folks who are Black, Latinx, unhoused, disabled, undocumented, and/or members of the LGBTQIA community being most impacted. I reject these donations, and the surveillance of community members by police!
I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Jennifer Tooley

From: Laurel Smylie <Laurel.Smylie@lapd.lacity.org>
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 9:38 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: Mike N. Feuer@lacity.org; Mayor.Helpdesk@lacity.org; Ana.Guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; Ethics.COMMISSION@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; LACPFAILS@gmail.com; Lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 1/26/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

In the January 12th 2021 meeting of the Board of Police Commissioners, the Board voted in favor of LAPD’s use of “Photo Comparison Technology” (also known as Facial Recognition Technology) despite over a thousand public comments in the span of several weeks rejecting LAPD use of facial recognition. Further, the Board completely failed to address community demands for more information regarding prior use of facial recognition in Los Angeles communities. I demand an immediate ban of LAPD’s use of facial recognition technology, and an investigation into how it has been used.

Regarding items 3a and 3b, linked to LAPD’s Human Trafficking Task Force: Human trafficking narratives (frequently wrapped in such themes as moral values, national security, and the rescue and protection of the vulnerable) are often deployed by the state to legitimize the criminalization of sex work, increased policies and policing of immigrants, racial profiling, and heightened surveillance of sex workers and locations associated with sex work. Anti-trafficking task forces do not help communities but actually harm them, and contribute to adverse health outcomes for sex workers and self-identified victims of human trafficking. As reported weekly in BOPC public comment and in the recent community survey by LMU of Los Angeles residents, communities and individuals are demanding a divestment in policing and a defunding of LAPD, not a continuation of tactics and task forces that traumatize communities further.

Regarding items 3E and 3G: As in previous years, the Los Angeles Police Foundation
is again making donations of cameras and video equipment to LAPD for the surveillance of communities and of “crowd control” in LA. LAPD has a history of using these tools of surveillance to track and gather information on LA residents, with folks who are Black, Latinx, unhoused, disabled, undocumented, and/or members of the LGBTQIA community being most impacted. I reject these donations, and the surveillance of community members by police!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,
Laurel Smylie
From: Clint Blakely <clint.blakely@lapd.com>
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 9:45 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; LAPC Fails; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; mike.n.feuer@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 1/26/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

In the January 12th 2021 meeting of the Board of Police Commissioners, the Board voted in favor of LAPD’s use of “Photo Comparison Technology” (also known as Facial Recognition Technology) despite over a thousand public comments in the span of several weeks rejecting LAPD use of facial recognition. Further, the Board completely failed to address community demands for more information regarding prior use of facial recognition in Los Angeles communities. I demand an immediate ban of LAPD’s use of facial recognition technology, and an investigation into how it has been used.

Regarding items 3A and 3B, linked to LAPD’s Human Trafficking Task Force: Human trafficking narratives (frequently wrapped in such themes as moral values, national security, and the rescue and protection of the vulnerable) are often deployed by the state to legitimizel the criminalization of sex work, increased policies and policing of immigrants, racial profiling, and heightened surveillance of sex workers and locations associated with sex work. Anti-trafficking task forces do not help communities but actually harm them, and contribute to adverse health outcomes for sex workers and self-identified victims of human trafficking. As reported weekly in BOPC public comment and in the recent community survey by LMU of Los Angeles
residents, communities and individuals are demanding a divestment in policing and a
defunding of LAPD, not a continuation of tactics and task forces that traumatize
communities further.

Regarding items 3E and 3G: As in previous years, the Los Angeles Police Foundation
is again making donations of cameras and video equipment to LAPD for the
surveillance of communities and of "crowd control" in LA. LAPD has a history of
using these tools of surveillance to track and gather information on LA residents,
with folks who are Black, Latinx, unhoused, disabled, undocumented, and/or members
of the LGBTQIA community being most impacted. I reject these donations, and the
surveillance of community members by police!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to
forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are
silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and
for how long.

Signed,

Clint Blakely (he/they)

Actor, Musician, Voiceover Artist, Teacher

I live, work, and play on ancestral Munsee Lenape and Wappinger land. I invite you
to research the Indigenous peoples who live wherever you call home
&data=04%7C01%7Cpolicecommission%40lapd.onlin3%7Cb%7C0b1952bdc734065ed8f08d8c158fffc%7C
642fd61c34dd4fd0af8d443576485883%7C0%7C0%7C637471993291868945%7CUnknown%7CTWfpbGZsb3
d8eyJWIjo1MC4wLjAwMDAilCJQIjoiV2luMziIiLCJBTiI6Ik1haWwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D%7C1000&sdata=v%
2B0AygHdjWy1dRK2weNvpRkbWgTVQ%2FmVkJcUeQ0tdQ%3D&reserved=0> . Please consider
paying into a fund
<https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Fmannahattafund.or
&g%2Fdata=04%7C01%7Cpolicecommission%40lapd.onlin3%7Cb%7C0b1952bdc734065ed8f08d8c158fffc%7C
642fd61c34dd4fd0af8d443576485883%7C0%7C0%7C637471993291868945%7CUnknown%7CTWfpbGZsb3
d8eyJWIjo1MC4wLjAwMDAilCJQIjoiV2luMziIiLCJBTiI6Ik1haWwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D%7C1000&sdata=aVVcK3rcJrSpdG0As9V0Kvdk61D3LdJwoezZz4TYgXU%3D&reserved=0> for all settlers to
acknowledge our relationship and responsibility to Native peoples, and to help
rectify the ongoing violence of colonization.
From: Chris Riddle <[redacted]>
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 9:48 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuер@lacity.org; Mayor Helpdesk; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@LegacyLA.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; Mayor Garcetti
Subject: Public Comment 1/26/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

In the January 12th 2021 meeting of the Board of Police Commissioners, the Board voted in favor of LAPD's use of "Photo Comparison Technology" (also known as Facial Recognition Technology) despite over a thousand public comments in the span of several weeks rejecting LAPD use of facial recognition. Further, the Board completely failed to address community demands for more information regarding prior use of facial recognition in Los Angeles communities. I demand an immediate ban of LAPD's use of facial recognition technology, and an investigation into how it has been used.

Regarding items 3A and 3B, linked to LAPD's Human Trafficking Task Force: Human trafficking narratives (frequently wrapped in such themes as moral values, national security, and the rescue and protection of the vulnerable) are often deployed by the state to legitimize the criminalization of sex work, increased policies and policing of immigrants, racial profiling, and heightened surveillance of sex workers and locations associated with sex work. Anti-trafficking task forces do not help communities but actually harm them, and contribute to adverse health outcomes for sex workers and self-identified victims of human trafficking. As reported weekly in BOPC public comment and in the recent community survey by LMU of Los Angeles residents, communities and individuals are demanding a divestment in policing and a defunding of LAPD, not a continuation of tactics and task forces that traumatize communities further.

Regarding items 3E and 3G: As in previous years, the Los Angeles Police Foundation is again making donations of cameras and video equipment to LAPD for the surveillance of communities and of "crowd control" in LA. LAPD has a history of using these tools of surveillance to track and gather information on LA residents, with folks who are Black, Latinx, unhoused, disabled, undocumented, and/or members of the LGBTQIA community being most impacted. I reject these donations, and the surveillance of community members by police!
I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Chris Riddle

From: ashley.brin <mailto:ashley.brin>
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 10:02 AM
To: Police Commission
Subject: Public Comment for 1/26

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

When you met on 1/12/21, the Commission voted in favor of LAPD’s use of “Photo Comparison Technology” (also known as Facial Recognition Technology) and did so without addressing community demands for more information about LAPD’s prior unregulated use of facial recognition in Los Angeles communities and despite receiving over a thousand public comments in opposition to FRT. I call on you to immediately ban LAPD’s use of FRT and an investigation into how it has been used.

ITEMS 3A/3B Re: LAPD’s Human Trafficking Task Force:

Human trafficking narratives (frequently wrapped in such themes as moral values, national security, and the rescue and protection of the vulnerable) are often deployed by the state to legitimize the criminalization of sex work, increased policies and policing of immigrants, racial profiling, and heightened surveillance of sex workers and locations associated with sex work. Anti-trafficking task forces do not help communities but actually harm them, and contribute to adverse health outcomes for sex workers and self-identified victims of human trafficking. As
reported weekly in BOPC public comment and in the recent community survey by LMU of Los Angeles residents, communities and individuals are demanding a divestment in policing and a defunding of LAPD, not a continuation of tactics and task forces that traumatize communities further.

ITEMS 3E/3G: The Los Angeles Police Foundation is again making donations of cameras and video equipment to LAPD for the surveillance of communities and of “crowd control” in LA. LAPD has a history of using these tools of surveillance to track and gather information on LA residents, with folks who are Black, Latinx, unhoused, disabled, undocumented, and/or members of the LGBTQIA community being most impacted. I reject these donations, and the surveillance of community members by police!

GENERAL PUBLIC COMMENT:

I ask the commission to quit limiting public comment to 45 minutes at your meetings. You are well aware of the voices on the line who are silenced when there isn’t time for them to all be addressed. Chief Moore and LAPD always get all the time they want, it is your responsibility to see to it that the public is granted the same right. And in the same vein of public accessibility, I encourage you to look at a new time for your weekly meeting that doesn’t conflict with the City Council Meeting and the Board of Supervisors meeting.

- Ashley Brim

Ashley Paige Brim // she/her/hers
Co-Producer / HOMELAND
Director / An Act of Terror
Fox Directing Lab 2018-19
HALF Initiative Directing Fellow 2017
YES on J!

C: +1 <mailto:asheleybrim@gmail.com>

<https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Fyesonj.reimagine.la%2F&data=04%7C01%7Cpolicecommission%40lapd.online%7C84080954cefe4eb9a54c08d8c15b669a%7C642fd61c34dd4f8daf8d443576485883%7C0%7C0%7C637471945594080779%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWIjoIaWMDAicLCJQIjoIV2luMzIiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D%7C1000&data=y2g9y1csAC7GVHtUEGbOM02vzq7FazB9WhjQ3YyXswo%3D&reserved=0>
ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners,

During the January 12th, 2021 meeting of the Board of Police Commissioners, the Board voted in favor of LAPD's use of "Photo Comparison Technology" (AKA Facial Recognition Technology), despite thousands of public comments that rejected the LAPD use of this technology. Additionally, the Board completely failed to address community demands for more information regarding prior use of facial recognition in L.A. communities. I am writing today to demand the immediate ban of LAPD's use of facial recognition technology and an investigation into how it has been used.

Regarding items 3A and 3B, linked to LAPD's Human Trafficking Task Force, human trafficking narratives are often warped by the state to legitimize the criminalization of sex work, increased policies/policing of immigrants, and racial profiling. Anti-trafficking task forces do not help communities but actually harm them and contribute to adverse health outcomes for sex workers and self-identified victims of human trafficking. As reported weekly in BOPC public comment and in the recent community survey by LMU of Los Angeles residents, communities and individuals like myself are demanding that you divest from police and defund the LAPD, not continue tactics and task forces that traumatize communities further.

Regarding items 3E and 3G, as in previous years, the Los Angeles Police Foundation is again making donations of cameras and video equipment to LAPD for the surveillance of communities and of "crowd control in L.A. LAPD has a history of using these tools of surveillance to track and gather info on L.A. residents, with folks who are Black, Latinx, unhoused, disabled, undocumented, adn/or members of the LGBTQIA community members by police.

I also demand that you stop limiting public comment at your meetings to 45 minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings and for how long.

Phoebe Neidhardt

From: Bethany Heykoop
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 10:15 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: Michel Moore; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; ethics.commission@lacity.org; kevin.rector@latimes.com; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@LegacyLA.org; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Richard Tefank; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; Eileen Decker; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com
Subject: Public Comment 1/26/21

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

In the January 12th 2021 meeting of the Board of Police Commissioners, the Board voted in favor of LAPD’s use of “Photo Comparison Technology” (also known as Facial Recognition Technology) despite over a thousand public comments in the span of several weeks rejecting LAPD use of facial recognition. Further, the Board completely failed to address community demands for more information regarding prior use of facial recognition in Los Angeles communities. I demand an immediate ban of LAPD’s use of facial recognition technology, and an investigation into how it has been used.

Regarding items 3A and 3B, linked to LAPD’s Human Trafficking Task Force: Human trafficking narratives (frequently wrapped in such themes as moral values, national security, and the rescue and protection of the vulnerable) are often deployed by the state to legitimize the criminalization of sex work, increased policies and policing of immigrants, racial profiling, and heightened surveillance of sex workers and locations associated with sex work. Anti-trafficking task forces do not help communities but actually harm them, and contribute to adverse health outcomes for sex workers and self-identified victims of human trafficking. As reported weekly in BOPC public comment and in the recent community survey by LMU of Los Angeles residents, communities and individuals are demanding a divestment in policing and defunding of LAPD, not a continuation of tactics and task forces that traumatize communities further.

Regarding items 3E and 3G: As in previous years, the Los Angeles Police Foundation is again making donations of cameras and video equipment to LAPD for the surveillance of communities and of “crowd control” in LA. LAPD has a history of using these tools of surveillance to track and gather information on LA residents, with folks who are Black, Latinx, unhoused, disabled, undocumented, and/or members of the LGBTQIA community being most impacted. I reject these donations, and the surveillance of community members by police!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to
forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

From: Court Val <a href="mailto:"
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 10:18 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 1/26/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

In the January 12th 2021 meeting of the Board of Police Commissioners, the Board voted in favor of LAPD’s use of “Photo Comparison Technology” (also known as Facial Recognition Technology) despite over a thousand public comments in the span of several weeks rejecting LAPD use of facial recognition. Further, the Board completely failed to address community demands for more information regarding prior use of facial recognition in Los Angeles communities. I demand an immediate ban of LAPD’s use of facial recognition technology, and an investigation into how it has been used.

Regarding items 3A and 3B, linked to LAPD’s Human Trafficking Task Force: Human trafficking narratives (frequently wrapped in such themes as moral values, national security, and the rescue and protection of the vulnerable) are often deployed by the state to legitimize the criminalization of sex work, increased policies and policing of immigrants, racial profiling, and heightened surveillance of sex workers and locations associated with sex work. Anti-trafficking task forces do not help communities but actually harm them, and contribute to adverse health outcomes for sex workers and self-identified victims of human trafficking. As reported weekly in BOPC public comment and in the recent community survey by LMU of Los Angeles residents, communities and individuals are demanding a divestment in policing and a defunding of LAPD, not a continuation of tactics and task forces that traumatize communities further.

Regarding items 3E and 3G: As in previous years, the Los Angeles Police Foundation is again making donations of cameras and video equipment to LAPD for the surveillance of communities and of “crowd control” in LA. LAPD has a history of using these tools of surveillance to track and gather information on LA residents, with folks who are Black, Latinx, unhoused, disabled, undocumented, and/or members of the LGBTQIA community being most impacted. I reject these donations, and the
surveillance of community members by police!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

From: SHERRY VARON <somewhere@somewhere.com>
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 10:19 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 1/26/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

In the January 12th 2021 meeting of the Board of Police Commissioners, the Board voted in favor of LAPD’s use of “Photo Comparison Technology” (also known as Facial Recognition Technology) despite over a thousand public comments in the span of several weeks rejecting LAPD use of facial recognition. Further, the Board completely failed to address community demands for more information regarding prior use of facial recognition in Los Angeles communities. I demand an immediate ban of LAPD’s use of facial recognition technology, and an investigation into how it has been used.

Regarding items 3A and 3B, linked to LAPD’s Human Trafficking Task Force: Human trafficking narratives (frequently wrapped in such themes as moral values, national security, and the rescue and protection of the vulnerable) are often deployed by the state to legitimize the criminalization of sex work, increased policies and policing of immigrants, racial profiling, and heightened surveillance of sex workers and locations associated with sex work. Anti-trafficking task forces do not help communities but actually harm them, and contribute to adverse health outcomes for sex workers and self-identified victims of human trafficking. As reported weekly in BOPC public comment and in the recent community survey by LMU of Los Angeles residents, communities and individuals are demanding a divestment in policing and a defunding of LAPD, not a continuation of tactics and task forces that traumatize communities further.

Regarding items 3E and 3G: As in previous years, the Los Angeles Police Foundation
is again making donations of cameras and video equipment to LAPD for the surveillance of communities and of “crowd control” in LA. LAPD has a history of using these tools of surveillance to track and gather information on LA residents, with folks who are Black, Latinx, unhoused, disabled, undocumented, and/or members of the LGBTQIA community being most impacted. I reject these donations, and the surveillance of community members by police!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,
Sherry Varon

From: Ashraf Farassati <[redacted]>
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 10:19 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: Michel Moore; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; ethics.commission@lacity.org; kevin.rector@latimes.com; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@LegacyLA.org; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Richard Tefank; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; Eileen Decker; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com
Subject: Public Comment 1/26/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

In the January 12th 2021 meeting of the Board of Police Commissioners, the Board voted in favor of LAPD’s use of “Photo Comparison Technology” (also known as Facial Recognition Technology) despite over a thousand public comments in the span of several weeks rejecting LAPD use of facial recognition. Further, the Board completely failed to address community demands for more information regarding prior use of facial recognition in Los Angeles communities. I demand an immediate ban of LAPD’s use of facial recognition technology, and an investigation into how it has been used.

Regarding items 3A and 3B, linked to LAPD’s Human Trafficking Task Force: Human trafficking narratives (frequently wrapped in such themes as moral values, national security, and the rescue and protection of the vulnerable) are often deployed by the state to legitimize the criminalization of sex work, increased policies and policing of immigrants, racial profiling, and heightened surveillance of sex workers and
locations associated with sex work. Anti-trafficking task forces do not help communities but actually harm them, and contribute to adverse health outcomes for sex workers and self-identified victims of human trafficking. As reported weekly in BOCP public comment and in the recent community survey by LMU of Los Angeles residents, communities and individuals are demanding a divestment in policing and a defunding of LAPD, not a continuation of tactics and task forces that traumatize communities further.

Regarding items 3E and 3G: As in previous years, the Los Angeles Police Foundation is again making donations of cameras and video equipment to LAPD for the surveillance of communities and of “crowd control” in LA. LAPD has a history of using these tools of surveillance to track and gather information on LA residents, with folks who are Black, Latinx, unhoused, disabled, undocumented, and/or members of the LQBTQIA community being most impacted. I reject these donations, and the surveillance of community members by police!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Regards,
Ashraf (she/her)
District 3

From: Alex Cave
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 10:20 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Mayor Helpdesk; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfail@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; Mayor Garcetti

Subject: Public Comment 1/26/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

In the January 12th 2021 meeting of the Board of Police Commissioners, the Board voted in favor of LAPD’s use of “Photo Comparison Technology” (also known as Facial Recognition Technology) despite over a thousand public comments in the span of several weeks rejecting LAPD use of facial recognition. Further, the Board completely failed to address community demands for more information regarding prior use of facial recognition in Los Angeles communities. I demand an immediate ban of
LAPD's use of facial recognition technology, and an investigation into how it has been used.

Regarding items 3A and 3B, linked to LAPD's Human Trafficking Task Force: Human trafficking narratives (frequently wrapped in such themes as moral values, national security, and the rescue and protection of the vulnerable) are often deployed by the state to legitimize the criminalization of sex work, increased policies and policing of immigrants, racial profiling, and heightened surveillance of sex workers and locations associated with sex work. Anti-trafficking task forces do not help communities but actually harm them, and contribute to adverse health outcomes for sex workers and self-identified victims of human trafficking. As reported weekly in BOPC public comment and in the recent community survey by LMU of Los Angeles residents, communities and individuals are demanding a divestment in policing and a defunding of LAPD, not a continuation of tactics and task forces that traumatize communities further.

Regarding items 3E and 3G: As in previous years, the Los Angeles Police Foundation is again making donations of cameras and video equipment to LAPD for the surveillance of communities and of "crowd control" in LA. LAPD has a history of using these tools of surveillance to track and gather information on LA residents, with folks who are Black, Latinx, unhoused, disabled, undocumented, and/or members of the LGBTQIA community being most impacted. I reject these donations, and the surveillance of community members by police!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Alex Cave
Koreatown

From: Zach Sherwin
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 10:20 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Saboroff; LAPC Fails; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William
ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

In the 1/12/21 meeting of the Board of Police Commissioners, the Board voted in favor of LAPD’s use of “Photo Comparison Technology” (also known as Facial Recognition Technology) despite over a thousand public comments in the span of several weeks rejecting LAPD use of facial recognition. Further, the Board completely failed to address community demands for more information regarding prior use of facial recognition in Los Angeles communities. I demand an immediate ban of LAPD’s use of facial recognition technology, and an investigation into how it has been used.

Regarding items 3A and 3B, which concern LAPD’s Human Trafficking Task Force: Human trafficking narratives (frequently wrapped in such themes as moral values, national security, and the rescue and protection of the vulnerable) are often deployed by the state to legitimize the criminalization of sex work, increased policies and policing of immigrants, racial profiling, and heightened surveillance of sex workers and locations associated with sex work. But instead of helping vulnerable communities, anti-trafficking task forces actually harm them, and contribute to adverse health outcomes for sex workers and self-identified victims of human trafficking. As reported weekly in BOPC public comment and in the recent community survey by Loyola-Marymount University of Los Angeles residents, communities and individuals are demanding divestment from policing and defunding LAPD, not a continuation of tactics and task forces that traumatize communities further.

Regarding items 3E and 3G: As in previous years, the Los Angeles Police Foundation is again making donations of cameras and video equipment to LAPD for the surveillance of communities and of “crowd control” in LA. LAPD has a history of using these tools of surveillance to track and gather information on LA residents, with folks who are Black, Latinx, unhoused, disabled, undocumented, and/or members of the LGBTQIA community being most impacted. I REJECT these donations, and the surveillance of community members by police!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,
Zach Sherwin

From: Michele Wetteland <michele.wetteland@lacounty.gov>
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 10:21 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacounty.org; Mayor Helpdesk; ana.guerrero@lacounty.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacounty.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve
Regarding items 3A and 3B, linked to LAPD’s Human Trafficking Task Force: Human trafficking narratives (frequently wrapped in such themes as moral values, national security, and the rescue and protection of the vulnerable) are often deployed by the state to legitimize the criminalization of sex work, increased policies and policing of immigrants, racial profiling, and heightened surveillance of sex workers and locations associated with sex work. Anti-trafficking task forces do not help communities but actually harm them, and contribute to adverse health outcomes for sex workers and self-identified victims of human trafficking. As reported weekly in BOPC public comment and in the recent community survey by LMU of Los Angeles residents, communities and individuals are demanding a divestment in policing and a defunding of LAPD, not a continuation of tactics and task forces that traumatize communities further.

Regarding items 3E and 3G: As in previous years, the Los Angeles Police Foundation is again making donations of cameras and video equipment to LAPD for the surveillance of communities and for “crowd control” in LA. LAPD has a history of using these tools of surveillance to track and gather information on LA residents, with folks who are Black, Latinx, unhoused, disabled, undocumented, and/or members of the LGBTQIA community. I reject these donations, and the surveillance of community members by police.

Regarding General Public Comment: During the January 12th 2021 meeting of the Board of Police Commissioners, the Board unanimously voted in-favor of LAPD’s use of “Photo Comparison Technology,” also known as Facial Recognition Technology, despite over a thousand public comments in the span of several weeks rejecting LAPD use of facial recognition. You have allowed an uncontrollable constitutional molester to be released onto Angelenos. Your idea that because officers are involved in its use and this oversight body exists our constitutional rights will be protected, is idealistic at best and criminal at worst. If we were to use the legal operation of fire arms by LAPD officers as an example; does LAPD being Number one in the USA in Officer Involved Shootings mean they were operated legally because “Officers and this commission were involved in their use?” We just heard on December 15, 2020 about LAPD Chief Beatrice Girmala breaking CA gun laws allowing out-of-policy killer
cop Toni McBride to holster on-duty guns before age 21. How many laws will LAPD break with this new supported use of FRT? To that point, the Board completely failed to address community demands for more information regarding prior use of facial recognition in Los Angeles communities and we still have not heard how Toni McBride has been disciplined for the out-of-policy shooting of Daniel Hernanadez and her additional illegal selling of LAPD branded merchandise. I demand an immediate ban of LAPD’s use of facial recognition technology, and an investigation into how it has been used, as well as a statement from Chief Moore as to how LAPD is disciplining corrupt cop, Toni McBride, daughter of corrupt cop, LAPPL Director, Jamie McBride.

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. It is past due time to create a system of transparency in regards to the order speakers. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by hiding the order of speakers at your meetings and how long they are allowed to comment. You are here to be civilian oversight for the people, honor this role, not silence it.

Signed,

Michele Wetteland
pronouns: she/her

From: Gina Viola <gina.viola@lacounty.gov>
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 10:21 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; LAPC Fails; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@latimes.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: For the record of Public Comment 1/26/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

As reported weekly in BOPC public comment and in the recent community survey by LMU of Los Angeles residents, communities and individuals are demanding a divestment in policing and a defunding of LAPD, not a continuation of tactics and task forces that traumatize communities further.
In the January 12th 2021 meeting of the Board of Police Commissioners, the Board voted in favor of LAPD’s use of “Photo Comparison Technology” (also known as Facial Recognition Technology) despite over a thousand public comments in the span of several weeks rejecting LAPD use of facial recognition. Further, the Board completely failed to address community demands for more information regarding prior use of facial recognition in Los Angeles communities. I demand an immediate ban of LAPD’s use of facial recognition technology, and an investigation into how it has been used.

Regarding items 3A and 3B, linked to LAPD’s Human Trafficking Task Force: Human trafficking narratives (frequently wrapped in such themes as moral values, national security, and the rescue and protection of the vulnerable) are often deployed by the state to legitimize the criminalization of sex work, increased policies and policing of immigrants, racial profiling, and heightened surveillance of sex workers and locations associated with sex work. Anti-trafficking task forces do not help communities but actually harm them, and contribute to adverse health outcomes for sex workers and self-identified victims of human trafficking. As reported weekly in BOPC public comment and in the recent community survey by LMU of Los Angeles residents, communities and individuals are demanding a divestment in policing and a defunding of LAPD, not a continuation of tactics and task forces that traumatize communities further.

Regarding items 3E and 3G: As in previous years, the Los Angeles Police Foundation is again making donations of cameras and video equipment to LAPD for the surveillance of communities and of “crowd control” in LA. LAPD has a history of using these tools of surveillance to track and gather information on LA residents, with folks who are Black, Latinx, unhoused, disabled, undocumented, and/or members of the LGBTQIA community being most impacted. I reject these donations, and the surveillance of community members by police!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Gina Viola (she/her/hers
er-inclusivit%2Fwhy-i-put-pronouns-on-my-email-signature-and-linkedin-profile-and-you-should-too-d3dc942c8743&data=04%7C01%7Cpolicecommission%40lapd.online%7Ccbb1f2d1329542db13785d8c15e83bc7C642fd61c34ddfd0af8d443576485883%7C0%7C4%7C63747195682577010%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWIjoiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCQIjoiV2luMzIlCjBTiI6Ik1haWwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D%7C3000&data=2aHetRPnwvfuB9SRHbe24ieBoJ1xgmetvn%2F%2Ftmdv8%3D&reserved=0

<https://docs.google.com/uc?export=download&id=1YZUYr2r_Kcl1YeURszZSz29tbV4gp9W3L&revision=0BzMF7ak0jyjPRk1MenZSMLNaMkEzcnFrK29zazhEMGxs6szBzPQ>

Corporate Address:

Los Angeles, CA 90071
Phone: 
Fax: 
http://www.tradeshowtemps.net

The information contained in this message may be privileged and confidential and thus protected from disclosure. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by replying to the message and deleting it from your computer. Thank you, Trade Show Temps.

From: Harrison Weinfeld
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 10:21 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mke.fuero@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; Fails Lapc; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 1/26/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

A ban of facial recognition technology -- as demanded by the upwards of 1000 emails that was sent -- is opposite of approval. Once again the LAPC has failed to serve
the people favoring the will of city bodies instead. But the LAPC still has the ability to redeem itself from this tragic blunder.

In the January 12th 2021 meeting of the Board of Police Commissioners, the Board voted in favor of LAPD's use of "Photo Comparison Technology" (also known as Facial Recognition Technology) despite over a thousand public comments in the span of several weeks rejecting LAPD use of facial recognition. Further, the Board completely failed to address community demands for more information regarding prior use of facial recognition in Los Angeles communities. I demand an immediate ban of LAPD's use of facial recognition technology, and an investigation into how it has been used.

A ban of facial recognition technology -- as demanded by the upwards of 1000 emails that was sent -- is opposite of approval. Once again the LAPC has failed to serve the people favoring the will of city bodies instead. But the LAPC still has the ability to redeem itself from this tragic blunder.

Regarding items 3A and 3B, linked to LAPD's Human Trafficking Task Force: Human trafficking narratives (frequently wrapped in such themes as moral values, national security, and the rescue and protection of the vulnerable) are often deployed by the state to legitimize the criminalization of sex work, increased policies and policing of immigrants, racial profiling, and heightened surveillance of sex workers and locations associated with sex work. Anti-trafficking task forces do not help communities but actually harm them, and contribute to adverse health outcomes for sex workers and self-identified victims of human trafficking. As reported weekly in BOPC public comment and in the recent community survey by LMU of Los Angeles residents, communities and individuals are demanding a divestment in policing and a defunding of LAPD, not a continuation of tactics and task forces that traumatize communities further.

Regarding items 3E and 3G: As in previous years, the Los Angeles Police Foundation is again making donations of cameras and video equipment to LAPD for the surveillance of communities and of "crowd control" in LA. LAPD has a history of using these tools of surveillance to track and gather information on LA residents, with folks who are Black, Latinx, unhoused, disabled, undocumented, and/or members of the LGBTQIA community being most impacted. I reject these donations, and the surveillance of community members by police!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are
silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Harrison

From: Andy Arena <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 10:22 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfalls@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 1/26/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless YOU recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

In the January 12th 2021 meeting of the Board of Police Commissioners, the Board voted in favor of LAPD’s use of “Photo Comparison Technology” (also known as Facial Recognition Technology) despite over a thousand public comments in the span of several weeks rejecting LAPD use of facial recognition. Further, the Board completely failed to address community demands for more information regarding prior use of facial recognition in Los Angeles communities. I demand an immediate ban of LAPD’s use of facial recognition technology, and an investigation into how it has been used.

Regarding items 3A and 3B, linked to LAPD’s Human Trafficking Task Force: Human trafficking narratives (frequently wrapped in such themes as moral values, national security, and the rescue and protection of the vulnerable) are often deployed by the state to legitimize the criminalization of sex work, increased policies and policing of immigrants, racial profiling, and heightened surveillance of sex workers and locations associated with sex work. Anti-trafficking task forces do not help communities but actually harm them, and contribute to adverse health outcomes for sex workers and self-identified victims of human trafficking. As reported weekly in BOPC public comment and in the recent community survey by LMU of Los Angeles residents, communities and individuals are demanding a divestment in policing and a defunding of LAPD, not a continuation of tactics and task forces that traumatize communities further.
Regarding items 3E and 3G: As in previous years, the Los Angeles Police Foundation is again making donations of cameras and video equipment to LAPD for the surveillance of communities and of "crowd control" in LA. LAPD has a history of using these tools of surveillance to track and gather information on LA residents, with folks who are Black, Latinx, unhoused, disabled, undocumented, and/or members of the LGBTQIA community being most impacted. I reject these donations, and the surveillance of community members by police!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Andy Arena

From: Ali Pearl <[redacted]>
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 10:23 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; Mayor Garcetti
Subject: Public Comment 1/26/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

FIRST, I demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

At the mid-January commission meeting you voted in favor of LAPD’s use Facial Recognition Technology, despite over a thousand public comments in the span of several weeks rejecting LAPD use of facial recognition. The Board failed to address community demands for more information regarding prior use of facial recognition in Los Angeles communities. I and my fellow community members demand an immediate ban of LAPD’s use of facial recognition technology, and an investigation into how it has been used.

Addition items for comment:
Regarding items 3E and 3G: As in previous years, the Los Angeles Police Foundation is again making donations and video equipment to LAPD for the surveillance of communities and of “crowd control” in LA. LAPD has a history of using these tools of surveillance to track and gather information on LA residents, with folks who are Black, Latinx, unhoused, disabled, undocumented, and/or members of the LGBTQIA community being most impacted. I reject these donations, and the surveillance of community members by police!

3A and 3B, linked to LAPD’s Human Trafficking Task Force:

Human trafficking narratives (frequently wrapped in such themes as moral values, national security, and the rescue and protection of the vulnerable) are often deployed by the state to legitimize the criminalization of sex work, increased policies and policing of immigrants, racial profiling, and heightened surveillance of sex workers and locations associated with sex work. Anti-trafficking task forces do not help communities but actually harm them, and contribute to adverse health outcomes for sex workers and self-identified victims of human trafficking. As reported weekly in BOPC public comment and in the recent community survey by LMU of Los Angeles residents, communities and individuals are demanding a divestment in policing and a defunding of LAPD, not a continuation of tactics and task forces that traumatize communities further.

—Ali Pearl

From: Molly Talcott <molly.talcott@lacity.org>
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 10:24 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lacpfaills@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 1/26/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

In the January 12th, 2021 meeting of the Board of Police Commissioners, the Board voted in favor of LAPD’s use of “Photo Comparison Technology” (also known as Facial Recognition Technology) despite over a thousand public comments in the span of several weeks rejecting LAPD use of facial recognition. Further, the Board completely failed to address community demands for more information regarding prior use of facial recognition in Los Angeles communities. I demand an immediate ban of
LAPD’s use of facial recognition technology, and an investigation into how it has been used.

Regarding items 3A and 3B, linked to LAPD’s Human Trafficking Task Force: Human trafficking narratives (frequently wrapped in such themes as moral values, national security, and the rescue and protection of the vulnerable) are often deployed by the state to legitimize the criminalization of sex work, increased policies and policing of immigrants, racial profiling, and heightened surveillance of sex workers and locations associated with sex work. Anti-trafficking task forces do not help communities but actually harm them, and contribute to adverse health outcomes for sex workers and self-identified victims of human trafficking. As reported weekly in BOPC public comment and in the recent community survey by LMU of Los Angeles residents, communities and individuals are demanding a divestment in policing and a defunding of LAPD, not a continuation of tactics and task forces that traumatize communities further.

Regarding items 3E and 3G: As in previous years, the Los Angeles Police Foundation is again making donations of cameras and video equipment to LAPD for the surveillance of communities and of “crowd control” in LA. LAPD has a history of using these tools of surveillance to track and gather information on LA residents, with folks who are Black, Latinx, unhoused, disabled, undocumented, and/or members of the LGBTQIA community being most impacted. I reject these donations, and the surveillance of community members by police!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long. We are a city of millions, and forty-five minutes is an insult to the suggestion that this is a body that represents the people.

Signed,

Dr. Molly Talcott
Professor of Sociology
California State University, Los Angeles
From: Talia Kirshenbaum
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 10:24 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lacpfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: January 26th Public Comment

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

I am writing to demand an immediate ban of LAPD’s use of facial recognition technology, and a legitimate and transparent investigation into how it has been used.

In the January 12th 2021 meeting of the Board of Police Commissioners, the Board voted in favor of LAPD’s use of “Photo Comparison Technology,” or Facial Recognition Technology, despite receiving over a thousand public comments rejecting LAPD use of facial recognition. Further, the Board completely failed to address community demands for more information regarding prior use of facial recognition in Los Angeles communities.

Regarding items 3A and 3B, linked to LAPD’s Human Trafficking Task Force: Human trafficking narratives (frequently wrapped in such themes as moral values, national security, and the rescue and protection of the vulnerable) are often deployed by the state to legitimize the criminalization of sex work, increased policies and policing of immigrants, racial profiling, and heightened surveillance of sex workers and locations associated with sex work. Anti-trafficking task forces do not help communities but actually harm them, and contribute to adverse health outcomes for sex workers and self-identified victims of human trafficking. As reported weekly in BOPC public comment and in the recent community survey by LMU of Los Angeles residents, communities and individuals are demanding a divestment in policing and a defunding of LAPD, not a continuation of tactics and task forces that traumatize communities further.

Regarding items 3E and 3G: As in previous years, the Los Angeles Police Foundation is making donations of cameras and video equipment to LAPD for the surveillance of communities and of “crowd control” in LA. LAPD has a history of using these tools of
surveillance to track and gather information on LA residents, with folks who are Black, Latinx, unhoused, disabled, undocumented, and/or members of the LGBTQIA community being most impacted. I reject these donations, and the surveillance of community members by police!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. No matter how you continue to try to justify it, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Talia Kirshenbaum

From: Faith Curtis <[redacted]>
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 10:25 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfalls@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: A public comment to the commissioners for 1/26/21

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

To the Police Commission:

I'd like to begin with a reminder of the police commission’s role as an oversight board - you call yourselves “the citizen’s voice” and you exist to hold the LAPD accountable. Please remember that important role as you are inundated with messages with the below demands. Each of these messages comes from a unique citizen of Los Angeles who are desperately trying to call attention to these issues.

In the January 12th 2021 meeting of the Board of Police Commissioners, the Board voted in favor of LAPD’s use of “Photo Comparison Technology” (also known as Facial Recognition Technology) despite over a thousand public comments in the span of several weeks rejecting LAPD use of facial recognition. Further, the Board completely failed to address community demands for more information regarding prior use of facial recognition in Los Angeles communities. I demand an immediate ban of LAPD’s use of facial recognition technology, and an investigation into how it has been used.

Regarding items 3A and 3B, linked to LAPD’s Human Trafficking Task Force: Human trafficking narratives (frequently wrapped in such themes as moral values, national security, and the rescue and protection of the vulnerable) are often
deployed by the state to legitimize the criminalization of sex work, increased policies and policing of immigrants, racial profiling, and heightened surveillance of sex workers and locations associated with sex work. Anti-trafficking task forces do not help communities but actually harm them, and contribute to adverse health outcomes for sex workers and self-identified victims of human trafficking (people who are also citizen and who it is also your responsibility to protect). As reported weekly in BOPC public comment and in the recent community survey by LMU of Los Angeles residents, communities and individuals are demanding a divestment in policing and a defunding of LAPD, not a continuation of tactics and task forces that traumatize communities further.

Regarding items 3E and 3G:
As in previous years, the Los Angeles Police Foundation is again making donations of cameras and video equipment to LAPD for the surveillance of communities and of “crowd control” in LA. LAPD has a history of using these tools of surveillance to track and gather information on LA residents, with folks who are Black, Latinx, unhoused, disabled, undocumented, and/or members of the LGBTQIA community being most impacted. I reject these donations, and the surveillance of community members by police!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,
Faith Curtis

From: Camille Sacristan
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 10:27 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: LAPC public comment for 1/26/2021 meeting

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

In the January 12th 2021 meeting of the Board of Police Commissioners, the Board voted in favor of LAPD’s use of “Photo Comparison Technology” (also known as Facial Recognition Technology) despite over a thousand public comments in the span of several weeks rejecting LAPD use of facial recognition. Further, the Board completely failed to address community demands for more information regarding prior use of facial recognition in Los Angeles communities. I demand an immediate ban of LAPD’s use of facial recognition technology, and an investigation into how it has
been used.

Regarding items 3A and 3B, linked to LAPD’s Human Trafficking Task Force: Human trafficking narratives (frequently wrapped in such themes as moral values, national security, and the rescue and protection of the vulnerable) are often deployed by the state to legitimize the criminalization of sex work, increased policies and policing of immigrants, racial profiling, and heightened surveillance of sex workers and locations associated with sex work. Anti-trafficking task forces do not help communities but actually harm them, and contribute to adverse health outcomes for sex workers and self-identified victims of human trafficking. As reported weekly in BOPC public comment and in the recent community survey by LMU of Los Angeles residents, communities and individuals are demanding a divestment in policing and a defunding of LAPD, not a continuation of tactics and task forces that traumatize communities further.

Regarding items 3E and 3G: As in previous years, the Los Angeles Police Foundation is again making donations of cameras and video equipment to LAPD for the surveillance of communities and of “crowd control” in LA. LAPD has a history of using these tools of surveillance to track and gather information on LA residents, with folks who are Black, Latinx, unhoused, disabled, undocumented, and/or members of the LGBTQIA community being most impacted. I reject these donations, and the surveillance of community members by police!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,
Camille Sacristan
LA County Resident

From: Kaytra Parkman <kaytraparkman@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 10:27 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 1/26/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.
To the Commissioners -

In the January 12th 2021 meeting of the Board of Police Commissioners, the Board voted in favor of LAPD’s use of “Photo Comparison Technology” (also known as Facial Recognition Technology) despite over a thousand public comments in the span of several weeks rejecting LAPD use of facial recognition. Further, the Board completely failed to address community demands for more information regarding prior use of facial recognition in Los Angeles communities. I demand an immediate ban of LAPD’s use of facial recognition technology, and an investigation into how it has been used.

Regarding items 3A and 3B, linked to LAPD’s Human Trafficking Task Force: Human trafficking narratives (frequently wrapped in such themes as moral values, national security, and the rescue and protection of the vulnerable) are often deployed by the state to legitimize the criminalization of sex work, increased policies and policing of immigrants, racial profiling, and heightened surveillance of sex workers and locations associated with sex work. Anti-trafficking task forces do not help communities but actually harm them, and contribute to adverse health outcomes for sex workers and self-identified victims of human trafficking. As reported weekly in BOPC public comment and in the recent community survey by LMU of Los Angeles residents, communities and individuals are demanding divestment in policing and a defunding of LAPD, not a continuation of tactics and task forces that traumatize communities further.

Regarding items 3E and 3G: As in previous years, the Los Angeles Police Foundation is again making donations of cameras and video equipment to LAPD for the surveillance of communities and of “crowd control” in LA. LAPD has a history of using these tools of surveillance to track and gather information on LA residents, with folks who are Black, Latinx, unhoused, disabled, undocumented, and/or members of the LGBTQIA community being most impacted. I reject these donations and the surveillance of community members by the police!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,
Kaytra

From: Kimberly Dobbs
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 10:29 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 1/26/2021
ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Commissioners:

It’s important to me to that the following comments are heard. In the January 12th 2021 meeting of the Board of Police Commissioners, the Board voted in favor of LAPD’s use of “Photo Comparison Technology” (also known as Facial Recognition Technology) despite over a thousand public comments in the span of several weeks rejecting LAPD use of facial recognition. However, the public will not stand to be ignored or dismissed this way. Further, the Board completely failed to address community demands for more information regarding prior use of facial recognition in Los Angeles communities. I demand an immediate ban of LAPD’s use of facial recognition technology, and an investigation into how it has been used.

Regarding items 3A and 3B, linked to LAPD’s Human Trafficking Task Force: Human trafficking narratives (frequently wrapped in such themes as moral values, national security, and the rescue and protection of the vulnerable) are often deployed by the state to legitimize the criminalization of sex work, increased policies and policing of immigrants, racial profiling, and heightened surveillance of sex workers and locations associated with sex work. Anti-trafficking task forces do not help communities but actually harm them, and contribute to adverse health outcomes for sex workers and self-identified victims of human trafficking. As reported weekly in BOPC public comment and in the recent community survey by LMU of Los Angeles residents, communities and individuals are demanding a divestment in policing and a defunding of LAPD, not a continuation of tactics and task forces that traumatize communities further.

Regarding items 3E and 3G: As in previous years, the Los Angeles Police Foundation is again making donations of cameras and video equipment to LAPD for the surveillance of communities and of “crowd control” in LA. LAPD has a history of using these tools of surveillance to track and gather information on LA residents, with folks who are Black, Latinx, unhoused, disabled, undocumented, and/or members of the LGBTQIA community being most impacted. I reject these donations, and the surveillance of community members by police!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long. We will continue to push until we see change, and we won’t be silenced.

Signed,
Kimberly Dobbs
From: Jenn Murphy <br>
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 10:33 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@LegacylA.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 1/26/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

At the January 12, 2021 meeting of the Board of Police Commissioners, the Board voted in favor of LAPD's use of “Photo Comparison Technology” (also known as Facial Recognition Technology) despite over a thousand public comments in the span of several weeks rejecting LAPD use of facial recognition. Further, the Board completely failed to address community demands for more information regarding prior use of facial recognition in Los Angeles communities. I demand an immediate ban of LAPD’s use of facial recognition technology, and an investigation into how it has been used.

Regarding items 3A and 3B, linked to LAPD’s Human Trafficking Task Force: Human trafficking narratives (frequently wrapped in such themes as moral values, national security, and the rescue and protection of the vulnerable) are often deployed by the state to legitimize the criminalization of sex work, increased policies and policing of immigrants, racial profiling, and heightened surveillance of sex workers and locations associated with sex work. Anti-trafficking task forces do not help communities but actually harm them, and contribute to adverse health outcomes for sex workers and self-identified victims of human trafficking. As reported weekly in BOPC public comment and in the recent community survey by LMU of Los Angeles residents, communities and individuals are demanding a divestment in policing and a defunding of LAPD, not a continuation of tactics and task forces that traumatize communities further.

Regarding items 3E and 3G: As in previous years, the Los Angeles Police Foundation is again making donations of cameras and video equipment to LAPD for the surveillance of communities and of “crowd control” in LA. LAPD has a history of using these tools of surveillance to track and gather information on LA residents, with folks who are Black, Latinx, unhoused, disabled, undocumented, and/or members of the LGBTQIA community being most impacted. I reject these donations, and the surveillance of community members by police!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.
Signed,
Jenn Murphy
From: Gabriel Diani <redacted>
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 10:47 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Sboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 1/26/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

In the January 12th 2021 meeting of the Board of Police Commissioners, the Board voted in favor of LAPD’s use of “Photo Comparison Technology” (also known as Facial Recognition Technology) despite over a thousand public comments in the span of several weeks rejecting LAPD use of facial recognition. Further, the Board completely failed to address community demands for more information regarding prior use of facial recognition in Los Angeles communities. I demand an immediate ban of LAPD’s use of facial recognition technology, and an investigation into how it has been used.

Regarding items 3A and 3B, linked to LAPD’s Human Trafficking Task Force: Human trafficking narratives (frequently wrapped in such themes as moral values, national security, and the rescue and protection of the vulnerable) are often deployed by the state to legitimize the criminalization of sex work, increased policies and policing of immigrants, racial profiling, and heightened surveillance of sex workers and locations associated with sex work. Anti-trafficking task forces do not help communities but actually harm them, and contribute to adverse health outcomes for sex workers and self-identified victims of human trafficking. As reported weekly in BOPC public comment and in the recent community survey by LMU of Los Angeles residents, communities and individuals are demanding a divestment in policing and a defunding of LAPD, not a continuation of tactics and task forces that traumatize communities further.

Regarding items 3E and 3G: As in previous years, the Los Angeles Police Foundation is again making donations of cameras and video equipment to LAPD for the surveillance of communities and of “crowd control” in LA. LAPD has a history of using these tools of surveillance to track and gather information on LA residents, with folks who are Black, Latinx, unhoused, disabled, undocumented, and/or members of the LGBTQIA community being most impacted. I reject these donations, and the
surveillance of community members by police!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Gabriel Diani

From: Brian N. Potter <brian_potter@lapd.org>
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 10:50 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyLA.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 1/26/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

In the January 12th, 2021 meeting of the Board of Police Commissioners, the Board voted in favor of LAPD’s use of “Photo Comparison Technology” (also known as Facial Recognition Technology) despite over a thousand public comments in the span of several weeks rejecting LAPD use of facial recognition. Further, the Board completely failed to address community demands for more information regarding prior use of facial recognition in Los Angeles communities. I demand an immediate ban of LAPD’s use of facial recognition technology, and an investigation into how it has been used.

Regarding items 3A and 3B, linked to LAPD’s Human Trafficking Task Force: Human trafficking narratives (frequently wrapped in such themes as moral values, national security, and the rescue and protection of the vulnerable) are often deployed by the state to legitimize the criminalization of sex work, increased policies and policing of immigrants, racial profiling, and heightened surveillance of sex workers and
locations associated with sex work. Anti-trafficking task forces do not help communities but actually harm them and contribute to adverse health outcomes for sex workers and self-identified victims of human trafficking. As reported weekly in BOPC public comment and in the recent community survey by LMU of Los Angeles residents, communities and individuals are demanding a divestment in policing and a defunding of LAPD, not a continuation of tactics and task forces that traumatize communities further.

Regarding items 3E and 3G: As in previous years, the Los Angeles Police Foundation is again making donations of cameras and video equipment to LAPD for the surveillance of communities and of “crowd control” in LA. LAPD has a history of using these tools of surveillance to track and gather information on LA residents, with folks who are Black, Latinx, unhoused, disabled, undocumented, and/or members of the LGBTQIA community being most impacted. I reject these donations, and the surveillance of community members by police!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Brian Potter

From: Colette Sandstedt <colette.sandstedt@lacity.org>
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 10:52 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lpcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyLA.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.lector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 1/26/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello,

In the January 12th 2021 meeting of the Board of Police Commissioners, the Board voted in favor of LAPD’s use of “Photo Comparison Technology” (also known as Facial Recognition Technology) despite over a thousand public comments in the span of
several weeks rejecting LAPD use of facial recognition. Further, the Board completely failed to address community demands for more information regarding prior use of facial recognition in Los Angeles communities. I demand an immediate ban of LAPD’s use of facial recognition technology, and an investigation into how it has been used.

Regarding items 3A and 3B, linked to LAPD’s Human Trafficking Task Force: Human trafficking narratives (frequently wrapped in such themes as moral values, national security, and the rescue and protection of the vulnerable) are often deployed by the state to legitimize the criminalization of sex work, increased policies and policing of immigrants, racial profiling, and heightened surveillance of sex workers and locations associated with sex work. Anti-trafficking task forces do not help communities but actually harm them, and contribute to adverse health outcomes for sex workers and self-identified victims of human trafficking. As reported weekly in BOPC public comment and in the recent community survey by LMU of Los Angeles residents, communities and individuals are demanding a divestment in policing and a defunding of LAPD, not a continuation of tactics and task forces that traumatize communities further.

Regarding items 3E and 3G: As in previous years, the Los Angeles Police Foundation is again making donations of cameras and video equipment to LAPD for the surveillance of communities and of “crowd control” in LA. LAPD has a history of using these tools of surveillance to track and gather information on LA residents, with folks who are Black, Latinx, unhoused, disabled, undocumented, and/or members of the LGBTQIA community being most impacted. I reject these donations, and the surveillance of community members by police!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Colette Sandstedt

From: Greg Irwin
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 10:52 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Sboroff; l pac efails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 1/26/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.
LAPD Commissioners:

First: I write to uplift a demand you have now received over 1000 times: an immediate ban of LAPD's use of facial recognition technology.

Regarding items 3A and 3B: stories of human trafficking are regularly manipulated by the state to increase the power of police, with minimal impact on preventing trafficking, and instead exacerbating the criminalization of sex work, and furthering the policing of immigrants and racial profiling that tortures this city day in and day out. Your abuse of these narratives is deeply insensitive to actual human trafficking victims, and these efforts to expand LAPD powers are abhorrently tone deaf in a city that wants LAPD's funding eliminated, or at least greatly reduced.

Regarding items 3E and 3G: Once again, the Los Angeles Police Foundation is donating cameras and video equipment to LAPD to further surveil its communities—which means more extreme measures to monitor and abuse BIPOC Angelenos. This is evidenced by a rich history of LAPD abusing these invasions of privacy to gather information on the most disenfranchised members of our city. I stand against these donations, and I am terrified and infuriated by your continued measures toward increasing police power, which consistently results in abuse of the communities you supposedly exist to protect and serve.

I also add my voice to the demand that you cease the limitation of public comment at your meetings to 45 minutes. Stop silencing Angeleno voices. What a pitiful excuse for democracy this space has become.

Sincerely,
Greg Irwin
90035

From: Sarah Eggers <sarah.eaggers@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 10:54 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lacpfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 1/26/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

In the January 12th 2021 meeting of the Board of Police Commissioners, the Board voted in favor of LAPD's use of "Photo Comparison Technology" (also known as Facial Recognition Technology) despite over a thousand public comments in the span of
several weeks rejecting LAPD use of facial recognition. Further, the Board completely failed to address community demands for more information regarding prior use of facial recognition in Los Angeles communities. I demand an immediate ban of LAPD’s use of facial recognition technology, and an investigation into how it has been used.

Regarding items 3A and 3B, linked to LAPD’s Human Trafficking Task Force: Human trafficking narratives (frequently wrapped in such themes as moral values, national security, and the rescue and protection of the vulnerable) are often deployed by the state to legitimize the criminalization of sex work, increased policies and policing of immigrants, racial profiling, and heightened surveillance of sex workers and locations associated with sex work. Anti-trafficking task forces do not help communities but actually harm them, and contribute to adverse health outcomes for sex workers and self-identified victims of human trafficking. As reported weekly in BOPC public comment and in the recent community survey by LMU of Los Angeles residents, communities and individuals are demanding a divestment in policing and a defunding of LAPD, not a continuation of tactics and task forces that traumatize communities further.

Regarding items 3E and 3G: As in previous years, the Los Angeles Police Foundation is again making donations of cameras and video equipment to LAPD for the surveillance of communities and of “crowd control” in LA. LAPD has a history of using these tools of surveillance to track and gather information on LA residents, with folks who are Black, Latinx, unhoused, disabled, undocumented, and/or members of the LGBTQIA community being most impacted. I reject these donations, and the surveillance of community members by police!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Sarah Eggers, LMFT

--

Sarah Eggers, LMFT, ATR, MFA
pronouns she/her/hers

Pasadena, CA 91101
CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The contents of this email message and any attachments are intended solely for the addressee(s) and may contain confidential and/or privileged information and may be legally protected from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, or if this message has been addressed to you in error, please immediately alert the sender by reply email and then delete this message and any attachments. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any use, dissemination, copying, or storage of this message or its attachments is strictly prohibited.

From: Mary Kamitaki <redacted>
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 10:55 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@LegacyLA.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 1/26/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

In the January 12th 2021 meeting of the Board of Police Commissioners, the Board voted in favor of LAPD’s use of “Photo Comparison Technology” (also known as Facial Recognition Technology) despite over a thousand public comments in the span of several weeks rejecting LAPD use of facial recognition. Further, the Board completely failed to address community demands for more information regarding prior use of facial recognition in Los Angeles communities. I demand an immediate ban of LAPD’s use of facial recognition technology, and an investigation into how it has been used.

Regarding items 3A and 3B, linked to LAPD’s Human Trafficking Task Force: Human trafficking narratives (frequently wrapped in such themes as moral values, national security, and the rescue and protection of the vulnerable) are often deployed by the state to legitimize the criminalization of sex work, increased policies and policing of immigrants, racial profiling, and heightened surveillance of sex workers and locations associated with sex work. Anti-trafficking task forces do not help communities but actually harm them, and contribute to adverse health outcomes for sex workers and self-identified victims of human trafficking. As reported weekly in BOPC public comment and in the recent community survey by LMU of Los Angeles residents, communities and individuals are demanding a divestment in policing and a defunding of LAPD, not a continuation of tactics and task forces that traumatize communities further.
Regarding items 3E and 3G: As in previous years, the Los Angeles Police Foundation is again making donations of cameras and video equipment to LAPD for the surveillance of communities and of “crowd control” in LA. LAPD has a history of using these tools of surveillance to track and gather information on LA residents, with folks who are Black, Latinx, unhoused, disabled, undocumented, and/or members of the LGBTQIA community being most impacted. I reject these donations, and the surveillance of community members by police!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Mary Kamitaki

From: Terra Mackintosh
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 10:58 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 1/26/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Commissioners:

In the January 12th 2021 meeting of the Board of Police Commissioners, the Board voted in favor of LAPD's use of “Photo Comparison Technology” (also known as Facial Recognition Technology) despite over a thousand public comments in the span of several weeks rejecting LAPD use of facial recognition. Further, the Board completely failed to address community demands for more information regarding prior use of facial recognition in Los Angeles communities. I demand an immediate ban of LAPD's use of facial recognition technology, and an investigation into how it has been used.

Regarding items 3A and 3B, linked to LAPD’s Human Trafficking Task Force: Human trafficking narratives (frequently wrapped in such themes as moral values, national security, and the rescue and protection of the vulnerable) are often deployed by the
state to legitimize the criminalization of sex work, increased policies and policing of immigrants, racial profiling, and heightened surveillance of sex workers and locations associated with sex work. Anti-trafficking task forces do not help communities but actually harm them, and contribute to adverse health outcomes for sex workers and self-identified victims of human trafficking. As reported weekly in BOPC public comment and in the recent community survey by LMU of Los Angeles residents, communities and individuals are demanding a divestment in policing and a defunding of LAPD, not a continuation of tactics and task forces that traumatize communities further.

Regarding items 3E and 3G: As in previous years, the Los Angeles Police Foundation is again making donations of cameras and video equipment to LAPD for the surveillance of communities and of “crowd control” in LA. LAPD has a history of using these tools of surveillance to track and gather information on LA residents, with folks who are Black, Latinx, unhoused, disabled, undocumented, and/or members of the LGBTQIA community being most impacted. I reject these donations, and the surveillance of community members by police!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Terra Mackintosh

--

TERRA MACKINTOSH
www.terramackintosh.com
<https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.terramackintosh.com%2F&data=04%7C01%7Cpolicecommission%40lapd.online%7Cde4ee4b3f5d54f9f6f08d8c163350c7c642f6d1c34dd4fd0af8d443576485883%7C0%7C0%7C0%7C637471979141931483%7Cunknown%7CTW%FpbGzsbd8eyJWIjo1MC4wLjAwMDA1LCJCQIjo1V21uMzIiLCJBTiI6Ik1haWwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D%7C1000&sdata=h8saRuNjkD8t5qATKDALYr71mecSUx7SnfftcZyjsbk%3D&reserved=0>

From: Megan O’Heffernan <
In the January 12th 2021 meeting of the Board of Police Commissioners, the Board voted in favor of LAPD’s use of “Photo Comparison Technology” (also known as Facial Recognition Technology) despite over a thousand public comments in the span of several weeks rejecting LAPD use of facial recognition. Further, the Board completely failed to address community demands for more information regarding prior use of facial recognition in Los Angeles communities. I demand an immediate ban of LAPD’s use of facial recognition technology, and an investigation into how it has been used.

Regarding items 3A and 3B, linked to LAPD’s Human Trafficking Task Force: Human trafficking narratives (frequently wrapped in such themes as moral values, national security, and the rescue and protection of the vulnerable) are often deployed by the state to legitimize the criminalization of sex work, increased policies and policing of immigrants, racial profiling, and heightened surveillance of sex workers and locations associated with sex work. Anti-trafficking task forces do not help communities but actually harm them, and contribute to adverse health outcomes for sex workers and self-identified victims of human trafficking. The best way to fight human trafficking is to decriminalize sex work so that sex workers and trafficking victims feel safer coming forward to report trafficking. As reported weekly in BOPC public comment and in the recent community survey by LMU of Los Angeles residents, communities and individuals are demanding a divestment in policing and a defunding of LAPD, not a continuation of tactics and task forces that traumatize communities further.

Regarding items 3E and 3G: As in previous years, the Los Angeles Police Foundation is again making donations of cameras and video equipment to LAPD for the surveillance of communities and of “crowd control” in LA. LAPD has a history of using these tools of surveillance to track and gather information on LA residents, with folks who are Black, Latinx, unhoused, disabled, undocumented, and/or members of the LGBTQIA community being most impacted. I reject these donations, and the surveillance of community members by police!
We will continue to make our voices heard through emails, public comment at weekly BOPC meetings, and on social media until you recognize the call from Angelenos to reject increased surveillance of our fellow civilians.

Signed,

Megan

From: Ms. Suttle <ms.suttle@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 10:59 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mke.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Stop silencing civilian voices you are tasked with representing

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

In the January 12th 2021 meeting of the Board of Police Commissioners, the Board voted in favor of LAPD’s use of “Photo Comparison Technology” (also known as Facial Recognition Technology) despite over a thousand public comments in the span of several weeks rejecting LAPD use of facial recognition. Further, the Board completely failed to address community demands for more information regarding prior use of facial recognition in Los Angeles communities. I demand an immediate ban of LAPD’s use of facial recognition technology, and an investigation into how it has been used.

Regarding items 3A and 3B, linked to LAPD’s Human Trafficking Task Force: Human trafficking narratives (frequently wrapped in such themes as moral values, national security, and the rescue and protection of the vulnerable) are often deployed by the state to legitimize the criminalization of sex work, increased policies and policing of immigrants, racial profiling, and heightened surveillance of sex workers and locations associated with sex work. Anti-trafficking task forces do not help communities but actually harm them, and contribute to adverse health outcomes for sex workers and self-identified victims of human trafficking. As reported weekly in BOPC public comment and in the recent community survey by LMU of
Los Angeles residents, communities and individuals are demanding a divestment in policing and a defunding of LAPD, not a continuation of tactics and task forces that traumatize communities further.

Regarding items 3E and 3G: As in previous years, the Los Angeles Police Foundation is again making donations of cameras and video equipment to LAPD for the surveillance of communities and of “crowd control” in LA. LAPD has a history of using these tools of surveillance to track and gather information on LA residents, with folks who are Black, Latinx, unhoused, disabled, undocumented, and/or members of the LGBTQIA community being most impacted. I reject these donations, and the surveillance of community members by police!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Latia Suttle

From: Danielle Carne <[blacked out]>
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 11:04 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.lector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 1/26/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

In the January 12th 2021 meeting of the Board of Police Commissioners, the Board voted in favor of LAPD’s use of “Photo Comparison Technology” (also known as Facial
Recognition Technology) despite over a thousand public comments in the span of several weeks rejecting LAPD use of facial recognition. Further, the Board completely failed to address community demands for more information regarding prior use of facial recognition in Los Angeles communities. I demand an immediate ban of LAPD’s use of facial recognition technology, and an investigation into how it has been used.

Regarding items 3A and 3B, linked to LAPD’s Human Trafficking Task Force: Human trafficking narratives (frequently wrapped in such themes as moral values, national security, and the rescue and protection of the vulnerable) are often deployed by the state to legitimize the criminalization of sex work, increased policies and policing of immigrants, racial profiling, and heightened surveillance of sex workers and locations associated with sex work. Anti-trafficking task forces do not help communities but actually harm them, and contribute to adverse health outcomes for sex workers and self-identified victims of human trafficking. As reported weekly in BOPC public comment and in the recent community survey by LMU of Los Angeles residents, communities and individuals are demanding a divestment in policing and a defunding of LAPD, not a continuation of tactics and task forces that traumatize communities further.

Regarding items 3E and 3G: As in previous years, the Los Angeles Police Foundation is again making donations of cameras and video equipment to LAPD for the surveillance of communities and of “crowd control” in LA. LAPD has a history of using these tools of surveillance to track and gather information on LA residents, with folks who are Black, Latinx, unhoused, disabled, undocumented, and/or members of the LGBTQIA community being most impacted. I reject these donations, and the surveillance of community members by police!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Danielle Carne

From: Mary Jane Horton <mjh@lacity.org>
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 11:10 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Sboroff; lapcFAILS@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public comment 1/26

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.
Commissioners:

In the January 12th 2021 meeting of the Board of Police Commissioners, the Board voted in favor of LAPD’s use of “Photo Comparison Technology” (also known as Facial Recognition Technology) despite over a thousand public comments in the span of several weeks rejecting LAPD use of facial recognition. Further, the Board completely failed to address community demands for more information regarding prior use of facial recognition in Los Angeles communities. I demand an immediate ban of LAPD’s use of facial recognition technology, and an investigation into how it has been used.

Regarding items 3A and 3B, linked to LAPD’s Human Trafficking Task Force: Human trafficking narratives (frequently wrapped in such themes as moral values, national security, and the rescue and protection of the vulnerable) are often deployed by the state to legitimize the criminalization of sex work, increased policies and policing of immigrants, racial profiling, and heightened surveillance of sex workers and locations associated with sex work. Anti-trafficking task forces do not help communities but actually harm them, and contribute to adverse health outcomes for sex workers and self-identified victims of human trafficking. As reported weekly in BOPC public comment and in the recent community survey by LMU of Los Angeles residents, communities and individuals are demanding a divestment in policing and a defunding of LAPD, not a continuation of tactics and task forces that traumatize communities further.

Regarding items 3E and 3G: As in previous years, the Los Angeles Police Foundation is again making donations of cameras and video equipment to LAPD for the surveillance of communities and of “crowd control” in LA. LAPD has a history of using these tools of surveillance to track and gather information on LA residents, with folks who are Black, Latinx, unhoused, disabled, undocumented, and/or members of the LGBTQIA community being most impacted. I reject these donations, and the surveillance of community members by police!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Mary Jane Horton

Sent from my iPhone
From: Byrnes, Hayley
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 11:14 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mke.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 1/26/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Commissioners,

At the Jan. 21, 2021 meeting of the Board of Police Commissioners, the Board voted in favor of LAPD’s use of “Photo Comparison Technology” (also known as Facial Recognition Technology) despite over a thousand public comments in the span of several weeks rejecting LAPD use of facial recognition. The Board failed to address community demands for more information regarding prior use of facial recognition in Los Angeles communities. I demand an immediate ban of LAPD’s use of facial recognition technology, and an investigation into how it has been used!

Regarding items 3A and 3B, linked to LAPD’s Human Trafficking Task Force: Human trafficking narratives (frequently wrapped in such themes as moral values, national security, and the rescue and protection of the vulnerable) are often deployed by the state to legitimate the criminalization of sex work, increased policies and policing of immigrants, racial profiling, and heightened surveillance of sex workers and locations associated with sex work. Anti-trafficking task forces do not help communities but actually harm them, and contribute to adverse health outcomes for sex workers and self-identified victims of human trafficking. As reported weekly in BOPC public comment and in the recent community survey by LMU of Los Angeles residents, communities and individuals are demanding a divestment in policing and a defunding of LAPD, not a continuation of tactics and task forces that traumatize communities further.

Regarding items 3E and 3G: As in previous years, the Los Angeles Police Foundation is again making donations of cameras and video equipment to LAPD for the surveillance of communities and of “crowd control” in LA. LAPD has a history of using these tools of surveillance to track and gather information on LA residents, with folks who are Black, Latinx, unhoused, disabled, undocumented, and/or members of the LGBTQIA community being most impacted. I reject these donations, and the surveillance of community members by police!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Yours,
Hayley Byrnes
ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

In the January 12th 2021 meeting of the Board of Police Commissioners, the Board voted in favor of LAPD’s use of “Photo Comparison Technology” (also known as Facial Recognition Technology) despite over a thousand public comments in the span of several weeks rejecting LAPD use of facial recognition. Further, the Board completely failed to address community demands for more information regarding prior use of facial recognition in Los Angeles communities. I demand an immediate ban of LAPD’s use of facial recognition technology, and an investigation into how it has been used.

Regarding items 3A and 3B, linked to LAPD’s Human Trafficking Task Force: Human trafficking narratives (frequently wrapped in such themes as moral values, national security, and the rescue and protection of the vulnerable) are often deployed by the state to legitimize the criminalization of sex work, increased policies and policing of immigrants, racial profiling, and heightened surveillance of sex workers and locations associated with sex work. Anti-trafficking task forces do not help communities but actually harm them, and contribute to adverse health outcomes for sex workers and self-identified victims of human trafficking. As reported weekly in BOPC public comment and in the recent community survey by LMU of Los Angeles residents, communities and individuals are demanding a divestment in policing and a defunding of LAPD, not a continuation of tactics and task forces that traumatize communities further.

Regarding items 3E and 3G: As in previous years, the Los Angeles Police Foundation is again making donations of cameras and video equipment to LAPD for the surveillance of communities and of “crowd control” in LA. LAPD has a history of using these tools of surveillance to track and gather information on LA residents, with folks who are Black, Latinx, unhoused, disabled, undocumented, and/or members of the LGBTQIA community being most impacted. I reject these donations, and the surveillance of community members by police!
I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Sophie Sills

From: Savannah Caraway <[redacted]>
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 11:19 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@LegacyLA.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 01/26/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

In the January 12th 2021 meeting of the Board of Police Commissioners, the Board voted in favor of LAPD’s use of “Photo Comparison Technology” (also known as Facial Recognition Technology) despite over a thousand public comments in the span of several weeks rejecting LAPD use of facial recognition. Further, the Board completely failed to address community demands for more information regarding prior use of facial recognition in Los Angeles communities. I demand an immediate ban of LAPD’s use of facial recognition technology, and an investigation into how it has been used.

Regarding items 3A and 3B, linked to LAPD’s Human Trafficking Task Force: Human trafficking narratives (frequently wrapped in such themes as moral values, national security, and the rescue and protection of the vulnerable) are often deployed by the state to legitimize the criminalization of sex work, increased policies and policing of immigrants, racial profiling, and heightened surveillance of sex workers and locations associated with sex work. Anti-trafficking task forces do not help communities but actually harm them, and contribute to adverse health outcomes for sex workers and self-identified victims of human trafficking. As reported weekly in BOPC public comment and in the recent community survey by LMU of Los Angeles residents, communities and individuals are demanding a divestment in policing and a defunding of LAPD, not a continuation of tactics and task forces that traumatize communities further.

Regarding items 3E and 3G: As in previous years, the Los Angeles Police Foundation is again making donations of cameras and video equipment to LAPD for the surveillance of communities and of “crowd control” in LA. LAPD has a history of
using these tools of surveillance to track and gather information on LA residents, with folks who are Black, Latinx, unhoused, disabled, undocumented, and/or members of the LGBTQIA community being most impacted. I reject these donations, and the surveillance of community members by police!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,
Savannah Caraway
From: Zachary Rosenkoetter <zachary@lacity.org>
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 11:19 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: miken.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legalcyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 1/26/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

In the January 12th 2021 meeting of the Board of Police Commissioners, the Board voted in favor of LAPD’s use of “Photo Comparison Technology” (also known as Facial Recognition Technology) despite over a thousand public comments in the span of several weeks rejecting LAPD use of facial recognition. Further, the Board completely failed to address community demands for more information regarding prior use of facial recognition in Los Angeles communities. I demand an immediate ban of LAPD’s use of facial recognition technology, and an investigation into how it has been used.

Regarding items 3A and 3B, linked to LAPD’s Human Trafficking Task Force: Human trafficking narratives (frequently wrapped in such themes as moral values, national security, and the rescue and protection of the vulnerable) are often deployed by the state to legitimize the criminalization of sex work, increased policies and policing of immigrants, racial profiling, and heightened surveillance of sex workers and locations associated with sex work. Anti-trafficking task forces do not help communities but actually harm them, and contribute to adverse health outcomes for sex workers and self-identified victims of human trafficking. As reported weekly in BOPC public comment and in the recent community survey by LMU of Los Angeles residents, communities and individuals are demanding a divestment in policing and a defunding of LAPD, not a continuation of tactics and task forces that traumatize communities further.
Regarding items 3E and 3G: As in previous years, the Los Angeles Police Foundation is again making donations of cameras and video equipment to LAPD for the surveillance of communities and of “crowd control” in LA. LAPD has a history of using these tools of surveillance to track and gather information on LA residents, with folks who are Black, Latinx, unhoused, disabled, undocumented, and/or members of the LGBTQIA community being most impacted. I reject these donations, and the surveillance of community members by police!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Zachary Rosenkoetter

From: Benjamin Rosenkoetter
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 11:19 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: Michel Moore; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; ethics.commission@lacity.org; kevin.rector@latimes.com; lpcfails@gmail.com; lou@LegacyLA.org; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Richard Tefank; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; Eileen Decker; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com
Subject: Public Comment 1/26/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

In the January 12th 2021 meeting of the Board of Police Commissioners, the Board voted in favor of LAPD’s use of “Photo Comparison Technology” (also known as Facial Recognition Technology) despite over a thousand public comments in the span of several weeks rejecting LAPD use of facial recognition. Further, the Board completely failed to address community demands for more information regarding prior use of facial recognition in Los Angeles communities. I demand an immediate ban of LAPD’s use of facial recognition technology, and an investigation into how it has been used.

Regarding items 3A and 3B, linked to LAPD’s Human Trafficking Task Force: Human trafficking narratives (frequently wrapped in such themes as moral values, national security, and the rescue and protection of the vulnerable) are often deployed by the state to legitimize the criminalization of sex work, increased policies and policing
of immigrants, racial profiling, and heightened surveillance of sex workers and locations associated with sex work. Anti-trafficking task forces do not help communities but actually harm them, and contribute to adverse health outcomes for sex workers and self-identified victims of human trafficking. As reported weekly in BOPC public comment and in the recent community survey by LMU of Los Angeles residents, communities and individuals are demanding a divestment in policing and a defunding of LAPD, not a continuation of tactics and task forces that traumatize communities further.

Regarding items 3E and 3G: As in previous years, the Los Angeles Police Foundation is again making donations of cameras and video equipment to LAPD for the surveillance of communities and of “crowd control” in LA. LAPD has a history of using these tools of surveillance to track and gather information on LA residents, with folks who are Black, Latinx, unhoused, disabled, undocumented, and/or members of the LGBTQIA community being most impacted. I reject these donations, and the surveillance of community members by police!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Ben Rosenkoetter

From: Claire Gordon <*
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 11:21 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 1/26/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

In the January 12th 2021 meeting of the Board of Police Commissioners, the Board voted in favor of LAPD’s use of “Photo Comparison Technology” (also known as Facial Recognition Technology) despite over a thousand public comments in the span of several weeks rejecting LAPD use of facial recognition. Further, the Board completely failed to address community demands for more information regarding prior use of facial recognition in Los Angeles communities. I demand an immediate ban of LAPD’s use of facial recognition technology, and an investigation into how it has been used.
Regarding items 3A and 3B, I linked to LAPD’s Human Trafficking Task Force: Human trafficking narratives (frequently wrapped in such themes as moral values, national security, and the rescue and protection of the vulnerable) are often deployed by the state to legitimize the criminalization of sex work, increased policies and policing of immigrants, racial profiling, and heightened surveillance of sex workers and locations associated with sex work. Anti-trafficking task forces do not help communities but actually harm them, and contribute to adverse health outcomes for sex workers and self-identified victims of human trafficking. As reported weekly in BOPC public comment and in the recent community survey by LMU of Los Angeles residents, communities and individuals are demanding a divestment in policing and a defunding of LAPD, not a continuation of tactics and task forces that traumatize communities further.

Regarding items 3E and 3G: As in previous years, the Los Angeles Police Foundation is again making donations of cameras and video equipment to LAPD for the surveillance of communities and of “crowd control” in LA. LAPD has a history of using these tools of surveillance to track and gather information on LA residents, with folks who are Black, Latinx, unhoused, disabled, undocumented, and/or members of the LGBTQIA community being most impacted. I reject these donations, and the surveillance of community members by police!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

As an information professional, I understand the inherent systemic racism that is “baked in” to this proposed technology and as a L.A. city resident that is becoming more involved in the civic duties in my neighborhood, I don’t understand how Mayor Garcetti can support this move either, especially after crafting and forwarding the anti-racist agenda in his Executive Order #27 where he states “We must marshal every tool at our disposal to ensure that all in our community are given the opportunity to thrive and reach for their full potential. And while we cannot dislodge structural racism overnight, it is our responsibility to acknowledge hard truths and advance the cause of reform. It is our duty, as Angelenos and public servants, to make Los Angeles reflect the hallowed but often elusive principles that underlie our Republic: that we are created equal and that each of us is entitled to life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness.”

Signed,
ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

In the January 12th 2021 meeting of the Board of Police Commissioners, the Board voted in favor of LAPD’s use of “Photo Comparison Technology” (also known as Facial Recognition Technology) despite over a thousand public comments in the span of several weeks rejecting LAPD use of facial recognition. Further, the Board completely failed to address community demands for more information regarding prior use of facial recognition in Los Angeles communities. I demand an immediate ban of LAPD’s use of facial recognition technology, and an investigation into how it has been used.

Regarding items 3A and 3B, linked to LAPD’s Human Trafficking Task Force: Human trafficking narratives (frequently wrapped in such themes as moral values, national security, and the rescue and protection of the vulnerable) are often deployed by the state to legitimize the criminalization of sex work, increased policies and policing of immigrants, racial profiling, and heightened surveillance of sex workers and locations associated with sex work. Anti-trafficking task forces do not help communities but actually harm them, and contribute to adverse health outcomes for sex workers and self-identified victims of human trafficking. As reported weekly in BOPC public comment and in the recent community survey by LMU of Los Angeles residents, communities and individuals are demanding a divestment in policing and a defunding of LAPD, not a continuation of tactics and task forces that traumatize communities further.

Regarding items 3E and 3G: As in previous years, the Los Angeles Police Foundation is again making donations of cameras and video equipment to LAPD for the surveillance of communities and of “crowd control” in LA. LAPD has a history of using these tools of surveillance to track and gather information on LA residents, with folks who are Black, Latinx, unhoused, disabled, undocumented, and/or members of the LGBTQIA community being most impacted. I reject these donations, and the
surveillance of community members by police!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Sincerely,

Hannah Langley

From: Diana May <diana.may@lacity.org>
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 11:36 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; mike.n.feuer@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 1/26/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

In the January 12th 2021 meeting of the Board of Police Commissioners, the Board voted in favor of LAPD’s use of “Photo Comparison Technology” (also known as Facial Recognition Technology) despite over a thousand public comments in the span of several weeks rejecting LAPD use of facial recognition. Further, the Board completely failed to address community demands for more information regarding prior use of facial recognition in Los Angeles communities. I demand an immediate ban of LAPD’s use of facial recognition technology, and an investigation into how it has been used.

Regarding items 3A and 3B, linked to LAPD’s Human Trafficking Task Force: Human trafficking narratives (frequently wrapped in such themes as moral values, national security, and the rescue and protection of the vulnerable) are often deployed by the
state to legitimize the criminalization of sex work, increased policies and policing of immigrants, racial profiling, and heightened surveillance of sex workers and locations associated with sex work. Anti-trafficking task forces do not help communities but actually harm them, and contribute to adverse health outcomes for sex workers and self-identified victims of human trafficking. As reported weekly in BOPC public comment and in the recent community survey by LMU of Los Angeles residents, communities and individuals are demanding a divestment in policing and a defunding of LAPD, not a continuation of tactics and task forces that traumatize communities further.

Regarding items 3E and 3G: As in previous years, the Los Angeles Police Foundation is again making donations of cameras and video equipment to LAPD for the surveillance of communities and of “crowd control” in LA. LAPD has a history of using these tools of surveillance to track and gather information on LA residents, with folks who are Black, Latinx, unhoused, disabled, undocumented, and/or members of the LGBTQIA community being most impacted. I reject these donations, and the surveillance of community members by police!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Diana M

From: Jessica Hatrick <jhhatrick@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 11:34 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Sboroff; laphcfails@gmail.com; lou@lacityla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@lacity.org; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 1/26/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

In the January 12th 2021 meeting of the Board of Police Commissioners, the Board voted in favor of LAPD’s use of “Photo Comparison Technology” (also known as Facial Recognition Technology) despite over a thousand public comments in the span of several weeks rejecting LAPD use of facial recognition. Further, the Board completely failed to address community demands for more information regarding prior
use of facial recognition in Los Angeles communities. I demand an immediate ban of LAPD's use of facial recognition technology, and an investigation into how it has been used.

Regarding items 3A and 3B, linked to LAPD's Human Trafficking Task Force: Human trafficking narratives (frequently wrapped in such themes as moral values, national security, and the rescue and protection of the vulnerable) are often deployed by the state to legitimize the criminalization of sex work, increased policies and policing of immigrants, racial profiling, and heightened surveillance of sex workers and locations associated with sex work. Anti-trafficking task forces do not help communities but actually harm them, and contribute to adverse health outcomes for sex workers and self-identified victims of human trafficking. As reported weekly in BOPC public comment and in the recent community survey by LMU of Los Angeles residents, communities and individuals are demanding a divestment in policing and a defunding of LAPD, not a continuation of tactics and task forces that traumatize communities further.

Regarding items 3E and 3G: As in previous years, the Los Angeles Police Foundation is again making donations of cameras and video equipment to LAPD for the surveillance of communities and of "crowd control" in LA. LAPD has a history of using these tools of surveillance to track and gather information on LA residents, with folks who are Black, Latinx, unhoused, disabled, undocumented, and/or members of the LGBTQIA community being most impacted. I reject these donations, and the surveillance of community members by police!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,
Jessica Hatrick

From: Jessica Craven <jcraven@lacity.org>
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 11:35 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soberoff; lapcfaills@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 1/26/21

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

In the January 12th 2021 meeting of the Board of Police Commissioners, the Board voted in favor of LAPD's use of "Photo Comparison Technology" (also known as Facial
Recognition Technology) despite over a thousand public comments in the span of several weeks rejecting LAPD use of facial recognition. Further, the Board completely failed to address community demands for more information regarding prior use of facial recognition in Los Angeles communities. I demand an immediate ban of LAPD’s use of facial recognition technology, and an investigation into how it has been used.

Regarding items 3A and 3B, linked to LAPD’s Human Trafficking Task Force: Human trafficking narratives (frequently wrapped in such themes as moral values, national security, and the rescue and protection of the vulnerable) are often deployed by the state to legitimate the criminalization of sex work, increased policies and policing of immigrants, racial profiling, and heightened surveillance of sex workers and locations associated with sex work. Anti-trafficking task forces do not help communities but actually harm them, and contribute to adverse health outcomes for sex workers and self-identified victims of human trafficking. As reported weekly in BOPC public comment and in the recent community survey by LMU of Los Angeles residents, communities and individuals are demanding a divestment in policing and a defunding of LAPD, not a continuation of tactics and task forces that traumatize communities further.

Regarding items 3E and 3G: As in previous years, the Los Angeles Police Foundation is again making donations of cameras and video equipment to LAPD for the surveillance of communities and of “crowd control” in LA. LAPD has a history of using these tools of surveillance to track and gather information on LA residents, with folks who are Black, Latinx, unhoused, disabled, undocumented, and/or members of the LGBTQIA community being most impacted. I reject these donations, and the surveillance of community members by police!

I also ask that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Jessica Craven
Los Angeles, CA 90065
From: kristen studard
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 11:37 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; LAPC Fails; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; Mayor Garcetti
Subject: Ban Facial Recognition Software!

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

In the January 12th 2021 meeting of the Board of Police Commissioners, the Board voted in favor of LAPD’s use of “Photo Comparison Technology” (also known as Facial Recognition Technology) despite over a thousand public comments in the span of several weeks rejecting LAPD use of facial recognition. Further, the Board completely failed to address community demands for more information regarding prior use of facial recognition in Los Angeles communities. I demand an immediate ban of LAPD’s use of facial recognition technology, and an investigation into how it has been used.

Regarding items 3A and 3B, linked to LAPD’s Human Trafficking Task Force: Human trafficking narratives (frequently wrapped in such themes as moral values, national security, and the rescue and protection of the vulnerable) are often deployed by the state to legitimize the criminalization of sex work, increased policies and policing of immigrants, racial profiling, and heightened surveillance of sex workers and locations associated with sex work. Anti-trafficking task forces do not help communities but actually harm them, and contribute to adverse health outcomes for sex workers and self-identified victims of human trafficking. As reported weekly in BOPC public comment and in the recent community survey by LMU of Los Angeles residents, communities and individuals are demanding a divestment in policing and a defunding of LAPD, not a continuation of tactics and task forces that traumatize communities further.

Regarding items 3E and 3G: As in previous years, the Los Angeles Police Foundation
is again making donations of cameras and video equipment to LAPD for the surveillance of communities and of "crowd control" in LA. LAPD has a history of using these tools of surveillance to track and gather information on LA residents, with folks who are Black, Latinx, unhoused, disabled, undocumented, and/or members of the LGBTQIA community being most impacted. I reject these donations, and the surveillance of community members by police!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Sincerely,

Kristen Staudard

From: meital yaniv <meitalyaniv@lapd.com>
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 11:38 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 1/26/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

In the January 12th 2021 meeting of the Board of Police Commissioners, the Board voted in favor of LAPD’s use of “Photo Comparison Technology” (also known as Facial Recognition Technology) despite over a thousand public comments in the span of several weeks rejecting LAPD use of facial recognition. Further, the Board completely failed to address community demands for more information regarding prior use of facial recognition in Los Angeles communities. I demand an immediate ban of LAPD’s use of facial recognition technology, and an investigation into how it has been used.

Regarding items 3A and 3B, linked to LAPD’s Human Trafficking Task Force: Human trafficking narratives (frequently wrapped in such themes as moral values, national
security, and the rescue and protection of the vulnerable) are often deployed by the state to legitimize the criminalization of sex work, increased policies and policing of immigrants, racial profiling, and heightened surveillance of sex workers and locations associated with sex work. Anti-trafficking task forces do not help communities but actually harm them, and contribute to adverse health outcomes for sex workers and self-identified victims of human trafficking. As reported weekly in BOPC public comment and in the recent community survey by LMU of Los Angeles residents, communities and individuals are demanding a divestment in policing and a defunding of LAPD, not a continuation of tactics and task forces that traumatize communities further.

Regarding items 3E and 3G: As in previous years, the Los Angeles Police Foundation is again making donations of cameras and video equipment to LAPD for the surveillance of communities and of “crowd control” in LA. LAPD has a history of using these tools of surveillance to track and gather information on LA residents, with folks who are Black, Latinx, unhoused, disabled, undocumented, and/or members of the LGBTQIA community being most impacted. I reject these donations, and the surveillance of community members by police!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Meital

meitalyaniv.com

pronouns: they/them/their

From: Powell Lester < Powell Lester
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 11:42 AM
To: Police Commission
ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

In the January 12th 2021 meeting of the Board of Police Commissioners, the Board voted in favor of LAPD’s use of “Photo Comparison Technology” (also known as Facial Recognition Technology) despite over a thousand public comments in the span of several weeks rejecting LAPD use of facial recognition. Further, the Board completely failed to address community demands for more information regarding prior use of facial recognition in Los Angeles communities. I demand an immediate ban of LAPD’s use of facial recognition technology, and an investigation into how it has been used. It has been proved that this tactic continues to target more people of color at a largely disproportionate number.

Regarding items 3A and 3B, linked to LAPD’s Human Trafficking Task Force: Human trafficking narratives (frequently wrapped in such themes as moral values, national security, and the rescue and protection of the vulnerable) are often deployed by the state to legitimize the criminalization of sex work, increased policies and policing of immigrants, racial profiling, and heightened surveillance of sex workers and locations associated with sex work. Anti-trafficking task forces do not help communities but actually harm them, and contribute to adverse health outcomes for sex workers and self-identified victims of human trafficking. As reported weekly in BOPC public comment and in the recent community survey by LMU of Los Angeles residents, communities and individuals are demanding a divestment in policing and a defunding of LAPD, not a continuation of tactics and task forces that traumatize communities further.

Regarding items 3E and 3G: As in previous years, the Los Angeles Police Foundation is again making donations of cameras and video equipment to LAPD for the surveillance of communities and of “crowd control” in LA. LAPD has a history of using these tools of surveillance to track and gather information on LA residents, with folks who are Black, Latinx, unhoused, disabled, undocumented, and/or members of the LGBTQIA community being most impacted. I reject these donations, and the surveillance of community members by police! Enough is enough of targeting our communities.
I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long. This tactic is not allowing citizens their right to have their voices heard.

Concerned Citizen,
Dr. Lester Powell

Lester D. Powell

If you want something you have never had you have to do something you have never done.

From: Cody Sloan <cody.sloan@lacity.org>
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 11:44 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lacpfb@sbcglobal.net; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.ector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 1/26/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

In the January 12th 2021 meeting of the Board of Police Commissioners, the Board voted in favor of LAPD's use of "Photo Comparison Technology" (also known as Facial Recognition Technology) despite over a thousand public comments in the span of several weeks rejecting LAPD use of facial recognition. Further, the Board completely failed to address community demands for more information regarding prior use of facial recognition in Los Angeles communities. I demand an immediate ban of LAPD's use of facial recognition technology, and an investigation into how it has been used.

Regarding items 3A and 3B, linked to LAPD's Human Trafficking Task Force: Human
trafficking narratives (frequently wrapped in such themes as moral values, national security, and the rescue and protection of the vulnerable) are often deployed by the state to legitimate the criminalization of sex work, increased policies and policing of immigrants, racial profiling, and heightened surveillance of sex workers and locations associated with sex work. Anti-trafficking task forces do not help communities but actually harm them, and contribute to adverse health outcomes for sex workers and self-identified victims of human trafficking. As reported weekly in BOPC public comment and in the recent community survey by LMU of Los Angeles residents, communities and individuals are demanding a divestment in policing and a defunding of LAPD, not a continuation of tactics and task forces that traumatize communities further.

Regarding items 3E and 3G: As in previous years, the Los Angeles Police Foundation is again making donations of cameras and video equipment to LAPD for the surveillance of communities and of “crowd control” in LA. LAPD has a history of using these tools of surveillance to track and gather information on LA residents, with folks who are Black, Latinx, unhoused, disabled, undocumented, and/or members of the LGBTQIA community being most impacted. I reject these donations, and the surveillance of community members by police!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting WHO can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,
Cody Sloan

--

Cody Sloan
pronouns: he/him/his (what's this?)

UCSD MFA Acting Candidate
www.codydsloan.com
From: Chad Comey <redacted>
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 11:47 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@acity.org; mayor.helpdesk@acity.org; ana.guerrero@acity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@acity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@LegacyLA.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@acity.org
Subject: Public Comment 1/26/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

In the January 12th 2021 meeting of the Board of Police Commissioners, the Board voted in favor of LAPD’s use of “Photo Comparison Technology” (also known as Facial Recognition Technology) despite over a thousand public comments in the span of several weeks rejecting LAPD use of facial recognition—including public comments on my Twitter. As has been done in the past, the Board completely failed to address community demands for more information regarding prior use of facial recognition in Los Angeles communities. I demand an immediate ban of LAPD’s use of facial recognition technology, and an investigation into how it has been used.

Regarding items 3E and 3G: As in previous years, the Los Angeles Police Foundation is again making donations of cameras and video equipment to LAPD for the surveillance of communities and of “crowd control” in LA. LAPD has a history of using these tools of surveillance to track and gather information on LA residents, with folks who are Black, Latinx, unhoused, disabled, undocumented, and/or members of the LGBTQIA community being most impacted. I reject these donations, and the surveillance of community members by police!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Your constituent,
Chad Comey

From: Tori Leppert <tori.leppert@lacity.org>
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 12:02 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 1/26/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

In the January 12th 2021 meeting of the Board of Police Commissioners, the Board voted in favor of LAPD’s use of “Photo Comparison Technology” (also known as Facial Recognition Technology) despite over a thousand public comments in the span of several weeks rejecting LAPD use of facial recognition. Further, the Board completely failed to address community demands for more information regarding prior use of facial recognition in Los Angeles communities. I demand an immediate ban of LAPD’s use of facial recognition technology, and an investigation into how it has been used.

Regarding items 3A and 3B, linked to LAPD’s Human Trafficking Task Force: Human trafficking narratives (frequently wrapped in such themes as moral values, national security, and the rescue and protection of the vulnerable) are often deployed by the state to legitimize the criminalization of sex work, increased policies and policing of immigrants, racial profiling, and heightened surveillance of sex workers and locations associated with sex work. Anti-trafficking task forces do not help communities but actually harm them, and contribute to adverse health outcomes for sex workers and self-identified victims of human trafficking. As reported weekly in BOPC public comment and in the recent community survey by LMU of Los Angeles residents, communities and individuals are demanding a divestment in policing and a defunding of LAPD, not a continuation of tactics and task forces that traumatize communities further.

Regarding items 3E and 3G: As in previous years, the Los Angeles Police Foundation is again making donations of cameras and video equipment to LAPD for the surveillance of communities and of “crowd control” in LA. LAPD has a history of using these tools of surveillance to track and gather information on LA residents, with folks who are Black, Latinx, unhoused, disabled, undocumented, and/or members of the LGBTQIA community being most impacted. I reject these donations, and the
surveillance of community members by police!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,
Tori Leppert

From: Caro Brooks <[redacted]>
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 12:15 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; LAPC Fails; lou@legacyLA.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 1/26/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

My name is Caro Brooks and I'm an LA resident, zip code 90038. In the January 12th 2021 meeting of the Board of Police Commissioners, the Board voted in favor of LAPD’s use of “Photo Comparison Technology” (also known as Facial Recognition Technology) despite over a thousand public comments in the span of several weeks rejecting LAPD use of facial recognition. Further, the Board completely failed to address community demands for more information regarding prior use of facial recognition in Los Angeles communities. I demand an immediate ban of LAPD’s use of facial recognition technology, and an investigation into how it has been used.

Regarding items 3A and 3B, linked to LAPD’s Human Trafficking Task Force: Human trafficking narratives (frequently wrapped in such themes as moral values, national security, and the rescue and protection of the vulnerable) are often deployed by the state to legitimize the criminalization of sex work, increased policies and policing of immigrants, racial profiling, and heightened surveillance of sex workers and locations associated with sex work. Anti-trafficking task forces do not help communities but actually harm them, and contribute to adverse health outcomes for sex workers and self-identified victims of human trafficking. As reported weekly in BOPC public comment and in the recent community survey by LMU of Los Angeles residents, communities and individuals are demanding a divestment in policing and a defunding of LAPD, not a continuation of tactics and task forces that traumatize communities further.
Regarding items 3E and 3G: As in previous years, the Los Angeles Police Foundation is again making donations of cameras and video equipment to LAPD for the surveillance of communities and of “crowd control” in LA. LAPD has a history of using these tools of surveillance to track and gather information on LA residents, with folks who are Black, Latinx, unhoused, disabled, undocumented, and/or members of the LGBTQIA community being most impacted. I reject these donations, and the surveillance of community members by police!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting WHO can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Sincerely,
Caro Brooks

Caro Brooks she/her
https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Fgender-inclusivity-why-i-put-pronouns-on-my-email-signature-and-linkedin-profile-and-you-should-too-d3dc942c8743&data=e04%7C01%7Cpolicecommission%40lapd.online%7C1df4676ad9c14b9f4ad0a8dc16de12f%7C642f6d1c34dd4fd0af8d443576485883%7C0%7C637472024974233451%7CUnknown%7CTVFBp6Zs3b3d8eyJWIjoMC4wLjawMDAlJCQJjoiV21umZiIlJCBTiI6Ik1hahWwlJCXVCI6Mn0%3D%7C1000&data=jFtFtSrQ7b%2FELF1Ku8gX1326dJo%2ByIuwdUw0GeuuNI%3D&reserved=0>

find your polling place!
https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.vote.org%2Fpolling-place-locator%2F&data=04%7C01%7Cpolicecommission%40lapd.online%7C1df4676ad9c14b9f4ad0a8dc16de12f%7C642f6d1c34dd4fd0af8d443576485883%7C0%7C63747202497423400%7CUnknown%7CTVFBpGZs3b3d8eyJWIjoMC4wLjawMDAlJCQJjoiV21umZiIlJCBTiI6Ik1hahWwlJCXVCI6Mn0%3D%7C1000&data=0o8HoNj88gp9D9U7X4I%2FZPevgv1aHVVT6wLLRra6xQM%3D&reserved=0>

KNOCK.LA Voter Guide
https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Fknock-la.com%2Flos-angeles-progressive-voter-guide-november-general-election-2020-fe6e286b3feb&data=04%7C01%7Cpolicecommission%40lapd.online%7C1df4676ad9c14b9f4ad0a8dc16de12f%7C642f6d1c34dd4fd0af8d443576485883%7C0%7C63747202497423400%7CUnknown%7CTVFBpGZs3b3d8eyJWIjoMC4wLjawMDAlJCQJjoiV21umZiIlJCBTiI6Ik1hahWwlJCXVCI6Mn0%3D%7C1000&data=EyI%2FekansxIap%2F6jd4Dly7L7MaL%2F9bgCKMKB%2Bu0Wd%2Fq4%3D&reserved=0>

a leftist podcast that gives me hope
https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.thedigradio.com%2F&data=04%7C01%7Cpolicecommission%40lapd.online%7C1df4676ad9c14b9f4ad08d8c16de1
From: Hope Harris <Hope.Harris@lapd.com>
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 12:15 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 1/26/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

In the January 12th 2021 meeting of the Board of Police Commissioners, the Board voted in favor of LAPD’s use of “Photo Comparison Technology” (also known as Facial Recognition Technology) despite over a thousand public comments in the span of several weeks rejecting LAPD use of facial recognition. Further, the Board completely failed to address community demands for more information regarding prior use of facial recognition in Los Angeles communities. I demand an immediate ban of LAPD’s use of facial recognition technology, and an investigation into how it has been used.

Regarding items 3A and 3B, linked to LAPD’s Human Trafficking Task Force: Human trafficking narratives (frequently wrapped in such themes as moral values, national security, and the rescue and protection of the vulnerable) are often deployed by the state to legitimize the criminalization of sex work, increased policies and policing of immigrants, racial profiling, and heightened surveillance of sex workers and locations associated with sex work. Anti-trafficking task forces do not help communities but actually harm them, and contribute to adverse health outcomes for sex workers and self-identified victims of human trafficking. As reported weekly in BOPC public comment and in the recent community survey by LMU of Los Angeles residents, communities and individuals are demanding a divestment in policing and a defunding of LAPD, not a continuation of tactics and task forces that traumatize communities further.

Regarding items 3E and 3G: As in previous years, the Los Angeles Police Foundation is again making donations of cameras and video equipment to LAPD for the surveillance of communities and of “crowd control” in LA. LAPD has a history of using these tools of surveillance to track and gather information on LA residents, with folks who are Black, Latinx, unhoused, disabled, undocumented, and/or members
of the LGBTQIA community being most impacted. I reject these donations, and the surveillance of community members by police!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Hope Harris

--

Hope Harris

*** This email is highly confidential and may well also be legally privileged. If you have received this email in error, you are in notice of its status. Please notify the sender immediately by reply e-mail and then delete this message from your system. Please do not copy or use it for any purposes, or disclose its contents to any other person: to do so could be a breach of confidence. Thank you for your co-operation. ***

From: Cariad Owen <cariad.owen@lacity.org>
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 12:18 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laiot.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 1/26/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

In the January 12th 2021 meeting of the Board of Police Commissioners, the Board voted in favor of LAPD's use of "Photo Comparison Technology" (also known as Facial
Recognition Technology), despite over a thousand public comments in the span of several weeks opposing its use by LAPD. Furthermore, the Board completely failed to address community demands for more information regarding prior use of facial recognition in Los Angeles communities. I demand an immediate ban of LAPD’s use of facial recognition technology, as well as an investigation into how it has been used.

Regarding items 3A and 3B, linked to LAPD’s Human Trafficking Task Force: Human trafficking narratives (frequently wrapped in such themes as moral values, national security, and the rescue and protection of the vulnerable) are often deployed by the state to legitimize the criminalization of sex work, increased policies and policing of immigrants, racial profiling, and heightened surveillance of sex workers and locations associated with sex work. Anti-trafficking task forces do not help communities but actually harm them, and contribute to adverse health outcomes for sex workers and self-identified victims of human trafficking. As reported weekly in BOPC public comment and in the recent community survey by LMU of Los Angeles residents, communities and individuals are demanding a divestment in policing and a defunding of LAPD, not a continuation of tactics and task forces that traumatize communities further.

Regarding items 3E and 3G: As in previous years, the Los Angeles Police Foundation is again making donations of cameras and video equipment to LAPD for the surveillance of communities and of “crowd control” in LA. LAPD has a history of using these tools of surveillance to track and gather information on LA residents, with folks who are Black, Latinx, unhoused, disabled, undocumented, and/or members of the LGBTQIA community being most impacted. I reject these donations, and the surveillance of community members by police!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Cariad Owen

From: Ashley Locke <[redacted]>
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 12:23 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n feuerc@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soberoff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 1/26/2021
ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

In the January 12th 2021 meeting of the Board of Police Commissioners, the Board voted in favor of LAPD’s use of “Photo Comparison Technology” (also known as Facial Recognition Technology) despite over a thousand public comments in the span of several weeks rejecting LAPD use of facial recognition. Further, the Board completely failed to address community demands for more information regarding prior use of facial recognition in Los Angeles communities. I demand an immediate ban of LAPD’s use of facial recognition technology, and an investigation into how it has been used.

Regarding items 3A and 3B, linked to LAPD’s Human Trafficking Task Force: Human trafficking narratives (frequently wrapped in such themes as moral values, national security, and the rescue and protection of the vulnerable) are often deployed by the state to legitimize the criminalization of sex work, increased policies and policing of immigrants, racial profiling, and heightened surveillance of sex workers and locations associated with sex work. Anti-trafficking task forces do not help communities but actually harm them, and contribute to adverse health outcomes for sex workers and self-identified victims of human trafficking. As reported weekly in BOPC public comment and in the recent community survey by LMU of Los Angeles residents, communities and individuals are demanding a divestment in policing and a defunding of LAPD, not a continuation of tactics and task forces that traumatize communities further.

Regarding items 3E and 3G: As in previous years, the Los Angeles Police Foundation is again making donations of cameras and video equipment to LAPD for the surveillance of communities and of “crowd control” in LA. LAPD has a history of using these tools of surveillance to track and gather information on LA residents, with folks who are Black, Latinx, unhoused, disabled, undocumented, and/or members of the LGBTQIA community being most impacted. I reject these donations, and the surveillance of community members by police!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Ashley Locke
Ashley Locke  
Pronouns: She/her  

From: Audrey Georg <audrey.georg@lacity.org>  
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 12:26 PM  
To: Police Commission  
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyLA.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org  
Subject: My Public Comment for 1/26/21 Police Commission meeting, regarding your disgusting vote on Facial Racial Technology

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

In the January 12th 2021 meeting of the Board of Police Commissioners, the Board voted in favor of LAPD's use of “Photo Comparison Technology” (also known as Facial Recognition Technology) despite over a thousand public comments in the span of several weeks rejecting LAPD use of facial recognition. Further, the Board completely failed to address community demands for more information regarding prior use of facial recognition in Los Angeles communities. I demand an immediate ban of LAPD’s use of facial recognition technology, and an investigation into how it has been used.

Please be aware that euphemistically renaming FRT to Photo Comparison Technology doesn't mask the fact that you are ignoring the LMU survey results showing a high percentage of support for dismantling LAPD and its tools of surveillance.

Euphemistically renaming FRT to Photo Comparison Technology doesn't mask the fact that you are rewarding the LAPD for its years-long lying campaign to mislead you and the public about its record of actually using FRT. We don't care who owns and provides the technology itself, the key is the deception about its use and the resulting impact and harm from use of FRT.

You're not being asked to enter into territory that hasn't been explored. You know
there are numerous other cities, several in California, that have proven that bans are possible when elected and appointed officials are bold and determined to be on the right side of history.

Euphemistically renaming FRT to Photo Comparison Technology doesn't mask the fact that Detroit's Police Chief admitted that FRT gets matches wrong 96% of the time.

Euphemistically renaming FRT to Photo Comparison Technology doesn't mask the fact that use of harmful and discredited predictive/preemptive/proactive policing tools and policies sends cops into the streets all amped up for violence and ready to killed Black and Brown folks. This will happen again and again when FRT misidentifies "suspects."

Signed,

Audrey Georg

Remember these words: “If you are neutral in situations of injustice, you have chosen the side of the oppressor.” Desmond Tutu

From: carrie ungerman <carrie.ungerman@lacity.org>
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 12:27 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfalls@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; Mayor Garcetti; Paul Krekorian
Subject: Public Comment 1/26/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

How is that time after time, even with compelling testimony and real life negative consequences for Black, Brown, and Indigenous people in our community you continually rubber stamp harmful tactics of surveillance. Facial Recognition Technology often misidentifies those with darker skin.
IT APPEARS THAT YOU HAVE ALREADY MADE UP YOUR MINDS REGARDLESS OF WHAT THE COMMUNITY SAYS, FEELS, AND IN FACT IS EXPERIENCING

In the January 12th, 2021 meeting of the Board of Police Commissioners, the Board voted in favor of LAPD's use of "Photo Comparison Technology" (also known as Facial Recognition Technology) despite over a thousand public comments in the span of several weeks rejecting LAPD use of facial recognition. Further, the Board completely failed to address community demands for more information regarding prior use of facial recognition in Los Angeles communities. I demand an immediate ban of LAPD's use of facial recognition technology, and an investigation into how it has been used.

Regarding items 3A and 3B, linked to LAPD's Human Trafficking Task Force: Human trafficking narratives (frequently wrapped in such themes as moral values, national security, and the rescue and protection of the vulnerable) are often deployed by the state to legitimize the criminalization of sex work, increased policies and policing of immigrants, racial profiling, and heightened surveillance of sex workers and locations associated with sex work. Anti-trafficking task forces do not help communities but actually harm them, and contribute to adverse health outcomes for sex workers and self-identified victims of human trafficking. As reported weekly in BOPC public comment and in the recent community survey by LMU of Los Angeles residents, communities and individuals are demanding a divestment in policing and a defunding of LAPD, not a continuation of tactics and task forces that traumatize communities further.

Regarding items 3E and 3G: As in previous years, the Los Angeles Police Foundation is again making donations of cameras and video equipment to LAPD for the surveillance of communities and of "crowd control" in LA. LAPD has a history of using these tools of surveillance to track and gather information on LA residents, with folks who are Black, Latinx, unhoused, disabled, undocumented, and/or members of the LGBTQIA community being most impacted. I reject these donations, and the surveillance of community members by police!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Carrie Ungerman
CD2
ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

In the January 12th 2021 meeting of the Board of Police Commissioners, the Board voted in favor of LAPD’s use of “Photo Comparison Technology” (also known as Facial Recognition Technology) despite over a thousand public comments in the span of several weeks rejecting LAPD use of facial recognition. Further, the Board completely failed to address community demands for more information regarding prior use of facial recognition in Los Angeles communities. I demand an immediate ban of LAPD’s use of facial recognition technology, and an investigation into how it has been used.

Regarding items 3A and 3B, linked to LAPD’s Human Trafficking Task Force: Human trafficking narratives (frequently wrapped in such themes as moral values, national security, and the rescue and protection of the vulnerable) are often deployed by the state to legitimize the criminalization of sex work, increased policies and policing of immigrants, racial profiling, and heightened surveillance of sex workers and locations associated with sex work. Anti-trafficking task forces do not help communities but actually harm them, and contribute to adverse health outcomes for sex workers and self-identified victims of human trafficking. As reported weekly in
BOPC public comment and in the recent community survey by LMU of Los Angeles residents, communities and individuals are demanding a divestment in policing and a defunding of LAPD, not a continuation of tactics and task forces that traumatize communities further.

Regarding items 3E and 3G: As in previous years, the Los Angeles Police Foundation is again making donations of cameras and video equipment to LAPD for the surveillance of communities and of “crowd control” in LA. LAPD has a history of using these tools of surveillance to track and gather information on LA residents, with folks who are Black, Latinx, unhoused, disabled, undocumented, and/or members of the LGBTQIA community being most impacted. I reject these donations, and the surveillance of community members by police!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,
Gina Brownstein
Los Angeles, 91042

From: Sean Donahue
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 12:40 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 1/26/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

In the January 12th 2021 meeting of the Board of Police Commissioners, the Board voted in favor of LAPD’s use of “Photo Comparison Technology” (also known as Facial Recognition Technology) despite over a thousand public comments in the span of several weeks rejecting LAPD use of facial recognition. Further, the Board completely failed to address community demands for more information regarding prior use of facial recognition in Los Angeles communities. I demand an immediate ban of LAPD’s use of facial recognition technology, and an investigation into how it has been used.
Regarding items 3A and 3B, linked to LAPD’s Human Trafficking Task Force: Human trafficking narratives (frequently wrapped in such themes as moral values, national security, and the rescue and protection of the vulnerable) are often deployed by the state to legitimize the criminalization of sex work, increased policies and policing of immigrants, racial profiling, and heightened surveillance of sex workers and locations associated with sex work. Anti-trafficking task forces do not help communities but actually harm them, and contribute to adverse health outcomes for sex workers and self-identified victims of human trafficking. As reported weekly in BOPC public comment and in the recent community survey by LMU of Los Angeles residents, communities and individuals are demanding a divestment in policing and a defunding of LAPD, not a continuation of tactics and task forces that traumatize communities further.

Regarding items 3E and 3G: As in previous years, the Los Angeles Police Foundation is again making donations of cameras and video equipment to LAPD for the surveillance of communities and of “crowd control” in LA. LAPD has a history of using these tools of surveillance to track and gather information on LA residents, with folks who are Black, Latinx, unhoused, disabled, undocumented, and/or members of the LGBTQIA community being most impacted. I reject these donations, and the surveillance of community members by police!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Sean Donahue

From: dan monick <danmonicke@lapdmanifesto.org>
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 12:42 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfailes@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 1/26/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:
In the January 12th 2021 meeting of the Board of Police Commissioners, the Board voted in favor of LAPD's use of "Photo Comparison Technology" (also known as Facial Recognition Technology) despite over a thousand public comments in the span of several weeks rejecting LAPD use of facial recognition. Further, the Board completely failed to address community demands for more information regarding prior use of facial recognition in Los Angeles communities. I demand an immediate ban of LAPD’s use of facial recognition technology, and an investigation into how it has been used.

Regarding items 3A and 3B, linked to LAPD’s Human Trafficking Task Force: Human trafficking narratives (frequently wrapped in such themes as moral values, national security, and the rescue and protection of the vulnerable) are often deployed by the state to legitimize the criminalization of sex work, increased policies and policing of immigrants, racial profiling, and heightened surveillance of sex workers and locations associated with sex work. Anti-trafficking task forces do not help communities but actually harm them, and contribute to adverse health outcomes for sex workers and self-identified victims of human trafficking. As reported weekly in BOPC public comment and in the recent community survey by LMU of Los Angeles residents, communities and individuals are demanding a divestment in policing and a defunding of LAPD, not a continuation of tactics and task forces that traumatize communities further.

Regarding items 3E and 3G: As in previous years, the Los Angeles Police Foundation is again making donations of cameras and video equipment to LAPD for the surveillance of communities and of "crowd control" in LA. LAPD has a history of using these tools of surveillance to track and gather information on LA residents, with folks who are Black, Latinx, unhoused, disabled, undocumented, and/or members of the LGBTQIA community being most impacted. I reject these donations, and the surveillance of community members by police!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Dan Monick

The contents of this e-mail message and any attachments are confidential and are intended solely for addressee. The information may also be legally privileged. This transmission is sent in trust, for the sole purpose of delivery to the intended recipient and the information within may only be used by the intended recipient. If you have received this transmission in error, any use, reproduction or dissemination of this transmission is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please immediately notify the sender by reply e-mail or phone and delete this message and its attachments, if any.

From: Michael Kingsbaker <mike.n.feuer@lacity.org>
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 12:43 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 1/26/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

To whom it may concern,

I just wanted to share some thoughts with you for the upcoming commission meeting.

In the January 12th 2021 meeting of the Board of Police Commissioners, the Board voted in favor of LAPD’s use of “Photo Comparison Technology” (also known as Facial Recognition Technology) despite over a thousand public comments in the span of several weeks rejecting LAPD use of facial recognition. Further, the Board
completely failed to address community demands for more information regarding prior use of facial recognition in Los Angeles communities. I demand an immediate ban of LAPD’s use of facial recognition technology, and an investigation into how it has been used. I feel we can’t continue this practice, especially until we have a thorough review of how it has been practiced and with whose authority.

Regarding items 3A and 3B, linked to LAPD’s Human Trafficking Task Force: Human trafficking narratives (frequently wrapped in such themes as moral values, national security, and the rescue and protection of the vulnerable) are often deployed by the state to legitimize the criminalization of sex work, increased policies and policing of immigrants, racial profiling, and heightened surveillance of sex workers and locations associated with sex work. Anti-trafficking task forces do not help communities but actually harm them, and contribute to adverse health outcomes for sex workers and self-identified victims of human trafficking. As reported weekly in BOPC public comment and in the recent community survey by LMU of Los Angeles residents, communities and individuals are demanding a divestment in policing and a defunding of LAPD, not a continuation of tactics and task forces that traumatize communities further.

Regarding items 3E and 3G: As in previous years, the Los Angeles Police Foundation is again making donations of cameras and video equipment to LAPD for the surveillance of communities and of “crowd control” in LA. LAPD has a history of using these tools of surveillance to track and gather information on LA residents, with folks who are Black, Latinx, unhoused, disabled, undocumented, and/or members of the LGBTQIA community being most impacted. I reject these donations, and the surveillance of community members by police!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Michael Kingsbaker

From: Amelie Cherlin <amellie.cherlin@lacity.org>
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 12:43 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mke.nfeuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org, ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker, ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa;
Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@LegacyLA.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 1/26/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

I demand an immediate ban of LAPD’s use of facial recognition technology, and an investigation into how it has been used.

At the January 12th 2021 meeting of the Board of Police Commissioners, the Board voted in favor of LAPD’s use of “Photo Comparison Technology” (also known as Facial Recognition Technology) despite over a thousand public comments rejecting LAPD use of facial recognition. Further, the Board completely failed to address community demands for more information regarding prior use of facial recognition in Los Angeles communities.

Regarding items 3E and 3G: As in previous years, the Los Angeles Police Foundation is again making donations of cameras and video equipment to LAPD for the surveillance of communities and of “crowd control” in LA. LAPD has a history of using these tools of surveillance to track and gather information on LA residents, with folks who are Black, Latinx, unhoused, disabled, undocumented, and/or members of the LGBTQIA community being most impacted. I reject these donations, and the surveillance of community members by police.

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Sincerely,

Amelie Cherlin

Los Angeles
From: Hannah Gibson <hannah.gibson@lacity.org>
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 12:44 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 1/26/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

In the January 12th 2021 meeting of the Board of Police Commissioners, the Board voted in favor of LAPD's use of "Photo Comparison Technology" (also known as Facial Recognition Technology) despite over a thousand public comments in the span of several weeks rejection LAPD use of facial recognition. Further, the Board completely failed to address community demands for more information regarding prior use of facial recognition in Los Angeles communities. I demand an immediate ban of LAPD's use of facial recognition technology, and an investigation into how it has been used.

Regarding items 3A and 3B, linked to LAPD's Human Trafficking Task Force: Human trafficking narratives (frequently wrapped in such themes as moral values, national security, and the rescue and protection of the vulnerable) are often deployed by the state to legitimize the criminalization of sex work, increased policies and policing of immigrants, racial profiling, and heightened surveillance of sex workers and locations associated with sex work. Anti-trafficking task forces do not help communities but actually harm them, and contribute to adverse health outcomes for sex workers and self-identified victims of human trafficking. As reported weekly in BOPC public comment and in the recent community survey by LMU of Los Angeles residents, communities and individuals are demanding a divestment in policing and a defunding of LAPD, not a continuation of tactics and task forces that traumatize communities further.

Regarding items 3E and 3G: As in previous years, the Los Angeles Police Foundation is again making donations of cameras and video equipment to LAPD for the surveillance of communities and of "crowd control" in LA. LAPD has a history of using these tools of surveillance to track and gather information on LA residents, with folks who are Black, Latinx, unhoused, disabled, undocumented, and/or members of the LGBTQIA community being most impacted. I reject these donations, and the surveillance of community members by police!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.
Sincerely,
Hannah Gibson

90032
From: Dawy Rkasnuam <removed>
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 12:45 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lpcfa11s@gmail.com; lou@LegacyLA.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 1/26/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

In the January 12, 2021 meeting of the Board of Police Commissioners, the Board voted in favor of LAPD’s use of “Photo Comparison Technology” (also known as Facial Recognition Technology) despite over a thousand public comments in the span of several weeks rejecting LAPD use of facial recognition. Further, the Board completely failed to address community demands for more information regarding prior use of facial recognition in Los Angeles communities. I demand an immediate ban of LAPD’s use of facial recognition technology, and an investigation into how it has been used. The Board must listen to the will of the people, and we are saying that surveillance does not make our communities safer—in fact, it does the opposite, and is an unjustifiable expense in a time when our communities are struggling from unemployment, housing instability, and a global pandemic.

Regarding items 3A and 3B, linked to LAPD’s Human Trafficking Task Force: Human trafficking narratives (frequently wrapped in such themes as moral values, national security, and the rescue and protection of the vulnerable) are often deployed by the state to legitimate the criminalization of sex work, increased policies and policing of immigrants, racial profiling, and heightened surveillance of sex workers and locations associated with sex work. Anti-trafficking task forces do not help communities but actually harm them, and contribute to adverse health outcomes for sex workers and self-identified victims of human trafficking. As reported weekly in BOPC public comment and in the recent community survey by LMU of Los Angeles residents, communities and individuals are demanding a divestment in policing and a defunding of LAPD, not a continuation of tactics and task forces that traumatize communities further.

Regarding items 3E and 3G: As in previous years, the Los Angeles Police Foundation is again making donations of cameras and video equipment to LAPD for the
surveillance of communities and of “crowd control” in LA. LAPD has a history of using these tools of surveillance to track and gather information on LA residents, with folks who are Black, Latinx, unhoused, disabled, undocumented, and/or members of the LGBTQIA community being most impacted. I reject these donations, and the surveillance of community members by police.

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Dawy Rkasnuam

From: Lindsay Herz <[redacted]>
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 12:48 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 1/26/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

In the January 12th 2021 meeting of the Board of Police Commissioners, the Board voted in favor of LAPD’s use of “Photo Comparison Technology” (also known as Facial Recognition Technology) despite over a thousand public comments in the span of several weeks rejecting LAPD use of facial recognition. Further, the Board completely failed to address community demands for more information regarding prior use of facial recognition in Los Angeles communities. I demand an immediate ban of LAPD’s use of facial recognition technology, and an investigation into how it has been used.

Regarding items 3A and 3B, linked to LAPD’s Human Trafficking Task Force: Human trafficking narratives (frequently wrapped in such themes as moral values, national security, and the rescue and protection of the vulnerable) are often deployed by the state to legitimize the criminalization of sex work, increased policies and policing of immigrants, racial profiling, and heightened surveillance of sex workers and
locations associated with sex work. Anti-trafficking task forces do not help communities but actually harm them, and contribute to adverse health outcomes for sex workers and self-identified victims of human trafficking. As reported weekly in BOPC public comment and in the recent community survey by LMU of Los Angeles residents, communities and individuals are demanding a divestment in policing and a defunding of LAPD, not a continuation of tactics and task forces that traumatize communities further.

Regarding items 3E and 3G: As in previous years, the Los Angeles Police Foundation is again making donations of cameras and video equipment to LAPD for the surveillance of communities and of “crowd control” in LA. LAPD has a history of using these tools of surveillance to track and gather information on LA residents, with folks who are Black, Latinx, unhoused, disabled, undocumented, and/or members of the LGBTQIA community being most impacted. I reject these donations, and the surveillance of community members by police!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,
Lindsay Herz
--
Lindsay Herz
Girls Academic Leadership Academy | LAUSD | Resource Specialist Teacher
@wp4bl @utla @la_studentsdeserve @justicelanow
#DefundSchoolPolice #JackieLaceyMustGo
pronouns
https://gccg02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.colorado.edu%2Fcisc%2Fresources%2Ftrans-queer%2Fpronouns&data=04%7C01%7Cpolicecommission%40lapd.onl
line%7C47b96e0b0f54cc8132508d8c1727b35%7C642fd61c34dd4fd0af8d443576485883%7C0%7C
7C637472044738268707%7CUnknown%7CTWFPbGZsb3d8eyJWIjoIMC4wLjAwMDA1LCQIjoiV2luMzIiLC
BJT1I6Ik1haWwiLCJXVCJ6Mn0%3D%7C1000&sdata=0BhmTlW4nsRnr8BBwCzeEhxNQF8cnXVxQemQQsAM
%3D&reserved=0 : she/her/hers

From: Susan Anderson <>
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 12:53 PM
To: Police Commission
Subject: Public Comment 1/26/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:
In the January 12th 2021 meeting of the Board of Police Commissioners, the Board voted in favor of LAPD’s use of “Photo Comparison Technology” (also known as Facial Recognition Technology) despite over a thousand public comments in the span of several weeks rejecting LAPD use of facial recognition. Further, the Board completely failed to address community demands for more information regarding prior use of facial recognition in Los Angeles communities.

I demand an immediate ban of LAPD’s use of facial recognition technology, and an investigation into how it has been used.

Regarding items 3A and 3B, linked to LAPD’s Human Trafficking Task Force: Human trafficking narratives (frequently wrapped in such themes as moral values, national security, and the rescue and protection of the vulnerable) are often deployed by the state to legitimize the criminalization of sex work, increased policies and policing of immigrants, racial profiling, and heightened surveillance of sex workers and locations associated with sex work. Anti-trafficking task forces do not help communities but actually harm them, and contribute to adverse health outcomes for sex workers and self-identified victims of human trafficking.

As reported weekly in BOPC public comment and in the recent community survey by LMU of Los Angeles residents, communities and individuals are demanding a divestment in policing and a defunding of LAPD, not a continuation of tactics and task forces that traumatize communities further.

Regarding items 3E and 3G: As in previous years, the Los Angeles Police Foundation is again making donations of cameras and video equipment to LAPD for the surveillance of communities and of “crowd control” in LA. LAPD has a history of using these tools of surveillance to track and gather information on LA residents, with folks who are Black, Latinx, unhoused, disabled, undocumented, and/or members of the LGBTQIA community being most impacted.

I reject these donations, and the surveillance of community members by police!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,
Susan Anderson
Los Angeles 90039

From: Rosalyn Lam <email>
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 12:53 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: lapcfalls@gmail.com; Michel Moore; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; tips@laist.com; Steve Soboroff; Richard Tefank; lou@legacyla.org; William J. Briggs, II; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 1/26/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

On January 12th 2021 meeting, the Board of Police Commissioners voted in favor of LAPD’s use of “Photo Comparison Technology” (also known as Facial Recognition Technology) despite over a thousand public comments in the span of several weeks rejecting LAPD use of facial recognition. The people of Los Angeles do NOT want this.

Further, the Board completely failed to address community demands for more information regarding prior use of facial recognition in Los Angeles communities.

I demand an immediate ban of LAPD’s use of facial recognition technology, and an investigation into how it has been used.

Regarding items 3A and 3B, linked to LAPD’s Human Trafficking Task Force: Human trafficking narratives (frequently wrapped in such themes as moral values, national security, and the rescue and protection of the vulnerable) are often deployed by the state to legitimize the criminalization of sex work, increased policies and policing of immigrants, racial profiling, and heightened surveillance of sex workers and locations associated with sex work.

Anti-trafficking task forces do not help communities but actually harm them, and contribute to adverse health outcomes for sex workers and self-identified victims of human trafficking. As reported weekly in BOPC public comment and in the recent community survey by LMU of Los Angeles residents, communities and individuals are demanding a divestment in policing and a defunding of LAPD, not a continuation of tactics and task forces that traumatize communities further.

Regarding items 3E and 3G: As in previous years, the Los Angeles Police Foundation is again making donations of cameras and video equipment to LAPD for the surveillance of communities and of “crowd control” in LA. LAPD has a history of using these tools of surveillance to track and gather information on LA residents, with folks who are Black, Latinx, unhoused, disabled, undocumented, and/or members of the LGBTQIA community being most impacted. I reject these donations, and the surveillance of community members by police!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are
silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,
Rosalyn

From: Liz Sommer <[email糖省略]>
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 12:54 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 1/26/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

In the January 12th, 2021 meeting of the Board of Police Commissioners, the Board voted in favor of LAPD’s use of “Photo Comparison Technology” (also known as Facial Recognition Technology) despite over a thousand public comments in the span of several weeks rejecting LAPD use of facial recognition. Further, the Board completely failed to address community demands for more information regarding prior use of facial recognition in Los Angeles communities. The use of this technology is troubling, to say the least, and I demand an immediate ban of LAPD’s use of facial recognition technology, and an investigation into how it has been used.

Regarding items 3A and 3B, linked to LAPD’s Human Trafficking Task Force: Human trafficking narratives (frequently wrapped in such themes as moral values, national security, and the rescue and protection of the vulnerable) are often deployed by the state to legitimize the criminalization of sex work, increased policies and policing of immigrants, racial profiling, and heightened surveillance of sex workers and locations associated with sex work. Anti-trafficking task forces often do not help communities but actually harm them, and contribute to adverse health outcomes for sex workers and self-identified victims of human trafficking. As reported weekly in BOPC public comment and in the recent community survey by LMU of Los Angeles residents, communities and individuals are demanding a divestment in policing and a defunding of LAPD, not a continuation of tactics and task forces that traumatize communities further.

Regarding items 3E and 3G: As in previous years, the Los Angeles Police Foundation is again making donations of cameras and video equipment to LAPD for the surveillance of communities and of “crowd control” in LA. LAPD has a history of using these tools of surveillance to track and gather information on LA residents, with folks who are Black, Latinx, unhoused, disabled, undocumented, and/or members of the LGBTQIA community being most impacted. I reject these donations, and the surveillance of community members by police!
I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Elizabeth Sommer
Los Angeles resident (90036)

From: Julianna Zarzycki <>
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 12:55 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guar...na.com; richard.tefank@lacity.org; william.j.briggs.ii@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 1/26/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

In the January 12th 2021 meeting of the Board of Police Commissioners, the Board voted in favor of LAPD’s use of “Photo Comparison Technology” (also known as Facial Recognition Technology) despite over a thousand public comments in the span of several weeks rejecting LAPD use of facial recognition. Further, the Board completely failed to address community demands for more information regarding prior use of facial recognition in Los Angeles communities. I demand an immediate ban of LAPD’s use of facial recognition technology, and an investigation into how it has been used.

Regarding items 3A and 3B, linked to LAPD’s Human Trafficking Task Force: Human trafficking narratives (frequently wrapped in such themes as moral values, national security, and the rescue and protection of the vulnerable) are often deployed by the state to legitimize the criminalization of sex work, increased policies and policing of immigrants, racial profiling, and heightened surveillance of sex workers and locations associated with sex work. Anti-trafficking task forces do not help communities but actually harm them, and contribute to adverse health outcomes for sex workers and self-identified victims of human trafficking. As reported weekly in BOPC public comment and in the recent community survey by LMU of Los Angeles residents, communities and individuals are demanding a divestment in policing and a defunding of LAPD, not a continuation of tactics and task forces that traumatize communities further.
Regarding items 3E and 3G: As in previous years, the Los Angeles Police Foundation is again making donations of cameras and video equipment to LAPD for the surveillance of communities and of “crowd control” in LA. LAPD has a history of using these tools of surveillance to track and gather information on LA residents, with folks who are Black, Latinx, unhoused, disabled, undocumented, and/or members of the LGBTQIA community being most impacted. I reject these donations, and the surveillance of community members by police!

I also ask that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. You are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Sincerely,

Julianna Zarzycki

From: Kenton Chen <kentonchen@lacounty.gov>
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 12:56 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mikenfeuer@lacounty.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacounty.org; ana.guerrero@lacounty.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacounty.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacounty.org
Subject: Public Comment 1/26/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Commissioners:

In the January 12th 2021 meeting of the Board of Police Commissioners, the Board voted in favor of LAPD’s use of “Photo Comparison Technology” (also known as Facial Recognition Technology) despite over a thousand public comments in the span of several weeks rejecting LAPD use of facial recognition. Further, the Board completely failed to address community demands for more information regarding prior use of facial recognition in Los Angeles communities. I demand an immediate ban of LAPD’s use of facial recognition technology, and an investigation into how it has been used. Ignoring constituents outspoken will does not make us go away. We will be back, and we will be louder.
Regarding items 3A and 3B, linked to LAPD’s Human Trafficking Task Force: Human trafficking narratives (frequently wrapped in such themes as moral values, national security, and the rescue and protection of the vulnerable) are often deployed by the state to legitimize the criminalization of sex work, increased policies and policing of immigrants, racial profiling, and heightened surveillance of sex workers and locations associated with sex work. Anti-trafficking task forces do not help communities but actually harm them, and contribute to adverse health outcomes for sex workers and self-identified victims of human trafficking. As reported weekly in BOPC public comment and in the recent community survey by LMU of Los Angeles residents, communities and individuals are demanding a divestment in policing and a defunding of LAPD, not a continuation of tactics and task forces that traumatize communities further.

Regarding items 3E and 3G: As in previous years, the Los Angeles Police Foundation is again making donations of cameras and video equipment to LAPD for the surveillance of communities and of “crowd control” in LA. LAPD has a history of using these tools of surveillance to track and gather information on LA residents, with folks who are Black, Latinx, unhoused, disabled, undocumented, and/or members of the LGBTQIA community being most impacted. I reject these donations, and the surveillance of community members by police!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long. I understand it’s exhausting when there are so many people speaking—but that should tell you a lot about who you are still disregarding in your actions.

Signed,
Kenton Chen

From: Audrey Georg <audrey.georg@lapd.com>
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 12:58 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@LegacyLA.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Re: My Public Comment for 1/26/21 Police Commission meeting, regarding agenda items 3A and 3B

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open
attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

With respect to items concerning LAPD’s Human Trafficking Task Force: Human trafficking narratives (frequently wrapped in such themes as moral values, national security, and the rescue and protection of the vulnerable) are often deployed by the state to legitimize the criminalization of sex work, increased policies and policing of immigrants, racial profiling, and heightened surveillance of sex workers and locations associated with sex work.

These narratives and their associated policies and enforcement disproportionately impact Black trans women and trans undocumented folks, and do not lead to better outcomes for individuals or communities. We reject these narratives and the justification of LAPD policing of our communities.

Anti-trafficking task forces do not help communities but actually harm them, and contribute to adverse health outcomes for sex workers and self-identified victims of human trafficking. As reported weekly in BOPC public comment and in the recent community survey by LMU of Los Angeles residents, communities and individuals are demanding a divestment in policing and a defunding of LAPD, not a continuation of tactics and task forces that traumatize communities further.

From 2017-2020, LAPD has used $1M to $1.5M per year on Human Trafficking Task Force operations. In 2020-2021, operations of this task force “outside of regular duty hours” was canceled, due to budgetary reductions in overtime hours for LAPD. LAPD claims this has reduced their “operations and opportunities to rescue and help human trafficking victims.” The LAPD measures success of these operations in terms of hours spent on operations, number of arrests, and number of people “rescued.” LAPD will continue to operate Area Vice units and the HTTR to the extent they are able, but claim to be “hampered” by the reduction in budget. LAPD, every organization, public and private, knows it needs to find a way to stay within its budget parameters!

What LAPD calls conducting “enforcement and outreach” to the victims of human trafficking really means policing and surveilling sex workers and forcing folks into such “choices” as arrest, or agreement to mandated services provided by anti-human trafficking organizations that partner with LAPD, some of which are rooted in Christian missionary work and claim to “rescue and restore the lives” of human trafficking victims, while failing to address the socio-economic realities experienced by the folks they claim to be saving.

All PC commissioners should read a paper <https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.academia.edu%2F41656347%2FCommunity_Based_Responses_to_Negative_Health_Impacts_of_Sexual_Humanitarian_Anti_Trafficking_Policies_and_the_Criminalization_of_Sex_Work_and_Migration_in_the_US&data=04%7C01%7Cpolicecommission%40lapd.online%7CB85ac3775fbc4b77a35508d8c173efea%7C642fd61c34dd4fd0af8d443576485883%7C0%7C0%7C637472050981675531%7CUnknown%7CTWfp>
published last year by folks who interviewed migrant sex workers and self-identified trafficked folks in New York and LA, as well as folks working in legal and social services in these cities. “The criminalization of sex work and migration and the resulting police encounters, arrests, incarceration, and system involvement that takes place under the guise of anti-trafficking efforts, have created troubling health disparities among migrants, sex workers, and people with experiences of trafficking in the US. These trends, combined with persistent stigma against sex workers and migrants, create formidable barriers for community members in accessing affordable health care and unbiased support.”

The paper goes into detail regarding folks’ experiences being policed, and goes on to conclude that “decriminalization of sex work and the reform of institutional practices in the US are urgently needed to reduce the overall negative health outcomes of system involvement.”

Regarding the “sexual humanitarian intervention cycle” (from the same source <https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.academia.edu%2F41656347%2FCommunity_Based_Responses_to_Negative_Health_Impacts_of_Sexual_Humanitarian_Anti_Trafficking_Policies_and_the_Criminalization_of_Sex_Work_and_Migration_in_the_US&data=04%7C01%7Cpolicecommission%41apd.online%7CB85ac3775fbfe4b77a35508dc173efe%7C642fd61c34dd4fd0af8d443576485883%7C0%7C6%7C63747205098168543%7CUknown%7CWFpbgZ5b3d8eyJWIjoiMC4wLjA慰问MDAICjQIjoiv2luMzIiLCJBTiI6Ik1haWd1LCjXVC16Mn0%3D%7C100&data=0 as above) -

Anti-human trafficking policies implemented as a form of moral governance are enforced by police and immigration in the form of profiling, policing, arrest, and detention. These efforts are framed as being necessary to “find victims.” The state presents itself as benevolent and necessary for connecting victims with social services deemed critical for their salvation. NGO-led sexual humanitarians then step in and attempt to rescue and rehabilitate folks through mandated services and “regimes of care” with the goal of getting folks to exit sex work and to co-operate with the CJS in identifying traffickers or other third parties.

The findings confirm that anti-trafficking legislation leads to more policing and raids of folks involved in sex work, and due to how policing is gendered and racialized, this results in more trans migrants and more Black trans women being arrested for sex work.

LAPC Commissioners, educate yourselves before voting on such impactful items.
Signed,

Audrey Georg

“If you are neutral in situations of injustice, you have chosen the side of the oppressor.” Desmond Tutu

From: Audrey Georg <audrey.georg@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 1:01 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@LegacyLA.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: My Public Comment for 1/26/21 Police Commission meeting, regarding agenda items 3E and 3G

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

As in previous years, the Los Angeles Police Foundation is again making donations of cameras and video equipment to LAPD for the surveillance of communities and of “crowd control” in LA. LAPD has a history of using these tools of surveillance to track and gather information on LA residents, with folks who are Black, Latinx, unhoused, disabled, undocumented, and/or members of the LGBTQIA community being most impacted. I reject these donations, and the surveillance of community members by police!

Signed,

Audrey Georg

“If you are neutral in situations of injustice, you have chosen the side of the oppressor.” Desmond Tutu
On Monday, January 25, 2021, 12:58:09 PM PST, Audrey Georg wrote:

Commissioners:

With respect to items concerning LAPD’s Human Trafficking Task Force: Human trafficking narratives (frequently wrapped in such themes as moral values, national security, and the rescue and protection of the vulnerable) are often deployed by the state to legitimize the criminalization of sex work, increased policies and policing of immigrants, racial profiling, and heightened surveillance of sex workers and locations associated with sex work.

These narratives and their associated policies and enforcement disproportionately impact Black trans women and trans undocumented folks, and do not lead to better outcomes for individuals or communities. We reject these narratives and the justification of LAPD policing of our communities.

Anti-trafficking task forces do not help communities but actually harm them, and contribute to adverse health outcomes for sex workers and self-identified victims of human trafficking. As reported weekly in BOPC public comment and in the recent community survey by LMU of Los Angeles residents, communities and individuals are demanding a divestment in policing and a defunding of LAPD, not a continuation of tactics and task forces that traumatize communities further.

From 2017-2020, LAPD has used $1M to $1.5M per year on Human Trafficking Task Force operations. In 2020-2021, operations of this task force “outside of regular duty hours” was canceled, due to budgetary reductions in overtime hours for LAPD. LAPD claims this has reduced their “operations and opportunities to rescue and help human trafficking victims.” The LAPD measures success of these operations in terms of hours spent on operations, number of arrests, and number of people “rescued.” LAPD will continue to operate Area Vice units and the HTTR to the extent they are able, but claim to be “hampered” by the reduction in budget. LAPD, every organization, public and private, knows it needs to find a way to stay within its budget parameters!

What LAPD calls conducting “enforcement and outreach” to the victims of human trafficking really means policing and surveilling sex workers and forcing folks into such “choices” as arrest, or agreement to mandated services provided by anti-human trafficking organizations that partner with LAPD, some of which are rooted in Christian missionary work and claim to “rescue and restore the lives” of human trafficking victims, while failing to address the socio-economic realities experienced by the folks they claim to be saving.

All PC commissioners should read a paper
The paper goes into detail regarding folks’ experiences being policed, and goes on to conclude that “decriminalization of sex work and the reform of institutional practices in the US are urgently needed to reduce the overall negative health outcomes of system involvement.”

Regarding the “sexual humanitarian intervention cycle” (from the same source) - Anti-human trafficking policies implemented as a form of moral governance are enforced by police and immigration in the form of profiling, policing, arrest, and detention. These efforts are framed as being necessary to “find victims.” The state presents itself as benevolent and necessary for connecting victims with social services deemed critical for their salvation. NGO-led sexual humanitarians then step in and attempt to rescue and rehabilitate folks through mandated services and “regimes of care” with the goal of getting folks to exit sex work and to co-operate with the CJS in identifying traffickers or other third parties.

The findings confirm that anti-trafficking legislation leads to more policing and raids of folks involved in sex work, and due to how policing is gendered and racialized, this results in more trans
migrants and more Black trans women being arrested for sex work.

LAPC Commissioners, educate yourselves before voting on such impactful items.

Signed,

Audrey Georg

"If you are neutral in situations of injustice, you have chosen the side of the oppressor." Desmond Tutu

From:  Kate Grodd <kate.grodd@lacity.org>
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 1:12 PM
To:  Police Commission
Cc:  mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lacpfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject:  Public Comment 1/26/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

In the January 12th 2021 meeting of the Board of Police Commissioners, the Board voted in favor of LAPD's use of “Photo Comparison Technology” (also known as Facial Recognition Technology) despite over one thousand public comments in the span of several weeks rejecting this. Further, the Board completely failed to address community demands for more information regarding prior use of facial recognition in Los Angeles communities. As a Los Angeles resident, I demand an immediate ban of LAPD’s use of facial recognition technology, and an investigation into how it has been used. I am once again shocked and dismayed by LAPD’s refusal to be transparent.

Regarding items 3A and 3B, linked to LAPD’s Human Trafficking Task Force: Human trafficking narratives (frequently wrapped in such themes as moral values, national security, and the rescue and protection of the vulnerable) are often deployed by the state to legitimate the criminalization of sex work, increased policies and policing of immigrants, racial profiling, and heightened surveillance of sex workers and locations associated with sex work. Anti-trafficking task forces do not help communities but actually harm them, and contribute to adverse health outcomes for sex workers and self-identified victims of human trafficking. As reported weekly in BOPC public comment and in the recent community survey by LMU of Los Angeles residents, communities and individuals are demanding a divestment in policing and a
defunding of LAPD, not a continuation of tactics and task forces that traumatize communities further.

Regarding items 3E and 3G: As in previous years, the Los Angeles Police Foundation is again making donations of cameras and video equipment to LAPD for the surveillance of communities and of “crowd control” in LA. LAPD has a history of using these tools of surveillance to track and gather information on LA residents, with folks who are Black, Latinx, unhoused, disabled, undocumented, and/or members of the LGBTQIA community being most impacted. I reject these donations, and the surveillance of community members by police!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,
Kate Grodd

--

T: 
Email: <mailto:__________________>
Pronouns: she/her/hers

Double check your voter registration: HERE 
<https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Fverify.vote.org%2F%3Fcampaign%3DCW%data=04%7C0%7Cpolicecommission%40lapd.online%7C80bfa5ff41884f28 ee6108d8c175dc56%7C642fd61c34dd4fd0af8d443576485883%7C0%7C0%7C637472059278678728%7CU nknown%7CTWfbGZsb3d8eyJWIjoimC4wLjAWMDAlLCQIjoiV2luMzIlLCJBTiI6Ik1haW1lLCJXCI6Mn0%3D%7C1000&data=3%2FdXl2mCs%2FOnszGt6usQ72%2FQ8AEVQ7t57kCIzbc7xQ%3D&reserved=0>
Register to vote: HERE 
<https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Fregister.vote.org%2F%3Fcampaign%3DCW%data=04%7C0%7Cpolicecommission%40lapd.online%7C80bfa5ff41884f28 ee6108d8c175dc56%7C642fd61c34dd4fd0af8d443576485883%7C0%7C0%7C637472059278678728%7CU nknown%7CTWfbGZsb3d8eyJWIjoimC4wLjAWMDAlLCQIjoiV2luMzIlLCJBTiI6Ik1haW1lLCJXCI6M n0%3D%7C1000&data=H30Lb2YufEIIQLMKXGy8%2BFB%2FQqYyt6wWNjBBzGY%3D&reserved=0>

From: Audrey Georg <__________________>
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 1:13 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@LegacyLA.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.ector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: My Public Comment for 1/26/21 Police Commission meeting regarding agenda item 3C
ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Any contract with the Didi Hirsh organization regarding provision of mental health resources and referrals should be a matter for City Council, with no input and/or involvement with the LAPD regarding that partnership. Please do not rubber stamp approval on this agenda item.

Signed,

Audrey Georg

“If you are neutral in situations of injustice, you have chosen the side of the oppressor.” Desmond Tutu

From: Laura Nix <reddacted>
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 1:15 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcelt@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 1/26/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Commissioners:

I am horrified to learn that at the January 12th 2021 meeting of the Board of Police Commissioners, the Board voted in favor of LAPD’s use of “Photo Comparison Technology” (also known as Facial Recognition Technology) despite over a thousand public comments in the span of several weeks rejecting LAPD use of facial recognition. Further, the Board completely failed to address community demands for more information regarding prior use of facial recognition in Los Angeles communities. I demand an immediate ban of LAPD’s use of facial recognition technology, and an investigation into how it has been used.

Regarding items 3A and 3B, linked to LAPD’s Human Trafficking Task Force: Human trafficking narratives (frequently wrapped in such themes as moral values, national security, and the rescue and protection of the vulnerable) are often deployed by the
state to legitimize the criminalization of sex work, increased policies and policing of immigrants, racial profiling, and heightened surveillance of sex workers and locations associated with sex work. Anti-trafficking task forces do not help communities but actually harm them, and contribute to adverse health outcomes for sex workers and self-identified victims of human trafficking. As reported weekly in BOPC public comment and in the recent community survey by LMU of Los Angeles residents, communities and individuals are demanding a divestment in policing and a defunding of LAPD, not a continuation of tactics and task forces that traumatize communities further.

Regarding items 3E and 3G: As in previous years, the Los Angeles Police Foundation is again making donations of cameras and video equipment to LAPD for the surveillance of communities and of "crowd control" in LA. LAPD has a history of using these tools of surveillance to track and gather information on LA residents, with folks who are Black, Latinx, unhoused, disabled, undocumented, and/or members of the LGBTQIA community being most impacted. I reject these donations, and the surveillance of community members by police!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Sincerely,

Laura Nix
Los Angeles, CA

From: Mike Wodkowski [removed]
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 1:16 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@LegacyLA.org; Richard Tef ank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 1/26/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

In an extremely disturbing disregard for public concern, during the January 12th 2021 meeting of the Board of Police Commissioners, the Board voted in favor of LAPD’s use of “Photo Comparison Technology” (also known as Facial Recognition Technology) despite over a thousand public comments in the span of several weeks rejecting LAPD use of facial recognition. Further, the Board completely failed to address community demands for more information regarding prior use of facial recognition in Los Angeles communities. Given the wealth of information produced by MIT, Stanford, and many other institutions on the bleeding edge of technology that clearly shows implicit bias in the very programming of such technologies, I demand
an immediate ban of LAPD’s use of facial recognition technology, and an investigation into how it has been used.

Your contempt for concerned citizens is unsurprising and merely belies your lack of real care for the general public you claim to serve. Your disregard of the discoveries made by the very community that develops these technologies unfortunately belies a lack of investigative intelligence, and therefore a real lack of qualification for the seats you occupy.

Regarding items 3A and 3B, linked to LAPD’s Human Trafficking Task Force: Human trafficking narratives (frequently wrapped in such themes as moral values, national security, and the rescue and protection of the vulnerable) are often deployed by the state to legitimize the criminalization of sex work, increased policies and policing of immigrants, racial profiling, and heightened surveillance of sex workers and locations associated with sex work. Anti-trafficking task forces do not help communities but actually harm them, and contribute to adverse health outcomes for sex workers and self-identified victims of human trafficking. As reported weekly in BOPC public comment and in the recent community survey by LMU of Los Angeles residents, communities and individuals are demanding a divestment in policing and a defunding of LAPD, not a continuation of tactics and task forces that traumatize communities further.

Regarding items 3E and 3G: As in previous years, the Los Angeles Police Foundation is again making donations of cameras and video equipment to LAPD for the surveillance of communities and of “crowd control” in LA. LAPD has a history of using these tools of surveillance to track and gather information on LA residents, with folks who are Black, Latinx, unhoused, disabled, undocumented, and/or members of the LGBTQIA community being most impacted. I reject these donations, and the surveillance of community members by police!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to
forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Michael Wodkowski

Los Angeles

---

From: Natalie <natalie@example.com>
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 1:18 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; Mayor Garcetti
Subject: Public Comment 1/26/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

In the January 12th 2021 meeting of the Board of Police Commissioners, the Board voted in favor of LAPD’s use of “Photo Comparison Technology” (also known as Facial Recognition Technology) despite over a thousand public comments in the span of several weeks rejecting LAPD use of facial recognition. Further, the Board completely failed to address community demands for more information regarding prior use of facial recognition in Los Angeles communities. I demand an immediate ban of
LAPD’s use of facial recognition technology, and an investigation into how it has been used. Such technology perpetuates unlawful detaining of Black and Brown residents. Such technology continues to enforce implicit and explicit bias against Black and Brown residents. Such technology WILL NOT keep our streets safe. It will continue to terrorize and target Black and Brown residents.

Regarding items 3A and 3B, linked to LAPD’s Human Trafficking Task Force: Human trafficking narratives (frequently wrapped in such themes as moral values, national security, and the rescue and protection of the vulnerable) are often deployed by the state to legitimize the criminalization of sex work, increased policies and policing of immigrants, racial profiling, and heightened surveillance of sex workers and locations associated with sex work. Anti-trafficking task forces do not help communities but actually harm them, and contribute to adverse health outcomes for sex workers and self-identified victims of human trafficking. As reported weekly in BOPC public comment and in the recent community survey by LMU of Los Angeles residents, communities and individuals are demanding a divestment in policing and a defunding of LAPD, not a continuation of tactics and task forces that traumatize communities further.

Regarding items 3E and 3G: As in previous years, the Los Angeles Police Foundation is again making donations of cameras and video equipment to LAPD for the surveillance of communities and of “crowd control” in LA. LAPD has a history of using these tools of surveillance to track and gather information on LA residents, with folks who are Black, Latinx, unhoused, disabled, undocumented, and/or members of the LGBTQIA community being most impacted. I reject these donations, and the surveillance of community members by police!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,  
Natalie Burke  
Concerned Constituent

From: Natalie Mercedes Smyka <[redacted]>  
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 1:21 PM  
To: Police Commission  
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; loul@lacity.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org  
Subject: Public Comment 1/26/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:
In the January 12th 2021 meeting of the Board of Police Commissioners, the Board voted in favor of LAPD's use of "Photo Comparison Technology" (also known as Facial Recognition Technology) despite over a thousand public comments in the span of several weeks rejecting LAPD use of facial recognition. Further, the Board completely failed to address community demands for more information regarding prior use of facial recognition in Los Angeles communities. I demand an immediate ban of LAPD's use of facial recognition technology, and an investigation into how it has been used.

Regarding items 3A and 3B, linked to LAPD’s Human Trafficking Task Force: Human trafficking narratives (frequently wrapped in such themes as moral values, national security, and the rescue and protection of the vulnerable) are often deployed by the state to legitimize the criminalization of sex work, increased policies and policing of immigrants, racial profiling, and heightened surveillance of sex workers and locations associated with sex work. Anti-trafficking task forces do not help communities but actually harm them, and contribute to adverse health outcomes for sex workers and self-identified victims of human trafficking. As reported weekly in BOPC public comment and in the recent community survey by LMU of Los Angeles residents, communities and individuals are demanding a divestment in policing and a defunding of LAPD, not a continuation of tactics and task forces that traumatize communities further.

Regarding items 3E and 3G: As in previous years, the Los Angeles Police Foundation is again making donations of cameras and video equipment to LAPD for the surveillance of communities and of “crowd control” in LA. LAPD has a history of using these tools of surveillance to track and gather information on LA residents, with folks who are Black, Latinx, unhoused, disabled, undocumented, and/or members of the LGBTQIA community being most impacted. I reject these donations, and the surveillance of community members by police!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Natalie Smyka
Los Angeles

From: Tiana McKenna
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 1:24 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 1/26/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

In the January 12, 2021 meeting of the Board of Police Commissioners, the Board voted in favor of LAPD’s use of “Photo Comparison Technology” (also known as Facial Recognition Technology) despite over a thousand public comments in the span of several weeks rejecting LAPD use of facial recognition. Further, the Board completely failed to address community demands for more information regarding prior use of facial recognition in Los Angeles communities. I demand an immediate ban of LAPD’s use of facial recognition technology, and an investigation into how it has been used.

Regarding items 3A and 3B, linked to LAPD’s Human Trafficking Task Force: Human trafficking narratives (frequently wrapped in such themes as moral values, national security, and the rescue and protection of the vulnerable) are often deployed by the state to legitimize the criminalization of sex work, increased policies and policing of immigrants, racial profiling, and heightened surveillance of sex workers and locations associated with sex work. Anti-trafficking task forces do not help communities but actually harm them, and contribute to adverse health outcomes for sex workers and self-identified victims of human trafficking. As reported weekly in BOPC public comment and in the recent community survey by LMU of Los Angeles residents, communities and individuals are demanding a divestment in policing and a defunding of LAPD, not a continuation of tactics and task forces that traumatize communities further.

Regarding items 3E and 3G: As in previous years, the Los Angeles Police Foundation is again making donations of cameras and video equipment to LAPD for the surveillance of communities and of “crowd control” in LA. LAPD has a history of using these tools of surveillance to track and gather information on LA residents, with folks who are Black, Latinx, unhoused, disabled, undocumented, and/or members of the LGBTQIA community being most impacted. I reject these donations, and the surveillance of community members by police!

I also demand, again, that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your
meetings, and for how long.

Sincerely,
Tiana McKenna
Los Angeles 90032

From: Rachael Mason <removed>
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 1:27 PM
To: Police Commission
Subject: Public Comment 1/26/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Commissioners:

I am writing to demand an immediate ban of LAPD’s use of facial recognition technology, and an investigation into how it has been used.

In the January 12th 2021 meeting of the Board of Police Commissioners, the Board voted in favor of LAPD’s use of “Photo Comparison Technology” (also known as Facial Recognition Technology) despite over a thousand public comments in the span of several weeks rejecting LAPD use of facial recognition.

Further, the Board completely failed to address community demands for more information regarding prior use of facial recognition in Los Angeles communities.

As reported weekly in BOPC public comment and in the recent community survey by LMU of Los Angeles residents, communities and individuals are demanding a divestment in policing and a defunding of LAPD, not a continuation of tactics and task forces that traumatize communities further.

Regarding items 3E and 3G: As in previous years, the Los Angeles Police Foundation is again making donations of cameras and video equipment to LAPD for the surveillance of communities and of “crowd control” in LA.
LAPD has a history of using these tools of surveillance to track and gather information on LA residents, with folks who are Black, Latinx, unhoused, disabled, undocumented, and/or members of the LGBTQIA community being most impacted. I reject these donations, and the surveillance of community members by police!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Rachael Mason

Los Angeles, 90026

Rachael Mason

www.rachaelmason.com

<https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.rachaelmason.com%2F&data=04%7C01%7Cpolicecommission%40lapd.onl...0%3D%7C1000&data=gjChQ%2BMM%05G9S9%2Bif8qG1Zxzw0ONjY1y17dbFXgw%3D&reserved=0>

From: Elizabeth Bates <elizabeth.bates@lapd.lacity.org>

Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 1:28 PM

To: Police Commission

Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; LAPC Fails; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@latist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org

Subject: Public Comment 1/26/21

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

In the January 12th 2021 meeting of the Board of Police Commissioners, the Board voted in favor of LAPD’s use of “Photo Comparison Technology” (also known as Facial
Recognition Technology) despite over a thousand public comments in the span of several weeks rejecting LAPD use of facial recognition. Further, the Board completely failed to address community demands for more information regarding prior use of facial recognition in Los Angeles communities. I demand an immediate ban of LAPD’s use of facial recognition technology, and an investigation into how it has been used.

Regarding items 3A and 3B, linked to LAPD’s Human Trafficking Task Force: Human trafficking narratives (frequently wrapped in such themes as moral values, national security, and the rescue and protection of the vulnerable) are often deployed by the state to legitimize the criminalization of sex work, increased policies and policing of immigrants, racial profiling, and heightened surveillance of sex workers and locations associated with sex work. Anti-trafficking task forces do not help communities but actually harm them, and contribute to adverse health outcomes for sex workers and self-identified victims of human trafficking. As reported weekly in BOPC public comment and in the recent community survey by LMU of Los Angeles residents, communities and individuals are demanding a divestment in policing and a defunding of LAPD, not a continuation of tactics and task forces that traumatize communities further.

Regarding items 3E and 3G: As in previous years, the Los Angeles Police Foundation is again making donations of cameras and video equipment to LAPD for the surveillance of communities and of “crowd control” in LA. LAPD has a history of using these tools of surveillance to track and gather information on LA residents, with folks who are Black, Latinx, unhoused, disabled, undocumented, and/or members of the LGBTQIA community being most impacted. I reject these donations, and the surveillance of community members by police!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Elizabeth, LA 90034
From: Kelly Reilly <redacted>
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 1:33 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfalls@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment for tomorrow 1/26/21

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

I understand that during the 1/12 meeting of the Board of Police Commissioners, the Board voted in favor of LAPD’s use of “Photo Comparison Technology” (also known as Facial Recognition Technology) despite over a thousand public comments in the span of several weeks rejecting LAPD use of facial recognition. This is extremely upsetting to me.

Further, the Board completely failed to address community demands for more information regarding prior use of facial recognition in Los Angeles communities. I demand an immediate ban of LAPD’s use of facial recognition technology, and an investigation into how it has been used. What is the point of being open to public engagement if you are not going to hear us?

Regarding items 3A and 3B, linked to LAPD’s Human Trafficking Task Force: Human trafficking narratives are often used by the state to legitimize the criminalization of sex work, increased policies and policing of immigrants, racial profiling, and heightened surveillance of sex workers and locations associated with sex work. Anti-trafficking task forces do not help communities but actually harm them, and contribute to adverse health outcomes for sex workers and self-identified victims of human trafficking. As reported weekly in BOPC public comment and in the recent community survey by LMU of Los Angeles residents, communities and individuals are demanding a divestment in policing and a defunding of LAPD, not a continuation of tactics and task forces that traumatize communities further.

Regarding items 3E and 3G: As in previous years, the Los Angeles Police Foundation is again making donations of cameras and video equipment to LAPD for the
surveillance of communities and of “crowd control” in LA. LAPD has a history of using these tools of surveillance to track and gather information on LA residents, with folks who are Black, Latinx, unhoused, disabled, undocumented, and/or members of the LGBTQIA community being most impacted. I reject these donations, and the surveillance of community members by police!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Kelly Reilly
Los Angeles, CA

From: Ellen Giesy <example@example.com>
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 1:36 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lpcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 1/26/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

It's time for you to remember that you are supposed to be on the side of the people of LA County and stop siding with LAPD!

In the January 12th 2021 meeting of the Board of Police Commissioners, the Board voted in favor of LAPD’s use of “Photo Comparison Technology” (also known as Facial Recognition Technology) despite over a thousand public comments in the span of several weeks rejecting LAPD use of facial recognition. Further, the Board completely failed to address community demands for more information regarding prior use of facial recognition in Los Angeles communities. I demand an immediate ban of LAPD’s use of facial recognition technology, and an investigation into how it has been used.

Regarding items 3A and 3B, linked to LAPD’s Human Trafficking Task Force: Human
trafficking narratives (frequently wrapped in such themes as moral values, national security, and the rescue and protection of the vulnerable) are often deployed by the state to legitimate the criminalization of sex work, increased policies and policing of immigrants, racial profiling, and heightened surveillance of sex workers and locations associated with sex work. Anti-trafficking task forces do not help communities but actually harm them, and contribute to adverse health outcomes for sex workers and self-identified victims of human trafficking. As reported weekly in BOPC public comment and in the recent community survey by LMU of Los Angeles residents, communities and individuals are demanding a divestment in policing and a defunding of LAPD, not a continuation of tactics and task forces that traumatize communities further.

Regarding items 3E and 3G: As in previous years, the Los Angeles Police Foundation is again making donations of cameras and video equipment to LAPD for the surveillance of communities and of “crowd control” in LA. LAPD has a history of using these tools of surveillance to track and gather information on LA residents, with folks who are Black, Latinx, unhoused, disabled, undocumented, and/or members of the LGBTQIA community being most impacted. I reject these donations, and the surveillance of community members by police!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Ellen Giesy

From: Laura Adery <[redacted]>
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 1:37 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Mayor Helpdesk; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@LegacyLA.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; Mayor Garcetti
Subject: Public Comment 1/26/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

In the January 12th 2021 meeting of the Board of Police Commissioners, the Board
voted in favor of LAPD’s use of “Photo Comparison Technology” (also known as Facial Recognition Technology) despite over a thousand public comments in the span of several weeks rejecting LAPD use of facial recognition. Further, the Board completely failed to address community demands for more information regarding prior use of facial recognition in Los Angeles communities. I demand an immediate ban of LAPD’s use of facial recognition technology, and an investigation into how it has been used.

Regarding items 3A and 3B, linked to LAPD’s Human Trafficking Task Force: Human trafficking narratives (frequently wrapped in such themes as moral values, national security, and the rescue and protection of the vulnerable) are often deployed by the state to legitimate the criminalization of sex work, increased policies and policing of immigrants, racial profiling, and heightened surveillance of sex workers and locations associated with sex work. Anti-trafficking task forces do not help communities but actually harm them, and contribute to adverse health outcomes for sex workers and self-identified victims of human trafficking. As reported weekly in BOPC public comment and in the recent community survey by LMU of Los Angeles residents, communities and individuals are demanding a divestment in policing and a defunding of LAPD, not a continuation of tactics and task forces that traumatize communities further.

Regarding items 3E and 3G: As in previous years, the Los Angeles Police Foundation is again making donations of cameras and video equipment to LAPD for the surveillance of communities and of “crowd control” in LA. LAPD has a history of using these tools of surveillance to track and gather information on LA residents, with folks who are Black, Latinx, unhoused, disabled, undocumented, and/or members of the LGBTQIA community being most impacted. I reject these donations, and the surveillance of community members by police!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,
Laura Adery
From: Mayank Keshaviah <mayank.keshaviah@cityofla.gov>
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 1:43 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lacpfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 1/26/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.
Commissioners,

During the January 12, 2021 meeting of the Board of Police Commissioners, the Board voted in favor of LAPD’s use of “Photo Comparison Technology” (also known as Facial Recognition Technology) despite over a thousand public comments in the span of several weeks rejecting LAPD use of facial recognition. Further, the Board completely failed to address community demands for more information regarding prior use of facial recognition in Los Angeles communities. I demand an immediate ban of LAPD’s use of facial recognition technology, and an investigation into how it has been used.

Regarding items 3A and 3B, linked to LAPD’s Human Trafficking Task Force: Human trafficking narratives (frequently wrapped in such themes as moral values, national security, and the rescue and protection of the vulnerable) are often deployed by the state to legitimize the criminalization of sex work, increased policies and policing of immigrants, racial profiling, and heightened surveillance of sex workers and locations associated with sex work. Anti-trafficking task forces do not help communities but actually harm them, and contribute to adverse health outcomes for sex workers and self-identified victims of human trafficking. As reported weekly in BOPC public comment and in the recent community survey by LMU of Los Angeles residents, communities and individuals are demanding a divestment in policing and a defunding of LAPD, not a continuation of tactics and task forces that traumatize communities further.

Regarding items 3E and 3G:
As in previous years, the Los Angeles Police Foundation is again making donations of cameras and video equipment to LAPD for the surveillance of communities and of “crowd control” in LA. LAPD has a history of using these tools of surveillance to track and gather information on LA residents, with folks who are Black, Latinx, unhoused, disabled, undocumented, and/or members of the LGBTQIA community being most impacted. I reject these donations, and the surveillance of community members by police.

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Disgustedly,

Mayank Keshaviah

Los Angeles, CA 90034

From: Liz Levine <lizlevine@lacity.org>
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 1:49 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa;
ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

I write to you today as a Los Angeles resident to stand behind the public comments enumerated below regarding numerous failures on the part of the LA Police Commission to provide necessary oversight and reform to an agency that routinely violates the rights of everyday Angelenos.

In the January 12th 2021 meeting of the Board of Police Commissioners, the Board voted in favor of LAPD’s use of “Photo Comparison Technology” (also known as Facial Recognition Technology) despite over a thousand public comments in the span of several weeks rejecting LAPD use of facial recognition. Further, the Board completely failed to address community demands for more information regarding prior use of facial recognition in Los Angeles communities. I demand an immediate ban of LAPD’s use of facial recognition technology, and an investigation into how it has been used.

Regarding items 3A and 3B, linked to LAPD’s Human Trafficking Task Force: Human trafficking narratives (frequently wrapped in such themes as moral values, national security, and the rescue and protection of the vulnerable) are often deployed by the state to legitimize the criminalization of sex work, increased policies and policing of immigrants, racial profiling, and heightened surveillance of sex workers and locations associated with sex work. Anti-trafficking task forces do not help communities but actually harm them, and contribute to adverse health outcomes for sex workers and self-identified victims of human trafficking. As reported weekly in BOPC public comment and in the recent community survey by LMU of Los Angeles residents, communities and individuals are demanding a divestment in policing and a defunding of LAPD, not a continuation of tactics and task forces that traumatize communities further.

Regarding items 3E and 3G: As in previous years, the Los Angeles Police Foundation is again making donations of cameras and video equipment to LAPD for the surveillance of communities and of “crowd control” in LA. LAPD has a history of using these tools of surveillance to track and gather information on LA residents, with folks who are Black, Latinx, unhoused, disabled, undocumented, and/or members of the LGBTQIA community being most impacted. I reject these donations, and the surveillance of community members by police!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.
Signed,

Liz Levine

From: Azzurra Di Marcello
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 1:50 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 1/26/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

In the January 12th 2021 meeting of the Board of Police Commissioners, the Board voted in favor of LAPD's use of "Photo Comparison Technology" (also known as Facial Recognition Technology) despite over a thousand public comments in the span of several weeks rejecting LAPD use of facial recognition. Further, the Board completely failed to address community demands for more information regarding prior use of facial recognition in Los Angeles communities. I demand an immediate ban of LAPD’s use of facial recognition technology, and an investigation into how it has been used.

Regarding items 3A and 3B, linked to LAPD’s Human Trafficking Task Force: Human trafficking narratives (frequently wrapped in such themes as moral values, national security, and the rescue and protection of the vulnerable) are often deployed by the state to legitimize the criminalization of sex work, increased policies and policing of immigrants, racial profiling, and heightened surveillance of sex workers and locations associated with sex work. Anti-trafficking task forces do not help communities but actually harm them, and contribute to adverse health outcomes for sex workers and self-identified victims of human trafficking. As reported weekly in BOPC public comment and in the recent community survey by LMU of Los Angeles residents, communities and individuals are demanding a divestment in policing and a defunding of LAPD, not a continuation of tactics and task forces that traumatize communities further.

Regarding items 3E and 3G: As in previous years, the Los Angeles Police Foundation is again making donations of cameras and video equipment to LAPD for the
surveillance of communities and of “crowd control” in LA. LAPD has a history of using these tools of surveillance to track and gather information on LA residents, with folks who are Black, Latinx, unhoused, disabled, undocumented, and/or members of the LGBTQIA community being most impacted. I reject these donations, and the surveillance of community members by police!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Azzurra Di Marcello

From: Kimberli Meyer <kimberli.meyer@lapd.lacity.org>
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 1:57 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; larcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 1/26/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Commissioners:

In the January 12th 2021 meeting of the Board of Police Commissioners, the Board voted in favor of LAPD’s use of “Photo Comparison Technology” (also known as Facial Recognition Technology) despite over a thousand public comments in the span of several weeks rejecting LAPD use of facial recognition. Further, the Board completely failed to address community demands for more information regarding prior use of facial recognition in Los Angeles communities. I demand an immediate ban of LAPD’s use of facial recognition technology, and an investigation into how it has been used.

Regarding items 3A and 3B, linked to LAPD’s Human Trafficking Task Force: Human trafficking narratives (frequently wrapped in such themes as moral values, national
security, and the rescue and protection of the vulnerable) are often deployed by the state to legitimize the criminalization of sex work, increased policies and policing of immigrants, racial profiling, and heightened surveillance of sex workers and locations associated with sex work. Anti-trafficking task forces do not help communities but actually harm them, and contribute to adverse health outcomes for sex workers and self-identified victims of human trafficking. As reported weekly in BOPC public comment and in the recent community survey by LMU of Los Angeles residents, communities and individuals are demanding a divestment in policing and a defunding of LAPD, not a continuation of tactics and task forces that traumatize communities further.

Regarding items 3E and 3G: As in previous years, the Los Angeles Police Foundation is again making donations of cameras and video equipment to LAPD for the surveillance of communities and of “crowd control” in LA. LAPD has a history of using these tools of surveillance to track and gather information on LA residents, with folks who are Black, Latinx, unhoused, disabled, undocumented, and/or members of the LGBTQIA community being most impacted. I reject these donations, and the surveillance of community members by police!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Kimberli Meyer

From: Lizabeth Belli <lbelli@acity.org>
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 2:07 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@acity.org; mayor.helpdesk@acity.org; ana.guerrero@acity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@acity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Sobochoff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@acity.org
Subject: Public Comment 1/26/2021
ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

In the January 12th 2021 meeting of the Board of Police Commissioners, the Board voted in favor of LAPD’s use of “Photo Comparison Technology” (also known as Facial Recognition Technology) despite over a thousand public comments in the span of several weeks rejecting LAPD use of facial recognition. Further, the Board completely failed to address community demands for more information regarding prior use of facial recognition in Los Angeles communities. I demand an immediate ban of LAPD’s use of facial recognition technology, and an investigation into how it has been used.

Regarding items 3A and 3B, linked to LAPD’s Human Trafficking Task Force: Human trafficking narratives (frequently wrapped in such themes as moral values, national security, and the rescue and protection of the vulnerable) are often deployed by the state to legitimise the criminalization of sex work, increased policies and policing of immigrants, racial profiling, and heightened surveillance of sex workers and locations associated with sex work. Anti-trafficking task forces do not help communities but actually harm them, and contribute to adverse health outcomes for sex workers and self-identified victims of human trafficking. As reported weekly in BOPC public comment and in the recent community survey by LMU of Los Angeles residents, communities and individuals are demanding a divestment in policing and a defunding of LAPD, not a continuation of tactics and task forces that traumatize communities further so I ask you…why are you in support?

Regarding items 3E and 3G: As in previous years, the Los Angeles Police Foundation is again making donations of cameras and video equipment to LAPD for the surveillance of communities and of “crowd control” in LA. LAPD has a history of using these tools of surveillance to track and gather information on LA residents, with folks who are Black, Latinx, unhoused, disabled, undocumented, and/or members of the LGBTQIA community being most impacted. I reject these donations, and the surveillance of community members by police! It has been revealed how police truly treat people, the peaceful BLM protesters this summer here in LA as opposed to the insurrectionists at the Capitol on January 6th (some of them to be known as cops). We know the LAPD hides it’s abused and wields their power with lies about those most impacted in our communities. How can you further support the abuse and harm perpetuated by the police?

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,
From: Dorothy Dubrule <mailto:>
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 2:07 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Mayor Helpdesk; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public comment Jan 26, 2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

In the January 12th 2021 meeting of the Board of Police Commissioners, the Board voted in favor of LAPD’s use of “Photo Comparison Technology” (also known as Facial Recognition Technology) despite more than a thousand public comments in the span of several weeks rejecting LAPD use of FRT. Further, the Board failed to address community demands for more information regarding prior use of facial recognition in Los Angeles communities. I demand an immediate ban of LAPD's use of facial recognition technology, as well as an investigation into how it has been used.

Regarding items 3A and 3B, linked to LAPD's Human Trafficking Task Force: Human trafficking narratives (frequently conjuring moral values, national security, and the rescue and protection of the vulnerable) are often deployed by the state to legitimize the criminalization of sex work, increased policies and policing of immigrants, racial profiling, and heightened surveillance of sex workers and locations associated with sex work. Anti-trafficking task forces do not help communities, they actually actually harm them, and contribute to adverse health
outcomes for sex workers and self-identified victims of human trafficking. As reported weekly in BOPC public comment and in the recent community survey by LMU of Los Angeles residents, communities and individuals are demanding a divestment in policing and a defunding of LAPD, not a continuation of tactics and task forces that traumatize communities further.

Regarding items 3E and 3G: As in previous years, the Los Angeles Police Foundation is again making donations of cameras and video equipment to LAPD for the surveillance of communities and of “crowd control” in LA. LAPD has a history of using these tools of surveillance to track and gather information on LA residents, with folks who are Black, Latinx, unhoused, disabled, undocumented, and/or members of the LGBTQIA community being most impacted. I reject these donations, and the surveillance of community members by police!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Thank you for your consideration,

Dorothy Dubrule
From: Amanda Devine <a@b.com>
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 2:11 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 1/26/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Commissioners:

In the January 12th 2021 meeting of the Board of Police Commissioners, the Board voted in favor of LAPD’s use of “Photo Comparison Technology” (also known as Facial Recognition Technology) despite over a thousand public comments in the span of several weeks rejecting LAPD use of facial recognition. Further, the Board completely failed to address community demands for more information regarding prior use of facial recognition in Los Angeles communities. I demand an immediate ban of LAPD’s use of facial recognition technology, and an investigation into how it has been used.

Regarding items 3A and 3B, linked to LAPD’s Human Trafficking Task Force: Human trafficking narratives (frequently wrapped in such themes as moral values, national security, and the rescue and protection of the vulnerable) are often deployed by the
state to legitimize the criminalization of sex work, increased policies and policing of immigrants, racial profiling, and heightened surveillance of sex workers and locations associated with sex work. Anti-trafficking task forces do not help communities but actually harm them, and contribute to adverse health outcomes for sex workers and self-identified victims of human trafficking. As reported weekly in BOPC public comment and in the recent community survey by LMU of Los Angeles residents, communities and individuals are demanding a divestment in policing and a defunding of LAPD, not a continuation of tactics and task forces that traumatize communities further.

Regarding items 3E and 3G: As in previous years, the Los Angeles Police Foundation is again making donations of cameras and video equipment to LAPD for the surveillance of communities and of “crowd control” in LA. LAPD has a history of using these tools of surveillance to track and gather information on LA residents, with folks who are Black, Latinx, unhoused, disabled, undocumented, and/or members of the LGBTQIA community being most impacted. I reject these donations, and the surveillance of community members by police!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,
Amanda Devine
From: Jennie Pierson <[redacted]>
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 2:53 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Sboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 1/26/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

In the January 12th 2021 meeting of the Board of Police Commissioners, the Board voted in favor of LAPD’s use of "Photo Comparison Technology" (also known as Facial Recognition Technology) despite over a thousand public comments in the span of several weeks rejecting LAPD use of facial recognition. Further, the Board completely failed to address community demands for more information regarding prior use of facial recognition in Los Angeles communities. I demand an immediate ban of LAPD’s use of facial recognition technology, and an investigation into how it has been used.

Regarding items 3A and 3B, linked to LAPD’s Human Trafficking Task Force: Human trafficking narratives (frequently wrapped in such themes as moral values, national security, and the rescue and protection of the vulnerable) are often deployed by the state to legitimize the criminalization of sex work, increased policies and policing of immigrants, racial profiling, and heightened surveillance of sex workers and locations associated with sex work. Anti-trafficking task forces do not help communities but actually harm them, and contribute to adverse health outcomes for sex workers and self-identified victims of human trafficking. As reported weekly in BOPC public comment and in the recent community survey by LMU of Los Angeles residents, communities and individuals are demanding a divestment in policing and a defunding of LAPD, not a continuation of tactics and task forces that traumatize communities further.

Regarding items 3E and 3G: As in previous years, the Los Angeles Police Foundation is again making donations of cameras and video equipment to LAPD for the surveillance of communities and of “crowd control” in LA. LAPD has a history of using these tools of surveillance to track and gather information on LA residents, with folks who are Black, Latinx, unhoused, disabled, undocumented, and/or members of the LGBTQIA community being most impacted. I reject these donations, and the surveillance of community members by police!
I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Jennie Pierson

From: Jessica Ferretti
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 3:02 PM
To: Police Commission; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 1/26/21

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

January 12th 2021 there was a meeting of the Board of Police Commissioners, and the Board voted in favor of LAPD’s use of “Photo Comparison Technology” (also known as Facial Recognition Technology) despite over a thousand public comments in the span of several weeks rejecting LAPD use of facial recognition. On top of that the board completely failed to address community demands for more information regarding prior use of facial recognition in Los Angeles communities. I demand an immediate ban of LAPD’s use of facial recognition technology, and an investigation into how it has been used.

Regarding items 3A and 3B, linked to LAPD’s Human Trafficking Task Force: Human trafficking narratives (frequently wrapped in such themes as moral values, national security, and the rescue and protection of the vulnerable) are often deployed by the state to legitimize the criminalization of sex work, increased policies and policing of immigrants, racial profiling, and heightened surveillance of sex workers and locations associated with sex work. Anti-trafficking task forces do not help communities but actually harm them, and contribute to adverse health outcomes for sex workers and self-identified victims of human trafficking. As reported weekly in BOPC public comment and in the recent community survey by LMU of Los Angeles residents, communities and individuals are demanding a divestment in policing and a defunding of LAPD, not a continuation of tactics and task forces that traumatize communities further. This cannot go on!
Regarding items 3E and 3G: As in previous years, the Los Angeles Police Foundation is again making donations of cameras and video equipment to LAPD for the surveillance of communities and of “crowd control” in LA. LAPD has a history of using these tools of surveillance to track and gather information on LA residents, with folks who are Black, Latinx, unhoused, disabled, undocumented, and/or members of the LGBTQIA community being most impacted. I reject these donations, and the surveillance of community members by police!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Thank you,

Jessica Ferretti

From: Lucia Pier
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 4:09 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 1/26/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

I am genuinely curious to know how this Board sees itself as an oversight body. Merriam-Webster defines oversight as “watchful and responsible regulatory supervision,” which could not be farther from the role you have played. At best, this Commission embodies a performative version of this idea; at worst, you entirely disregard the will of the citizens you supposedly represent, and display an uninquisitive and white supremacist rubber-stamping of any LAPD requests or findings. In the January 12th 2021 meeting of the Board of Police Commissioners, the Board voted in favor of LAPD’s use of “Photo Comparison Technology” (also known as Facial Recognition Technology) despite over a thousand public comments in the span of several weeks rejecting LAPD’s use of facial recognition. Further, the Board completely failed to address community demands for more information regarding prior
use of facial recognition in Los Angeles communities. I demand an immediate ban of LAPD’s use of facial recognition technology, and an investigation into how it has been used.

Regarding items 3A and 3B, linked to LAPD’s Human Trafficking Task Force: Human trafficking narratives (frequently wrapped in such themes as moral values, national security, and the rescue and protection of the vulnerable) are often deployed by the state to legitimize the criminalization of sex work, increased policies and policing of immigrants, racial profiling, and heightened surveillance of sex workers and locations associated with sex work. Anti-trafficking task forces do not help communities but actually harm them, and contribute to adverse health outcomes for sex workers and self-identified victims of human trafficking. As reported weekly in BOPC public comment and in the recent community survey by LMU of Los Angeles residents, communities and individuals are demanding a divestment in policing and a defunding of LAPD, not a continuation of tactics and task forces that traumatize communities further.

Regarding items 3E and 3G: As in previous years, the Los Angeles Police Foundation is again making donations of cameras and video equipment to LAPD for the surveillance of communities and of “crowd control” in LA. LAPD has a history of using these tools of surveillance to track and gather information on LA residents, with folks who are Black, Latinx, unhoused, disabled, undocumented, and/or members of the LGBTQIA community being most impacted. I reject these donations, and the surveillance of community members by police!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Lucia Pier
zip code 90027

LUCIA PIER
she/her/hers

From: Michelle King <mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com;
ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

In the January 12th 2021 meeting of the Board of Police Commissioners, the Board voted in favor of LAPD’s use of “Photo Comparison Technology” (also known as Facial Recognition Technology) despite over a thousand public comments in the span of several weeks rejecting LAPD use of facial recognition. Further, the Board completely failed to address community demands for more information regarding prior use of facial recognition in Los Angeles communities. I demand an immediate ban of LAPD’s use of facial recognition technology, and an investigation into how it has been used.

Regarding items 3A and 3B, linked to LAPD’s Human Trafficking Task Force: Human trafficking narratives (frequently wrapped in such themes as moral values, national security, and the rescue and protection of the vulnerable) are often deployed by the state to legitimize the criminalization of sex work, increased policies and policing of immigrants, racial profiling, and heightened surveillance of sex workers and locations associated with sex work. Anti-trafficking task forces do not help communities but actually harm them, and contribute to adverse health outcomes for sex workers and self-identified victims of human trafficking. As reported weekly in BOPC public comment and in the recent community survey by LMU of Los Angeles residents, communities and individuals are demanding a divestment in policing and a defunding of LAPD, not a continuation of tactics and task forces that traumatize communities further.

Regarding items 3E and 3G: As in previous years, the Los Angeles Police Foundation is again making donations of cameras and video equipment to LAPD for the surveillance of communities and of “crowd control” in LA. LAPD has a history of using these tools of surveillance to track and gather information on LA residents, with folks who are Black, Latinx, unhoused, disabled, undocumented, and/or members of the LGBTQIA community being most impacted. I reject these donations, and the surveillance of community members by police!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.
Signed,

Michelle King

From: Jason Reedy <jason.reedy@lacity.org>
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 4:19 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soble; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laiest.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 1/26/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

I was very disappointed to watch y'all decide to vote in favor of LAPD's use of "Photo Comparison Technology" (also known as Facial Recognition Technology) despite the fact that the PEOPLE were against it - you received over a thousand public comments over the span of several weeks rejecting LAPD's use of facial recognition. As you pushed through yet another racist tool for the LAPD to use, you purposely failed to address legitimate community demands for more information regarding prior use of facial recognition in Los Angeles communities. I'm still calling for an immediate ban of LAPD's use of facial recognition technology and since you so easily approved this bullshit, you should take the time to launch an investigation into how it has been used illegally for all these years...

NOW if you would stop limiting the public comment period to 45 minutes, maybe you'd be more inclined to listen the PEOPLE. We know you like to group all of our emails together even though they come from INDIVIDUALS. You are complicit in silencing the voices of concerned and dedicated Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Regarding items 3A and 3B, linked to LAPD's Human Trafficking Task Force: Human trafficking narratives (frequently wrapped in such themes as moral values, national security, and the rescue and protection of the vulnerable) are often deployed by the state to legitimize the criminalization of sex work, increased policies and policing of immigrants, racial profiling, and heightened surveillance of sex workers and locations associated with sex work. Anti-trafficking task forces do not help communities but actually harm them, and contribute to adverse health outcomes for sex workers and self-identified victims of human trafficking. As reported weekly in BOPC public comment and in the recent community survey by LMU of Los Angeles residents, communities and individuals are demanding a divestment in policing and a defunding of LAPD, not a continuation of tactics and task forces that traumatize communities further.
Regarding items 3E and 3G: As in previous years, the Los Angeles Police Foundation is again making donations of cameras and video equipment to LAPD for the surveillance of communities and of "crowd control" in LA. LAPD has a history of using these tools of surveillance to track and gather information on LA residents, with folks who are Black, Latinx, unhoused, disabled, undocumented, and/or members of the LGBTQIA community being most impacted. I reject these donations, and the surveillance of community members by police!

See you tomorrow,
Jason Reedy
From: Tiff Guerra <[
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 4:21 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff;lapcfalls@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment for meeting 1/26/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners,

I am writing regarding a number of items on the agenda at tomorrow's meeting.

Regarding general public comment: your approval of LAPD's policy to continue use of facial recognition reveals a lack of understanding about how this technology has been and will be used against community members, and how LAPD currently surveils and gathers data. You continue to use Chief Moore as a source for information about what LAPD does and doesn't do, when history continues to show how he has lied about LAPD operations, and will continue to do so because he can. Moore has never explained publicly why LAPD has failed to produce documents regarding previous use of facial recognition, though these requests have been filed legally through CPRAs. We continue to demand a ban on LAPD use of facial recognition technology, and demand information on how it has been used in the past.

Regarding 3a and 3b -

Anti-human trafficking legislation, policing, and interventions that are state-led are inherently violent. Even while being wrapped in such language as "rescuing the vulnerable" and "restoring the lives" of women and children who would otherwise be subject to "modern day slavery," these efforts seek to criminalize sex work and to justify the increased surveillance, profiling, and policing of folks in their communities. Black trans women and migrant trans women are disproportionately impacted by this, as are folks that are poor, undocumented, and LGBTQIA.
We demand an end to LAPD policing of sex work and of the surveillance of folks in their communities. We further demand that restrictions on public comment be lifted so that more voices can be heard and considered.

Defund the police,

T. guerra (they/them pronouns - don't ma'am me)

From: Kelsey Milano <kelsey.milano@lacity.org>
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 4:36 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 1/26/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Commissioners:

In the January 12th 2021 meeting of the Board of Police Commissioners, the Board voted in favor of LAPD's use of “Photo Comparison Technology” (also known as Facial Recognition Technology) despite over a thousand public comments in the span of several weeks rejecting LAPD use of facial recognition. Further, the Board completely failed to address community demands for more information regarding prior use of facial recognition in Los Angeles communities. I demand an immediate ban of LAPD’s use of facial recognition technology, and an investigation into how it has been used.

Regarding items 3A and 3B, linked to LAPD’s Human Trafficking Task Force: Human trafficking narratives (frequently wrapped in such themes as moral values, national security, and the rescue and protection of the vulnerable) are often deployed by the state to legitimize the criminalization of sex work, increased policies and policing of immigrants, racial profiling, and heightened surveillance of sex workers and locations associated with sex work. Anti-trafficking task forces do not help communities but actually harm them, and contribute to adverse health outcomes for sex workers and self-identified victims of human trafficking. As reported weekly in BOPC public comment and in the recent community survey by LMU of Los Angeles residents, communities and individuals are demanding a divestment in policing and a defunding of LAPD, not a continuation of tactics and task forces that traumatize communities further.

Regarding items 3E and 3G: As in previous years, the Los Angeles Police Foundation
is again making donations of cameras and video equipment to LAPD for the
surveillance of communities and of "crowd control" in LA. LAPD has a history of
using these tools of surveillance to track and gather information on LA residents,
with folks who are Black, Latinx, unhoused, disabled, undocumented, and/or members
of the LGBTQIA community being most impacted. I reject these donations, and the
surveillance of community members by police!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to
forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are
silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and
for how long.

Signed,

Kelsey Milano

From: Hiram Miles <hiram.miles@lacity.org>
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 4:38 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org;
Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa;
Steve Sboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@LegacyLA.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore;
William J. Briggs, II; tips@lalst.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com;
mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 1/26/2021

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open
attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

It is appalling and disgraceful that the Board has supported the implementation and
further use of surveillance and facial recognition technologies by the LAPD. The
LAPD, and all law enforcement entities within LA County have continued to use these
technologies in abuse of the community, and these technologies do not protect the
community but criminalize the entire community. I am calling for a ban on this
implementation and further use, and the Board must stop manipulating the truth of
this matter, as the vast majority of the community are not only concerned about
these technologies, but are in fact opposed to their use and implementation. There
has been constant and consistent pushback and opposition to these measures by the
vast majority of the community, yet the LAPD, the Police Commission, and Law
Enforcement entities throughout the county have been deadset on their
implementation, and refuse to listen to the very communities they claim to serve.
This is a crime and the true crime lies on your side, and it must stop.

Furthermore, the LAPD continues to receive support from organizations such as the LA
Police Foundation. There has been no transparency surrounding the origins of the
LAPF, nor who supports them and gives them backing. In addition to this, the
equipment they supply to the LAPD has been used to harm the community and I am
calling for an end of the LAPF's support of the LAPD, and an end to the LAPD's consistent effort to use technologies to abuse and destroy the community.

Signed,
Hiram Cormier Jr

From: Matyos Kidane
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 4:48 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; LAPC Fails; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org

Subject: Public Comment 1/26/21

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

During the most recent Police Commission meeting on 1/12/21, the commission voted in favor of Facial Recognition Technology. This was done in the face of near-unanimous opposition to the usage of this tech, and a resounding call for it being banned altogether. I am echoing that call, the people continue to demand that you ban facial recognition technology and seek an independent investigation into the department's past usage of this deeply problematic tech.

On Items 3a and 3b: Anti-Trafficking task forces do more harm than good. They perpetuate a narrative that serves to further criminalize sex work and compounds the problems of policing immigrants, racial profiling, and the heightened surveillance of sex workers. The people don't want a continuation of these failed, harmful tactics. They want to divest from policing and invest in programs that will actually help the community.

On Items 3e and 3g: The Los Angeles Police Foundation is again donating video and camera equipment to the department. LAPD has a history of using such equipment to surveil Angelenos. The people reject this donation. I also demand an immediate independent investigation into who the donors are of the LAPF.

Lastly, stop limiting public comment to 45 minutes. This is an absurd amount of time for a city of our size, and a department of such corruption.

Thank you,

Matyos Kidane